

JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION

General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

DELIVERY OF HIGH-SPEED BROADBAND SERVICES IN UNSERVED AREAS AND UNDERSERVED AREAS OF THE COMMONWEALTH

REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON HIGH SPEED BROADBAND SERVICE

September 2020



*Serving the General Assembly of the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Since 1937*

REPORT

*Delivery of High-Speed Broadband Services in Unserved Areas
and Underserved Areas of the Commonwealth*

| | |
|---|--|
| Project Manager: | Yvonne Llewellyn Hursh, Counsel |
| Project Staff: | Lydia L. Hack, Staff Attorney Allison N. Kobzowicz, Policy Analyst Bryan W. DeWalt, Public Policy Analyst Wendy L. Baker, Executive Assistant |
| The report is also available at http://jsg.legis.state.pa.us | |

JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION

Room 108 Finance Building
613 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0108

Telephone: 717-787-4397
Fax: 717-783-9380
E-mail: jntst02@legis.state.pa.us
Website: <http://jsg.legis.state.pa.us>

The Joint State Government Commission was created in 1937 as the primary and central non-partisan, bicameral research and policy development agency for the General Assembly of Pennsylvania.¹

A fourteen-member Executive Committee comprised of the leadership of both the House of Representatives and the Senate oversees the Commission. The seven Executive Committee members from the House of Representatives are the Speaker, the Majority and Minority Leaders, the Majority and Minority Whips, and the Majority and Minority Caucus Chairs. The seven Executive Committee members from the Senate are the President Pro Tempore, the Majority and Minority Leaders, the Majority and Minority Whips, and the Majority and Minority Caucus Chairs. By statute, the Executive Committee selects a chairman of the Commission from among the members of the General Assembly. Historically, the Executive Committee has also selected a Vice-Chair or Treasurer, or both, for the Commission.

The studies conducted by the Commission are authorized by statute or by a simple or joint resolution. In general, the Commission has the power to conduct investigations, study issues, and gather information as directed by the General Assembly. The Commission provides in-depth research on a variety of topics, crafts recommendations to improve public policy and statutory law, and works closely with legislators and their staff.

A Commission study may involve the appointment of a legislative task force, composed of a specified number of legislators from the House of Representatives or the Senate, or both, as set forth in the enabling statute or resolution. In addition to following the progress of a particular study, the principal role of a task force is to determine whether to authorize the publication of any report resulting from the study and the introduction of any proposed legislation contained in the report. However, task force authorization does not necessarily reflect endorsement of all the findings and recommendations contained in a report.

Some studies involve an appointed advisory committee of professionals or interested parties from across the Commonwealth with expertise in a particular topic; others are managed exclusively by Commission staff with the informal involvement of representatives of those entities that can provide insight and information regarding the particular topic. When a study involves an advisory committee, the Commission seeks consensus among the members.² Although an advisory committee member may represent a particular department, agency, association, or group, such representation does not necessarily reflect the endorsement of the department, agency, association, or group of all the findings and recommendations contained in a study report.

¹ Act of July 1, 1937 (P.L.2460, No.459); 46 P.S. §§ 65–69.

² Consensus does not necessarily reflect unanimity among the advisory committee members on each individual policy or legislative recommendation. At a minimum, it reflects the views of a substantial majority of the advisory committee, gained after lengthy review and discussion.

Over the years, nearly one thousand individuals from across the Commonwealth have served as members of the Commission's numerous advisory committees or have assisted the Commission with its studies. Members of advisory committees bring a wide range of knowledge and experience to deliberations involving a particular study. Individuals from countless backgrounds have contributed to the work of the Commission, such as attorneys, judges, professors and other educators, state and local officials, physicians and other health care professionals, business and community leaders, service providers, administrators and other professionals, law enforcement personnel, and concerned citizens. In addition, members of advisory committees donate their time to serve the public good; they are not compensated for their service as members. Consequently, the Commonwealth receives the financial benefit of such volunteerism, along with their shared expertise in developing statutory language and public policy recommendations to improve the law in Pennsylvania.

The Commission periodically reports its findings and recommendations, along with any proposed legislation, to the General Assembly. Certain studies have specific timelines for the publication of a report, as in the case of a discrete or timely topic; other studies, given their complex or considerable nature, are ongoing and involve the publication of periodic reports. Completion of a study, or a particular aspect of an ongoing study, generally results in the publication of a report setting forth background material, policy recommendations, and proposed legislation. However, the release of a report by the Commission does not necessarily reflect the endorsement by the members of the Executive Committee, or the Chair or Vice-Chair of the Commission, of all the findings, recommendations, or conclusions contained in the report. A report containing proposed legislation may also contain official comments, which may be used to construe or apply its provisions.³

Since its inception, the Commission has published almost 400 reports on a sweeping range of topics, including administrative law and procedure; agriculture; athletics and sports; banks and banking; commerce and trade; the commercial code; crimes and offenses; decedents, estates, and fiduciaries; detectives and private police; domestic relations; education; elections; eminent domain; environmental resources; escheats; fish; forests, waters, and state parks; game; health and safety; historical sites and museums; insolvency and assignments; insurance; the judiciary and judicial procedure; labor; law and justice; the legislature; liquor; mechanics' liens; mental health; military affairs; mines and mining; municipalities; prisons and parole; procurement; state-licensed professions and occupations; public utilities; public welfare; real and personal property; state government; taxation and fiscal affairs; transportation; vehicles; and workers' compensation.

Following the completion of a report, subsequent action on the part of the Commission may be required, and, as necessary, the Commission will draft legislation and statutory amendments, update research, track legislation through the legislative process, attend hearings, and answer questions from legislators, legislative staff, interest groups, and constituents.

³ 1 Pa.C.S. § 1939.

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Senator Kristin Phillips-Hill

Communications & Technology, Chair

Senator Timothy P. Kearney

Communications & Technology, Minority Chair

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Brian F. Barno

Vice President, Government Affairs
Broadband Cable Association of Pennsylvania

C. Kim Bracey

Executive Director, Governor's Center
for Local Government Services
Pennsylvania Department of Community
and Economic Development

Barbara A. Burba

Director of Government Operations
InSite Wireless Group, LLC

Sheri Collins

Acting Executive Director
Office of Broadband Initiatives
Office of Governor Tom Wolf

Steve D'Ettorre

Deputy Secretary for Technology
and Innovation
Pennsylvania Department of Community
and Economic Development

Honorable Dennis M. Davin

Secretary, Pennsylvania Department
of Community and Economic Development
Designee: Zachary Reber
Policy Director and Director
of the Workforce Command Center

Barry L. Denk (*retired July 31, 2020*)

Director, The Center for Rural Pennsylvania

Gladys Brown Dutrieuille

Chairman, Pennsylvania Public
Utility Commission
Designee: David Screven

John R. Evans

Pennsylvania Office of
Small Business Advocate
Alt: Teresa Reed Wagner, Executive Director

Joseph H. Gerdes, III

Director of Government Relations
Pennsylvania State Association
of Township Supervisors

Honorable Rachel Levine, M.D.

Secretary, Pennsylvania Department of Health
Designee: Brian Lentes
Director, Office of Operational Excellence

Tanya J. McCloskey

Acting Consumer Advocate
Office of Consumer Advocate
Designee: Barrett Sheridan
Assistant Consumer Advocate

Honorable W. Gerard "Jerry" Oleksiak

Secretary, Pennsylvania Department
of Labor & Industry
Designee: Kelly Martini, Director of Policy

Vince Phillips

Legislative Director
Pennsylvania State Grange

Honorable Russell C. Redding

Secretary, Pennsylvania Department
of Agriculture
*Designee: Mark Critz, Executive Director
of the Rural Development Council
and Western Regional Director*

Honorable Pedro A. Rivera

Secretary, Pennsylvania Department
of Education
Designee: Glenn R. Miller
Deputy Secretary and Commissioner
for Libraries

Steven J. Samara

President, Pennsylvania Telephone Association

David W. Sweet

Vice Chairman, Pennsylvania Public
Utility Commission
Designee: Regina Matz, Esquire



General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
Room 108 – Finance Building
Harrisburg, Pa 17120
717-787-4397
Fax 717-783-9380

September 2020

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Senate Members:

JOSEPH B. SCARNATI, III
President Pro Tempore
JACOB D. CORMAN, III
Majority Leader
JAY COSTA, JR.
Minority Leader
JOHN R. GORDNER
Majority Whip
ANTHONY H. WILLIAMS
Minority Whip
ROBERT B. MENSCH
Chair, Majority Caucus
WAYNE D. FONTANA
Chair, Minority Caucus

House Members:

BRYAN D. CUTLER
Speaker
KERRY A. BENNINGHOFF
Majority Leader
FRANK J. DERMODY
Minority Leader
DONNA OBERLANDER
Majority Whip
JORDAN A. HARRIS
Minority Whip
MARCY TOEPEL
Chair, Majority Caucus
JOANNA E. MCCLINTON
Chair, Minority Caucus

Administrative Staff:

GLENN J. PASEWICZ
Executive Director
YVONNE M. HURSH
Counsel

To the Members of the General Assembly of Pennsylvania:

We are pleased to release *Delivery of High-Speed Broadband Services in Unserved Areas and Underserved Areas of the Commonwealth*, pursuant to Senate Resolution 47 of 2019. SR47 directed the Commission to conduct a study of the delivery of high-speed broadband services in unserved areas and underserved areas of Pennsylvania and to establish an advisory committee of stakeholders including industry representatives, consumer advocates, and policymakers with expertise in education, technology, economic development, rural affairs, and public health. This is the first of five reports that will be issued at the direction of SR47.

The report is a comprehensive presentation of the technology and infrastructure that deliver Internet services to customers in both public and private sectors. The laws and regulations governing broadband services are discussed in detail. The report profiles broadband services around Pennsylvania and how coverage is affected by natural tensions between supply and demand, and regulation and competition. Finally, the report provides the General Assembly with recommendations on how to address challenges to ensure broadband services are available throughout the commonwealth.

The full report is available for download at <http://jsg.legis.state.pa.us>.

Respectfully submitted,

Glenn J. Pasewicz
Executive Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| RECOMMENDATIONS | 5 |
| DEFINING AND DELIVERING BROADBAND | 11 |
| Defining Broadband | 11 |
| Delivering Broadband | 12 |
| Regulating Broadband | 18 |
| BROADBAND AND EDUCATION | 21 |
| The Importance of Home Connectivity in Education | 22 |
| Distance Learning: Pennsylvania Response to Coronavirus | 24 |
| Schools and Libraries Program of the Universal Service Fund (E-Rate) | 29 |
| E-Rate and the Pennsylvania School Procurement Regulations | 30 |
| PaIUnet | 31 |
| Higher Education | 33 |
| Public Libraries | 36 |
| Efforts in Other States | 37 |
| BROADBAND AND HEALTHCARE | 39 |
| Electronic Health Records | 39 |
| Telehealth: The Basics | 41 |
| Federal Efforts to Support Telemedicine | 43 |
| Telehealth in the Covid-19 Era | 45 |
| BROADBAND AND AGRICULTURE | 55 |
| Internet and Computer Adoption among Pennsylvania Farmers | 56 |
| Broadband Applications in Other Agribusinesses | 58 |
| Precision Agriculture | 59 |
| Barriers to Precision Agriculture in Pennsylvania | 65 |
| Agritourism | 67 |
| BROADBAND AND COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | 71 |
| Broadband and Small Business | 74 |
| Broadband and Tourism | 76 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| ISSUES ENCOUNTERED IN DEPLOYING BROADBAND | 79 |
| Adoption by Consumers | 79 |
| Loss of Landlines | 82 |
| Coordination of Construction Projects - “Dig Once” Policies..... | 82 |
| Pole Attachments | 85 |
| Municipal Zoning | 86 |
| | |
| ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF BROADBAND EXPANSION | 91 |
| Public-Private Partnerships | 91 |
| Community-Based and Municipal-Owned Networks | 92 |
| Rural Electrification Model | 94 |
| | |
| POTENTIAL SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR BROADBAND EXPANSION ... | 99 |
| Current Federal and Pennsylvania Grant and Loan Programs | 99 |
| Tax Incentives and Bonds | 110 |
| Support from Other State Policy Priorities | 111 |
| Potential Other Sources of Funding for Broadband Expansion | 112 |
| | |
| PENNSYLVANIA STATE AND LOCAL INITIATIVES | 117 |
| Community Efforts | 117 |
| State Level Efforts | 126 |
| | |
| METHODS EMPLOYED IN OTHER STATES | 133 |
| State Legislative Enactments and Developments in 2020 | 147 |
| | |
| DATA AND MAPPING | 155 |
| | |
| UNSERVED AND UNDERSERVED AREAS | 165 |
| | |
| APPENDICES | 167 |
| Appendix A: 2019 Senate Resolution 47 | 169 |
| Appendix B: Consumer Guide on Household Broadband | 177 |
| Appendix C: | 183 |
| <u>Table A</u> Communities with No Fixed Wireless Service above 25 Mbps/3Mbps | |
| <u>Table B</u> Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds | |
| <u>Table C</u> Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband Providers Serving Less than 50% of the Geographic Area, By Fastest Speed Available | |
| <u>Table D</u> Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area | |

INTRODUCTION

Senate Resolution 47, Printer's No. 951, adopted on June 26, 2019, created a legislative task force on high-speed broadband services, and directed the Joint State Government Commission to conduct a study on the delivery of high-speed broadband services in unserved and underserved areas of the Commonwealth. The Commission was further directed to establish an advisory committee to assist in its study. The advisory committee included representatives from Commonwealth agencies with an interest in broadband delivery, as well as Internet service providers, and related cable, wireless, and other technology industries and associations.

This is the first of five reports the Commission, in collaboration with the advisory committee, is submitting to address the following topics:

- Background information on all relevant matters;
- Recommendations designed:
 - To improve the delivery of broadband to unserved and underserved areas of the Commonwealth;
 - To extend the benefits of advanced high-speed broadband technology to every community in the Commonwealth via collaborative partnerships with governmental and private sector stakeholders and other means of providing these benefits;
 - For mechanisms and possible programs to fund the expansion of broadband availability, including harmonization of funding options with any existing federal or other state programs;
- Propose legislation relevant to proposed recommendations and specifically to address the delivery of high-speed broadband services to rural high-cost areas, including modernization of telecommunications policies, regulations, and statutes regardless of technology, and the elimination of outdated and unnecessary regulations, as well as eliminating barriers to the expansion of broadband availability.

An initial report is due in the summer of 2020, with four subsequent annual reports to follow. The advisory committee met five times, either in person or via teleconference, on September 19, 2019, February 27, 2020, May 8, 2020, May 28, 2020, and July 30, 2020. Further work on the project occurred via group emails.

Throughout its discussions, members of the advisory committee expressed how broadband Internet services are useful, if not necessary in many human endeavors. High-speed broadband has applications for education, health care, agriculture, economic and community development, the arts, and tourism, to name a few. Broadband's vital role was starkly evident from the outset of the Covid-19 outbreak when the need for mitigation actions both statewide and nationally revealed how vital Internet communications are as millions attempted to continue to work, study, and access health care from home under governmental "stay at home" orders.

A statement released in April 2020 by Penn State's College of Agricultural Sciences detailed how this pandemic is affecting rural areas without broadband coverage are at a disadvantage when compared to areas with broadband coverage as they face unique challenges. Significant problems were identified for

- Individuals filing for unemployment benefits or applying for new jobs;
- Businesses attempting to sell their goods and services online;
- Businesses to apply for CARES programs, including the Small Business Administration's Paycheck Protection Program;
- Communities applying for CARES funds;
- Patients needing to obtain online prescriptions or telehealth services, including mental health care;
- Elderly residents applying for benefits;
- Homebound students attempting to keep up with their school assignments;
- Workers encouraged to "work from home;"
- Farmers, whose markets have disappeared when restaurants, schools, and related local institutions shut down, in finding alternative and new outlets for their perishable products through online sales;
- Rural business owners, and community leaders, and farmers accessing the research-based Extension programs and information provided by Land Grant Universities; and
- Individuals responding to the Census online, which would affect the future distribution of resources.⁴

⁴ Penn State College of Agricultural Sciences, Northeast Regional Center for Rural Development, NERCD Covid-19 Issues Brief No. 2020-6, "Rural Broadband Investment Urgently Needed in the Covid-19 Crisis,"

Nationally, the pandemic has highlighted the need for expanded connectivity in rural America and spurred the formation of a national coalition to urge Congress and the federal government to provide robust federal investment to bridge the digital divide, especially in terms of telehealth, distance learning, precision agriculture, and the economic value inherent in internet connectivity. The American Connection Project Broadband Coalition was established in July 2020 and consists of 50 different entities representing major companies and trade associations.⁵

This topic area is particularly challenging to nail down. Technology is constantly evolving, providers continue their ongoing efforts to deploy broadband in rural areas under previous federal and state incentives, and the official FCC broadband deployment reports are retrospective in that they provide data on the services available as of December 31, 2018. Therefore, it becomes difficult to state with certainty broadband's *status quo*. Given these constraints, the reader should bear in mind a few things:

- This report is a snapshot of the state of the high-speed broadband Internet field in late July 2020. Within months, or even weeks, the area could undergo a complete shift as technology evolves.
- The FCC defines “broadband” at high speed Internet meeting the following minimum speeds: download speeds of 25Mbps (megabits⁶ per second) and minimum upload speeds of 3Mbps. This is not the legal minimum for incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies (ILECs) in Pennsylvania. ILECs are, in essence, the telephone companies in existence at the time the Pennsylvania statute was adopted in 1993. The 1993 enactment defined broadband at a download speed of 1.544 Mbps, with an unspecified upload speed. When the law was reenacted in 2004, the speed was established set at 1.544 Mbps download and 128 Kbps upload, where it remains.⁷

A further distinction exists in that while SR 47 directs the study to be technology neutral, the FCC continues to differentiate between fixed and mobile services. The FCC generally concludes:

April 28, 2020, <https://aese.psu.edu/nercrd/publications/covid-19-issues-briefs/rural-broadband-investment-urgently-needed-in-the-covid-19-crisis>.

⁵ American Farm Bureau Federation, “New Coalition Calls for Immediate Action to Expand Broadband Access,” *FB News*, last modified July 13, 2020, <https://www.fb.org/news/new-coalition-calls-for-immediate-action-to-expand-broadband-access>; National Association of State Departments of Agriculture, “NASDA joins diverse coalition to expand rural broadband access,” Press Release, (July 8, 2020), <https://www.nasda.org/news/nasda-joins-diverse-coalition-to-expand-rural-broadband-access>. The Coalition was initiated by Land O Lakes, Microsoft and other entities, including the American Farm Bureau Federation and the National Association of State Departments of Agriculture. The membership list is found at <https://www.landolakesinc.com/Press/News/American-Connection-Project>.

⁶ A megabit is 1,000,000 bits, otherwise known as binary digits, which are the smallest unit of measurement used to quantify computer data. “Bit,” *Tech Terms*, last modified April 20, 2013, <https://techterms.com/definition/bit>.

⁷ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3012.

While users may substitute between mobile and fixed broadband when accessing certain services and applications, the record indicates that they are not yet functional substitutes for all uses and customer groups. Based on the record before us, we again find that fixed broadband and mobile wireless broadband services are not functional substitutes in all cases. We also continue to conclude that both fixed and mobile services provide capabilities that satisfy the statutory definition of advanced telecommunications capability.⁸

Similarly, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission views mobile broadband service as an alternative to, and not a substitute for, fixed wired service. Additionally, it should be noted that wireless (mobile) technology connects the user to a wireless facility that in turn routes the communications via coaxial or fiber cable lines to the Internet, and thus is ultimately reliant on wired service as well.

Based on these distinctions, Commission staff adopted specific criteria to determine which areas of the Commonwealth were unserved and underserved areas. For these purposes, high-speed broadband was defined at the FCC fixed service speeds of 25Mbps/3Mbps. An “unserved” area was therefore defined as one in which there was no wired broadband service available at the FCC minimum speeds. As such, “unserved” communities will have satellite service (ubiquitous in Pennsylvania) and may have mobile and fixed services that meet the state standard of 1.544Mbps/128Kbps, but not the federal definition of broadband. In other words, any area not meeting the FCC’s minimum services speeds is considered unserved for purposes of this report.

Finally, it should be noted that the recommendations contained in this report represent the general consensus of the Advisory Committee. They are not unanimously endorsed and should not be considered the official position of all of the organizations represented on the committee.

⁸ “Inquiry Concerning Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Capability to All Americans in a Reasonable and Timely Fashion,” 2020 Broadband Deployment Report (FCC 2020 Report), Federal Communications Commission, FCC 20-50, adopted April 20, 2020, released April 24, 2020, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-20-50A1.pdf>, 6.

RECOMMENDATIONS

While the recommendations in this report are the consensus of the members of the Advisory Committee, it should not be assumed by the reader that agreement was unanimous. Some provisions were the subject of much debate and concerns are noted in context.

RECOMMENDATION #1:

Pennsylvania should establish an independent governmental entity in the form of a broadband authority to oversee and support broadband deployment statewide. The authority should have representation from all relevant executive departments, independent agencies, the Governor's office, the General Assembly, broadband providers, consumer protection agencies, and other stakeholders, including representatives of areas without broadband coverage.

Duties could include:

- Serving as a single point of contact for all broadband-related activities, and coordinating activities of other agencies and departments charged with specific aspects of broadband development and deployment
- Administering State funds in the form of grants and loans to assist in "last mile" broadband deployment, and similar incentives to increase minimum speeds to attain the FCC standards. This would include establishing financial assistance eligibility requirements and providing oversight of recipients' expenditures (See Recommendations 2 and 3 for more details)
- Serving as a funding resource base, to identify and coordinate opportunities to access federal funding, non-governmental organization funding, and other funding opportunities to help eliminate duplicate efforts and synthesis multiple-provider efforts in any given area.
- Developing educational materials and engaging in public information campaigns to encourage adoption of broadband in areas where it is already available and increase understanding of the need for broadband access for all Commonwealth residents
- Developing guidance for municipalities to stream-line zoning processes

It is recommended that this authority be subject to Section 8 of the Pennsylvania Sunset Act (the act of December 22, 1981 (P.L. 508, No. 142)), and terminate in six years, unless reauthorized by the General Assembly at that time.

Until the broadband authority is fully established, the Governor's Office of Broadband Initiatives should be receive a line item appropriation, beginning in the 2020-2021 state budget. Once the authority is established, the office should be folded into the authority.

Structurally, the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority (PENNVEST) could serve as a model for the entity. It is not envisioned as a regulatory body, but rather a central broadband deployment investment and coordination body.

The Advisory Committee has begun drafting proposed legislation to create an independent broadband authority in Pennsylvania, and hopes to provide it to the General Assembly in early fall 2020.

RECOMMENDATION #2:

Economic feasibility is frequently cited as a barrier to rural high-speed Internet deployment. Extremely low population areas and/or low demand in these areas may not present enough potential consumers to economically justify the expense to commercial entities to build out to these areas. In those areas where "last mile" connectivity is not a viable option for commercial entities, authority could be granted to community-based networks, municipalities, and existing infrastructure entities, such as rural electric cooperatives, to complete deployment. These efforts should attempt to coordinate with existing infrastructure and avoid extremely expensive capacity overbuilding. The authority proposed in Recommendation #1 would be able to assist in ensuring that efforts are sensitive to avoiding overbuilding of capacity.

RECOMMENDATION #3:

Any community-based organization, municipality, rural electric cooperative, commercial entity, or fixed wireless provider, should be eligible for state-supported loans and grants administered by the independent authority proposed in Recommendation #1. All entities should meet specified qualifications to be able to assure the authority of the feasibility of their proposals. Oversight should be provided in the form of financial reviews and accountings for the use of grant or loan funds. Bonding could be a requirement for new ventures or those with no previous experience in the delivery of broadband services.

RECOMMENDATION #4:

The definition of broadband should provide for Internet speeds more consistent with currently technological capabilities and needs, as well as contain a mechanism by which minimum speeds can be adjusted as technology evolves. The consensus of most of the advisory committee members is that the definition of broadband should be consistent with the FCC standard. Additionally, all entities that receive state funds to deploy broadband should be subject to the same speed standards.

The current FCC standard is a moving target. Further, it is a somewhat arbitrary, aspirational number, established in 2015 based on an analysis of what speeds were currently available and how much consumers were seeking and adopting those speeds. Additionally, the 25Mbps/3Mbps minimum broadband speed is not uniform across all technologies. While it applies to fixed wired services, the FCC standard for mobile service is generally 10Mbps/1Mbps. Additionally, some federal broadband programs require yet other minimum speeds. Further, efforts to legislatively adopt a minimum speed that is directly tied to the FCC standard risks running afoul of the Pennsylvania constitutional prohibition against the delegation of legislative powers.

Due to this multitude of complicating factors, the advisory committee cannot agree on a recommended minimum speed standard for Pennsylvania, but members concur that some form of aspirational speed should be determined. A transition period to attain the standard should be established, and a mechanism for review and revision of speed standards after a set period of years should be created. Exceptions may be provided in circumstances where a specific federal funding program requires deployment at speeds other than the FCC standard.

RECOMMENDATION #5:

Broadband deployment efforts should include considerations of affordability to consumers. As part of broadband deployment funding, a recipient of state funding should be required to provide some level of minimum service at a uniform price as a low-cost alternative for lower income subscribers. Further, all providers should be expected to meet the same standards of speed and access to services for lower income subscribers regardless of geographic location.

RECOMMENDATION #6:

Efforts should continue to identify unserved and underserved areas, and priority of efforts to expand broadband deployment should focus on these areas first, and be technology-neutral, both in terms of existing technology and new and evolving technologies.

RECOMMENDATION #7:

Anchor institutions such as schools, postsecondary institutions, libraries, municipal offices, community facilities, etc., in unserved and underserved areas should have a minimum high-speed level of wired services.

RECOMMENDATION #8:

The Advisory Committee is in agreement that competitive market conditions for deployment do not reach all areas of need. Consequently, providers should be given incentives to meet service objectives in areas of marketplace failure, but such incentives must be tied to verifiable standards and objective accountability. There is within the Advisory Committee and the wider field of persons concerned with deployment of broadband, a divide over whether the industry should be further regulated or de-regulated. The consensus is that broadband has become an essential service for most people, but how to engage the market to ensure availability does not have a “one size fits all” answer. Further study may be advisable to evaluate the benefits and detriments of regulating all high-speed internet providers, regardless of technology deployed, or deregulating as to broadband obligations of currently regulated broadband providers.

RECOMMENDATION #9:

The Advisory Committee is in accord that broadband deployment should be permanently funded as a line item appropriation in the Commonwealth’s annual budget for the proposed broadband authority. A dedicated Broadband Fund should be established to fund broadband deployment and improvement. Additionally, several other potential funding opportunities exist that may be appropriate for Pennsylvania to pursue.

- At least 19 states have decided to use funds received under the CARES Act, one of the federal government responses to the Covid-19 pandemic, to expand broadband services.⁹ Allocations of these funds include supporting telehealth, telework, and distance/digital learning. These efforts include spending to purchased devices, improve low-income connectivity, and rural deployment. For example, Alabama is considering using \$800 million of its estimated \$1.7 billion in federal coronavirus relief money for broadband expansion, particularly in the fields of education and medicine.¹⁰ Vermont has produced an Emergency Broadband Action Plan that would use some of its \$1.25 billion CARES Act allocation to provide universal broadband service. The CARES Act also includes \$100 million for the USDA’s rural broadband program.¹¹ The Advisory Committee supports efforts to direct some of the Commonwealth’s CARES funding to rural broadband expansion.

⁹ “State Actions on Coronavirus Relief Funds,” *National Conference of State Legislatures*, accessed August 7, 2020, <https://app.powerbi.com/view?r=eyJrIjoiMTcyNGQ5ZmUtNTY3Mi00YjViLTgyNjMtZjk1NzVkYTUyZGUzIiwidCI6IjM4MmZiOGIwLTRkYzMtNDEwNy04MGJkLTM1OTVhMjZmZmZhZSIsImMiOjZ9&pageName=ReportSection>.

¹⁰ Brian Lyman, “Alabama Proposes \$800M of Coronavirus Fund for Broadband,” *Montgomery Advertiser*, last modified April 29, 2020, <https://www.governing.com/finance/Alabama-Proposes-800M-of-Coronavirus-Fund-for-Broadband.html>.

¹¹ April Simpson, “Under Social Distancing, Rural Regions Push for More Broadband,” *Stateline*, last modified May 14, 2020, <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2020/05/14/under-social-distancing-rural-regions-push-for-more-broadband>.

- Adopt legislation to convert the Mobile Telecommunications Broadband Investment Tax Credit (limited to \$5 million per year available to mobile telecommunication providers to invest in broadband equipment) into a competitive grant that targets the unserved and underserved areas of Pennsylvania. This option is discussed more fully at pp. 114-116, *infra*.
- If the Commonwealth establishes a fund that covers special construction charges (one-time build-out costs) to provide fiber connectivity to schools and libraries that need it, the E-rate Program will increase an applicant's discount rate for these charges up to an additional 10 percent to match the state funding on a one-to-one dollar basis. To date, 24 states have established matching grant programs. Adopting such a fund could significantly reduce a local school district's or library's construction costs. They would, however, still need to cover the ongoing monthly connectivity charges. This option is discussed more fully at pp. 113-114, *infra*.

DEFINING AND DELIVERING BROADBAND

Defining Broadband

The term “broadband” refers to the high-speed transmission of data over a wide band (broadband) of frequencies. How wide the band must be to be deemed high speed is constantly evolving. Currently, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has defined the broadband as having minimum download speeds of 25Mbps (megabits¹² per second) and minimum upload speeds of 3Mbps.

In 1993, the Pennsylvania General Assembly added a new Chapter 30 to Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes to encourage the deployment of broadband Internet services across Pennsylvania. Established as an alternative form of regulation of telecommunication services, the enactment encouraged broadband deployment at mandatory minimum speeds by reducing regulation through eliminating strict adherence to traditional rate base/rate of return utility regulation and opening up the local telecommunications market to competition. The act’s purposes included provisions:

Maintain universal telecommunications service at affordable rates while encouraging the accelerated deployment of a universally available, state-of-the-art, interactive, public-switched broadband telecommunications network in rural, suburban and urban areas, including deployment of broadband facilities in or adjacent to the public rights-of-way abutting public schools, including the administrative offices supporting public schools; industrial parks; and health care facilities...¹³

At that time, broadband was defined as “a communication channel using any technology and having a bandwidth equal to or greater than 1.544 megabits per second.”¹⁴ Pennsylvania’s efforts predated those of the U.S. Congress, which enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to “promote competition and reduce regulation in order to secure lower prices and higher quality services for American telecommunications consumers and encourage the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies.”¹⁵ In 1996, the FCC determined that appropriate broadband speed, up- or

¹² A megabit is 1,000,000 bits, otherwise known as binary digits, which are the smallest unit of measurement used to quantify computer data. “Bit,” *Tech Terms*, last modified April 20, 2013, <https://techterms.com/definition/bit>.

¹³ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3011(2), originally enacted as §3001(1) by the act of July 8, 1993, P.L. 456, No. 67; reenacted by the act of November 30, 2004, P.L. 1398, No. 183.

¹⁴ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3002 (1993 version).

¹⁵ Preamble, Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996).

downstream was 200Kbps (kilobits per second).¹⁶ 200Kbps is the equivalent of 0.2 Mbps. Accordingly, in 1993 Pennsylvania's speed standard was significantly higher than the federal government's. Pennsylvania's remained faster than the FCC requirement when the speed was revised in 2004 to 1.544 Mbps download and 128 Kbps upload.¹⁷ However, in 2010, the FCC upgraded its speeds to 4Mbps minimum download and 1/Mbps minimum upload, followed by another increase in 2015 to the current 25 Mbps/3Mbps, while Pennsylvania's speeds remained at the 2004 levels.

Availability of the FCC standard broadband speeds impacts federal grant monies, as well as the Commonwealth's own efforts to deploy high-speed Internet. Both Pennsylvania's law and the Broadband Consumer Bill of Rights¹⁸ declare that consumers have the right to request broadband access service to the Internet from their "incumbent local exchange carrier" (ILEC), the established local telephone company,¹⁹ at the Pennsylvania minimum standard speed of 1.544 Mbps/128 Kbps, which is significantly slower than the FCC's mandate.

Delivering Broadband

Broadband high-speed Internet access transmits data using a wide range of frequencies, and enables a large number of messages to be communicated simultaneously. Broadband is provided through wired and wireless technologies. Wired broadband connects to a building via digital subscriber line (DSL), coaxial cable, fiber optic cables, and power lines. Some wireless technologies use satellites. Regardless of the type of connection, all technologies providing broadband rely on some form of physical infrastructure.

DSL and cable technology make use of a local area network (LAN) that uses a single router to create the network and manage all the connected devices. The router acts as the central connection point and enables devices, such as computers, tablets, and smartphones to communicate with each other. Typically, the router is connected to a cable or DSL modem, which provides Internet access to connected devices via the telephone line or coaxial cable.

Pennsylvania's Department of Community and Economic Development identifies 150 Internet service providers in the Commonwealth, of which 89 provide fixed Internet services to residential consumers. Of those 89 providers, there are 20 companies that offer terrestrial fixed wireless service, and 23 that offer cable services. The remaining 46 residential service providers offer some form of DSL service, some with fiber to end user

¹⁶ One megabit per second (Mbps) is equal to 1,000 Kbps.

¹⁷ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3012, as reenacted and amended by the act of November 30, 2004, P.L. 1398, No. 183.

¹⁸ "Broadband Bill of Rights," *Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission*, accessed August 14, 2020, http://www.puc.state.pa.us/Telecom/pdf/Broadband_Bill_of_Rights.pdf.

¹⁹ These companies include Verizon Pennsylvania, Verizon North, CenturyLink, Frontier, Windstream, and other smaller companies.

service. A total of nine providers offer mobile Internet services in the Commonwealth, and three satellite providers are listed as offering consumer service.²⁰

Digital Subscriber Line (DSL)

DSL connects subscribers to the Internet through otherwise unused traditional copper telephone lines, thereby avoiding interruptions to telephone services. DSL speeds vary based on the Internet provider and its offerings. DSL's high speed is not always available for particular areas because of their distance from the central office, which usually has a limit of around 18,000 feet.²¹ However, DSL is readily accessible because it is available wherever there is a telephone network.

Cable

Cable connections are provided by local cable TV providers. The same cables that deliver picture and sound to television sets are used. Cable modem service is "always on;" so access is achieved by simply turning on the computer. Frequently, the cable package includes Internet, cable TV, and home telephone services. Bundling the three services reduces the subscription prices. Cable Internet is generally faster than DSL, and distance between the residence and the cable company does not impact speed. However, the speed of the connection depends at any given time on the number of users. For example, an Internet connection might be slower at night because of the activity during that time. Oftentimes, cable service is not available in rural areas because of the low population density. Cable providers are hesitant to provide services in rural areas because expenses frequently exceed revenues.

"Coaxial" cable is a type of electrical cable consisting of an inner conductor (usually copper) surrounded by a concentric conducting shield, with the two separated by an insulating material; many coaxial cables also have a protective outer sheath or jacket. The term "coaxial" refers to the inner conductor and the outer shield sharing a geometric axis. Coaxial cable was heavily in use in the 20th century for computer networking and Internet transmission, but its use has declined since the late 1990s and hybrid fiber coaxial (HFC), a combination of optical fiber and coaxial cable, has largely taken over its market. Coaxial cable is not capable of producing the speeds required for high volume Internet usage. According to Broadband Cable Association of Pennsylvania (BCAP), its member systems are approximately 80 percent fiber with only the 'drop,' or extension to the home, being coaxial cable.²²

²⁰ "Broadband Resources," *PA Department of Community and Economic Development*, accessed June 15, 2020, <https://dced.pa.gov/broadband-resources/>.

²¹ "What Are the Wired Broadband Technologies?" *Broadband Matters*, accessed March 26, 2020, <https://broadbandmatters.com/what-are-broadbandtechnologies>.

²² Email from Brian F. Barno, Vice President, Government Affairs, Broadband Cable Association of Pennsylvania, May 26, 2020.

Fiber Optic Lines

“Fiber optic technology converts electrical signals to light pulses (on/off) and sends the light pulses through transparent glass fibers about the diameter of a human hair.”²³ Fiber optic is the fastest Internet connection thus far. It is reliable because it does not rely on copper wires, as DSL does. Copper wires are vulnerable in various environmental conditions. Also, copper wires will experience significant degradation in quality over a distance of 1.5 miles. Fiber systems have farther distance, faster connection, better signal, and do not break as easily as copper systems, which saves money.²⁴

However, the use of fiber comes at a cost, as fiber optic installations could be expensive depending on the location. Installation costs become cheaper if existing conduits are close to the installation location. As a result, the cost of installing fiber optic in rural areas can become unaffordable for some rural residents. Fiber optic prices in urban areas tend to be competitive with cable or DSL Internet.²⁵

Broadband over Power Lines (BPL)

BPL delivers broadband over existing low- and medium- voltage electric power distribution networks. Existing electrical connections and outlets in the home are used. However, the cost of equipping the power lines to carry the broadband signal is the biggest financial hurdle. Pilot projects have indicated that between four to six homes would need to be connected to one transformer in order to make prices comparable to DSL or cable. In rural areas, this could be a significant hurdle.²⁶ While lines may still exist in some areas, this is essentially an obsolete technology that is no longer being deployed.

Fixed Wireless

Fixed wireless broadcasts the connection to and from the main Internet line to individual residents using radio waves from an access point (usually mounted on a tower) to reception dishes at consumer residences. This form of wireless technology does not use satellites. Fixed wireless is a “last mile” technology that can cover a large rural area. It has low latency, which means that downloads have minimal delays, which makes online gaming and video conferencing possible. However, its biggest limitation is that the antenna at the residence and the ground station of the provider must have a direct line of sight. It is limited by terrain and subject to weather conditions.²⁷

²³ *Ibid.*

²⁴ “Types of Broadband Connections,” *Federal Communications Commission*, last modified June 23, 2014, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/types-broadband-connections>.

²⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁶ *Ibid.*

²⁷ *Ibid.*

Satellite

Satellite uses a satellite dish to provide two-way access to broadband services. Satellite is mostly used in rural areas and is often unreliable in snow, rain, and other poor weather conditions. Downstream and upstream speeds for satellite broadband depend on several factors, including the provider and service package purchased, the consumer's line of sight to the orbiting satellite, and the weather. One of the biggest issues with satellite is its latency issues. This high latency is caused because of broadband traveling long distance. High latency causes delays in phone calls, lags in online gaming, and slows down video conferencing.²⁸

Satellite Internet prices vary based on data caps. The average data cap for satellite Internet plans is 60 GB. The highest data cap available is 150 GB. Once a customer reaches the monthly data cap the service can still be used, but the speed will drop to 1–3 Mbps until the next month's data allowance becomes available. However, there are no overage fees. If the customer only uses the Internet for web browsing and email, a 35 GB plan will probably suffice. If the customer is a heavier user, gaming, streaming music and video, data will be consumed quickly. An hour of HD video streaming can use up to 3 GB.²⁹

As of the date of this report, two satellite companies provide satellite broadband Internet service across the country. Viasat offers packages at up to 35 Mbps and 100 Mbps download speeds, while Hughesnet universally offers up to 25 Mbps download speed. Most plans come with a data cap. Once the customer's data allotment for the month is exceeded, speeds drop to 1 to 3 Mbps. Average monthly plans cost about \$100 per month versus cable or fiber, which average \$50 per month. Initial installation charges and equipment rentals and purchases can run into hundreds of dollars, making satellite prohibitive for those customers who cannot afford the initial upfront costs.³⁰ A third company, Skycasters, based in Akron, Ohio, is listed as providing consumer Internet service in all Pennsylvania counties in the DCED database as of June 2020. Speeds listed as available are 2 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload. The company is not listed as a consumer provider in the 2018 FCC database.

In March 2020, the FCC approved the application of SpaceX to deploy up to one million small antennas to link to its Starlink network of 12,000 low-earth satellites, designed to help bring broadband to rural communities. The low-earth satellites are expected to provide lower latency and be more competitive with wired broadband networks.³¹ SpaceX satellite service is scheduled to be available fall 2020. Amazon,

²⁸ *Ibid.*

²⁹ Dave Schafer, "How Much Does Satellite Internet Cost?" *Satellite Internet*, last modified December 2, 2019, <https://www.satelliteInternet.com/resources/how-much-does-satellite-Internet-cost/>.

³⁰ Information gleaned from various links on [satelliteinternet.com](https://www.satelliteinternet.com).

³¹ Michael Sheetz, "FCC Approves SpaceX to Deploy up to 1 Million Small Antennas for Starlink Internet Network," *CNBC*, last modified March 20, 2020, <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/20/fcc-approves-spacex-to-deploy-1-million-antennas-for-starlink-Internet.html>. See FCC-18-38A2_Rcd.pdf.

through its Kuiper System, also plans to launch a low-earth satellite network of over 3,200 broadband satellites with similar goals.³²

Mobile Broadband

Mobile broadband is either delivered over a cellphone network or via Wi-Fi from what is, essentially, just a landline or cable broadband link to the Internet. Cellphone networks rely on fixed towers and more recently, small cell transmitters to relay signals. Availability and service depend on reliability of cellular service in general. WiFi uses radio waves to connect to wired technology so that access to the Internet extends beyond the home wherever there is wireless technology installed.³³

5G is the fifth generation of technology used by mobile service providers to allow users to access data. The service boasts speeds much higher than that of 4G, the current most popular generation of mobile service technology. The ability of machines to communicate over a wireless network will have positive effects in many industries including agriculture, automotive, health, manufacturing and construction.³⁴

5G technology would allow networks that are currently connected through fiber to be wireless, an innovation that would benefit the Internet of Things (IoT). However, 5G, like other forms of mobile broadband, ultimately must access fiber optic cables to complete their connections.

Areas targeted for 5G coverage require lots of fiber to be successful, and not just for capacity reasons, but also to meet the other rather formidable 5G performance goals related to network diversity, availability, and coverage, since all three of these goals are achieved through a greater number of interconnected paths, of fiber. It's rather ironic that the projected performance goals of 5G *wireless* will depend on the availability of *wireline* fiber.³⁵

As do earlier generations of cellular services, 5G transmits information from cell towers to devices through radio waves. The information travels through the air on channels, which each take up a certain amount of available spectrum. Spectrum is divided into three parts: low-band spectrum (under 2 GHz), mid-band spectrum (2-10 GHz), and high-band spectrum (20-100 GHz). 4G utilizes low-band spectrum, which is functional but becoming increasingly overcrowded as it is the spectrum almost all cell carriers operate on. 4G

³² Jon Brodtkin, "Amazon Plans Nationwide Broadband—With Both Home and Mobile Service: Amazon Seeks FCC Approval to Launch 3,236 Low-Earth Broadband Satellites," *ARS Technica*, last modified July 8 2019, <https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2019/07/amazon-follows-spacex-into-satellite-broadband-asks-fcc-to-ok-launch-plan/>.

³³ *Supra*, note 24.

³⁴ Afif Osseiran, Ericsson, Jose F. Monserrat *et al.*, *5G Mobile and Wireless Communications and Technology*, (Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 2016).

³⁵ Brian Lavellée, "5G Wireless Needs Fiber, and Lots of It," *Ciena*, accessed August 14, 2020, https://www.ciena.com/insights/articles/5G-wireless-needs-fiber-and-lots-of-it_prx.html.

channels can take up around 20 MHz each and be layered with other channels up to 140 MHz. The speeds that come with low-band spectrum see their peak at about 100 Mbps. Mid-band spectrum is not widely used for 4G or 5G except by Sprint.

High-band spectrum, also known as mmWave, is the most optimal medium for 5G connectivity. By utilizing high-band spectrum, 5G technology can support channels up to 100 MHz with up to 800 MHz by using multiple channels. These broader channels allow for significantly higher speeds, but the technology also has significant weaknesses. The coverage, though it can provide speeds up to 10 Gbps, extends only to a small area around the size of a city block and does not penetrate buildings due to the amount of data being transmitted and the size of the radio waves. Therefore, a network of 5G coverage requires many small cells placed close together in a grid in an urban setting to provide a reliable signal.³⁶

5G is not seen as a solution for rural areas. The use of small cells is convenient in cities that are looking to bring wireless networking technology to the next level, but as it is reliant on an expansive web of small cells, it would not be cost-effective in a region where homes and businesses are spread out.³⁷

One concern with the increasing prevalence of 5G use in homes is its vulnerability to hacking. Though the technology is typically even more secure than its 4G counterpart, once 5G is implemented widely it will be used to connect different smart machines in a home on the same network and allow communication between devices. The vulnerability to hacking exists in smart devices that have not been properly updated by an owner to withstand cyber-attacks. Once a hacker has access to one's personal information through 5G, he will be able to quickly download large amounts of data. Cell carriers are taking these concerns seriously and innovating security protocols to deal with this new challenge.³⁸

Dark Fiber

When fiber optic cable is installed, the cable is designed for future growth and development and has excess capacity. As fiber optic is expensive to be laid in the ground, as much as possible is laid initially. The amount actually used is sometimes a fraction of the total capacity. That portion not currently in use, meaning not turned "on," or connected to transmission equipment, is referred to as "dark fiber." Some business and organizations, like university and hospital campuses, are considering switching from commercial ISPs to creating their own dark fiber networks. To do so, they lease access to the dark fiber, which provides them with significant broadband access, future growth needs, and a private, customized, highly secure network.

³⁶ Sascha Segan, "What is 5G?" *PCMag.com*, last modified April 6, 2020, <https://www.pcmag.com/news/what-is-5g>.

³⁷ *Ibid.*

³⁸ Nick Huber, "A Hacker's Paradise? 5G and Cyber Security," *Financial Times*, last modified October 14, 2019, <https://www.ft.com/content/74edc076-ca6f-11e9-af46-b09e8bfe60c0>.

Regulating Broadband

Broadband is not heavily regulated by either the federal government or Pennsylvania. Most government intervention comes in the form of determining what speeds qualify as broadband in order to receive government incentives for development and deployment. Broadband, as a relatively new technological development, is usually found under the umbrella of telecommunications laws and regulations, although the fit is not exact. The federal Communications Act of 1934 consolidated existing radio, television, and telephone regulations under the authority of the FCC.³⁹ Section 706 of the act⁴⁰, added in 1996, included in its purposes that “telecommunications services shall encourage the deployment on a reasonable and timely basis of advanced telecommunications capability to all Americans (including, in particular, elementary and secondary schools and classrooms) by utilizing, in a manner consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, price cap regulation, regulatory forbearance, measures that promote competition in the local telecommunications market, or other regulating methods that remove barriers to infrastructure investment.”⁴¹ “Advanced telecommunication capability” was defined as “without regard to any transmission media or technology, as high-speed, switched, broadband telecommunications capability that enables users to originate and receive high-quality voice, data, graphics, and video telecommunications using any technology.”⁴²

In 2002, the FCC decided to treat broadband Internet access service (services such as cable that do not include voice telecommunications) as an information service and subsequently applied that treatment to fixed and mobile broadband Internet access services.⁴³ This decision was revisited in 2015. Acting under the FCC’s interpretation of its authority under Section 706 and recent judicial opinions, the FCC changed the treatment of all broadband services to that of telecommunication services, subjecting all ISP’s to the rules and regulations governing common carriers such as telephone and radio providers under Title II of the act, and thus effectively deeming broadband services to be public utilities. This decision was not universally applauded, and with the change of federal administrations in 2016, the FCC reversed the order. Effective June 11, 2018, broadband Internet access service regulation was restored to its pre-2015 status, in which non-voice ISPs were only regulated by the Federal Trade Commission in the areas of anti-competitive behavior and deceptive practices.⁴⁴ The FCC’s reclassification of broadband Internet access services from a “telecommunications service” to an “information service” was upheld by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit

³⁹ Pub.L. 73–416, 48 Stat. 1064. 47 U.S.C. § 151 et seq.

⁴⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 1302.

⁴¹ *Ibid.* § 706(a).

⁴² *Ibid.* § 706(c)

⁴³ FCC, “Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet; Final Rule,” Federal Register, Vol. 80, No. 70 at 19744 (2015).

⁴⁴ FCC, “Restoring Internet Freedom,” Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, 33 FCC Rcd 311 (2017) <https://www.fcc.gov/restoring-Internet-freedom>.

Court) in *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC*² (Mozilla) case. This decision brings into question the FCC’s authority to regulate broadband in general. The Mozilla Court asked the FCC to explain how its authority under section 254(e) could extend to broadband, “even ‘over facilities-based broadband-capable networks that support voice service’ now that as a result of its classification of broadband service as a Title I information service broadband is no longer considered to be a common carrier service.”⁴⁵

Regulation as a Public Utility

The question arises frequently as to whether broadband services should be regulated as a public utility. A “public utility” is a legal concept describing a company that provides an essential public service under government regulation and oversight. Public utilities can be defined as meeting specific criteria, to wit:

- provide an essential, unusually non-differentiated commodity – such as gas, electricity, or water;
- over a capital-intensive infrastructure network utilizing public rights-of-way; and
- usually on a ‘full requirements’ basis.

The justification for regulating a particular industry as a public utility is because the nature of service provided tends to lead to natural monopolies, which by their nature are anti-competitive. These services use an infrastructure that requires a massive investment, and provides lower prices at economies of scale, but usually result in only one provider being able to be profitable in a given geographic area.⁴⁶

Pennsylvania, like most other states, does not regulate Internet service as a public utility. The Public Utility Code specifically defines public utilities based on the particular services they provide. Telephone, telegraph, domestic land mobile radio services and microwave radio service are included as public utilities, with a specific exemption for mobile domestic cellular radio telecommunications service.⁴⁷ Within the code, a separate chapter titled “Alternative Form of Regulation of Telecommunications Services” was added in 1993 to assist in the deployment of broadband as a form of telecommunication services. “Telecommunications service” is defined as “the offering of the transmission of messages or communications for a fee to the public.”⁴⁸ Hence traditional wireline telephone service is regulated as a public utility for purposes of making broadband available at the speeds and under the conditions prescribed in Chapter 30 of the Public Utility Code.

⁴⁵ *Mozilla Corp. v. FCC*, 940 F.3d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2019).

⁴⁶ David E. McNabb "Public Utilities: Essential Services, Critical Infrastructure," in *Public Utilities, Second Edition, Old Problems, New Challenges*, (Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), doi: 10.4337/9781785365539.00007.

⁴⁷ 66 Pa.C.S. § 102.

⁴⁸ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3012.

Efforts to adopt net neutrality rules, like the FCC’s actions in 2015, seek to treat all broadband Internet service access providers, regardless of the technology used, as public utilities, and require them to treat data equally on the Internet.

Provider of Last Resort

The concept of a provider of last resort was created to ensure that certain essential services are universally available. In Pennsylvania, this concept applies to natural gas, electricity, and telephone service.

In telecommunications, the local carrier designated by the regulatory authority to serve the least desirable residential end user in the most remote location and requiring only the most basic service, but without the ability to pay for service at market rates. In developed countries with a competitive telecommunications environment, some entity must be so designated in order to preserve the concept of universal service, and that designee is generally the incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC). The provider of last resort generally is reimbursed for allowable associated costs from a universal service fund (USF).⁴⁹

In the United States, providers that are designated as “eligible telecommunications carriers” (ETC) by the state regulatory authority (in Pennsylvania, the Public Utility Commission) are those that may receive universal service funding under the Federal Communications Act.⁵⁰ Only ETCs that are common carriers⁵¹ are required to provide Lifeline services.⁵² In order to qualify for Lifeline services, a consumer’s household income must be at or below 135 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines for a household of that size.⁵³ Thirty-seven incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) and 20 other providers (wireless, competitive LECs and satellite) offer Lifeline services in Pennsylvania, and there may be multiple providers in each of its 67 counties.⁵⁴ Unless an ISP or cable company requests and receives an ETC designation, it is not required to offer Lifeline service. However, some cable companies may offer similar low-income programs.⁵⁵

⁴⁹ “Provider-of-last-resort Definitions,” *Your Dictionary*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.yourdictionary.com/provider-of-last-resort>.

⁵⁰ 47 CFR § 54.201.

⁵¹ A common carrier in telecommunications field is an entity that provides wired and wireless communication services to the general public for a fee but is not necessarily considered a public utility (e.g., ISPs, cable companies, and others that would have been caught up in the net neutrality issue).

⁵² This requirement is adopted in Pennsylvania law at 66 Pa.C.S. § 3019(f).

⁵³ 47 CFR § 54.409.

⁵⁴ “Companies Near Me,” *Universal Service Administrative Co.*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://data.usac.org/publicreports/CompaniesNearMe/State/StateOption/PA>.

⁵⁵ For example, Comcast, a major provider in Central Pennsylvania, offers “Internet Essentials” at \$9.95 (plus tax) a month, which provides 25 Mbps download speeds, free in-home WiFi and free installation to low income households. <https://www.Internetessentials.com/>

BROADBAND AND EDUCATION

The need for broadband in anchor institutions like schools and libraries has long been an acknowledged priority for the FCC, evidenced by its investment in the E-rate program since 1996.⁵⁶ As education and workforce training becomes increasingly reliant on digital technology, the broadband coverage schools and public libraries utilize will have to be updated to reflect those shifts.

Though there are concerns about the use of technology in classrooms relating to the effect it may have on a child's social skills and physical fitness, with a measured examination of educational technology researchers find that there is a difference between screen time and screen content. When used in a classroom integrated with class curriculum and on an appropriate device, technology can enhance the learning process.⁵⁷ Digital literacy can work to bridge the "digital divide" and break down existing racial, educational, and socioeconomic barriers. Sufficient Internet access in schools is needed for this educational technology.⁵⁸

In 2014, the FCC set a short-term goal of 100 kbps per student and a long-term goal of 1 Mbps per student in schools.⁵⁹ These recommendations were based on findings that "the availability of high-speed broadband in schools transforms learning opportunities and expands school boundaries by providing all students access to high-quality courses and expert instruction."⁶⁰ In the 2020 Broadband Deployment Report, the FCC states that 99 percent of school districts meet the short-term goal and 38 percent meet the long-term goal.⁶¹ Due to initiatives on a federal level and funding through the E-rate program, schools are progressing closer to the long-term goal each year.⁶²

Currently, Pennsylvania ranks 38th in connectivity, with 98 percent of school districts meeting the goal of 100 kbps per student.⁶³ Four school districts in Pennsylvania need bandwidth upgrades to meet this goal. They are Mercer Area School District, Derry

⁵⁶ "E-Rate and Education (A History)," *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed September 23, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/e-rate-and-education-history>.

⁵⁷ Lindsay Daugherty, Rafiq Dossani, Erin-Elizabeth Johnson *et al.*, "Moving Beyond Screen Time: Redefining Developmentally Appropriate Technology Use in Early Childhood Education," in *Moving Beyond Screen Time: Redefining Developmentally Appropriate Technology Use in Early Childhood Education*, 1-8 (RAND Corporation, 2014), <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7249/j.ctt14bs43q.1>, 6.

⁵⁸ *Ibid.*, 2.

⁵⁹ *FCC 2020 Report*, 32.

⁶⁰ Federal Communications Commission, *FCC Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 16.

⁶¹ *FCC 2020 Report*, 32-33.

⁶² *Ibid.*, 39-40.

⁶³ "State Ranking," *Education Superhighway*, accessed September 17, 2019, https://stateofthestates.educationsuperhighway.org/state_ranking.html.

Area School District, Tamaqua Area School District, and Bethlehem Center School District.⁶⁴ 49,227 students do not have access to what the FCC considers adequate Internet speeds in their schools in these districts.⁶⁵

The Importance of Home Connectivity in Education

As schools across the country integrate online instruction into the classroom, they experience relative success dependent on many external factors. Self-motivated students fare better with a system that has less oversight than those who require a more rigid and structured teaching style.⁶⁶ Online classes that are available for students to take in conjunction with their classroom learning often favor those who have electronic resources available at home. According to data from 2016, “over 20 percent of households above the poverty threshold but still below the threshold for subsidized lunch eligibility (185 percent of the federal poverty line) lack high-speed Internet access.”⁶⁷ Students who did not have access to the Internet in their homes were less likely to enroll in supplemental online learning when it was offered in public schools.⁶⁸ As demonstrated by these cases, the benefits of a strong broadband connection in schools is limited by the access students have to the Internet at home.

One use of digitization is the flipped classroom, where the teacher prepares a video lecture that is watched outside of class and uses class time to work through examples of a concept or incorporate group projects and labs. The flipped classroom has been shown to be an effective style of teaching, but it does not take into consideration students who have no home access to the Internet. Methods of connecting with students outside the classroom include blogs, state-funded digital resources such as POWER Library (<https://powerlibrary.org>), YouTube videos, and Google programs like Google Docs and Google Hangout.⁶⁹ These sites require an Internet connection. Bringing broadband to underserved homes would allow this new educational model to work for more students as it becomes increasingly popular in public schools.

⁶⁴ “Compare and Connect,” *Education Superhighway*, accessed September 17, 2019, https://www.compareandconnectk12.org/maps/PA?view=TARGET_DISTRICTS&opportunity=BANDWIDTH.

⁶⁵ “State Progress: Pennsylvania,” *Education Superhighway*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://stateofthestates.educationsuperhighway.org/?postalCd=PA#state>.

⁶⁶ Brian Jacob, Dan Berger, Cassandra Hart *et al.*, “Can Technology Help Promote Equality of Educational Opportunities?” *The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences* 2, no. 5 (September 2016): 243-244, https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/10.7758/rsf.2016.2.5.12.pdf?ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-4341%2Ftest&refreqid=search%3A151e615fd254e44d7d6fd8fc1f23e4a3.

⁶⁷ *Ibid.*, 251.

⁶⁸ *Ibid.*, 255.

⁶⁹ Zamzami Zainuddin and Siti Hajar Halili, “Flipped Classroom Research and Trends from Different Fields of Study,” *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning* 17, no. 3 (April 2016), <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/2274/3699>.

The Little Rock School District in Arkansas is using a new program that allows parents to see what their children are working on in a Class Story through ClassDojo. This program improves parental engagement in what children are learning.⁷⁰ This system can make the divide between home and school smaller and help parents to assist their child's learning in the best way possible, but it will be difficult to use for those parents who do not have Internet at home. Just as the digital upgrades in schools require more bandwidth, classroom innovations will continue to broadly disadvantage those students without access to Internet at home.

Another important distinction increasingly pointed out in recent literature is the difference between Internet access through a mobile device versus a personal computer. In 2015, 13 percent of U.S. adults used exclusively their phones for Internet connection and had no access to broadband in their homes.⁷¹ While the use of a mobile phone allows for some functional connectivity, many important websites are not optimized for mobile use.⁷² These users will find themselves having to locate a public library to submit large files or fill out certain job applications online. The demographics of the smartphone-dependent community include rural Americans, Blacks and Hispanics, low income homes, and young people.⁷³

Even in schools that do not employ new digital learning techniques, teachers often assign homework that assumes students will have access to the Internet at home. FCC Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel coined the term "Homework Gap" to describe this difficulty for underserved students.⁷⁴ In 2017, only ten percent of districts could say that 100 percent of their students had access to devices at home.⁷⁵ The FCC is attempting to fight this problem with the Lifeline program, which allows eligible users to receive a discount on their broadband bill of \$9.25 a month.⁷⁶

In 2018, a Pew Research Center analysis looked into the "homework gap" question by digging into 2015 U.S. Census data. The researchers determined that about five million households with school-age children do not have high-speed internet service at home. Low-

⁷⁰ "The Promise of Digital Learning," *Education Superhighway*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://www.educationsuperhighway.org/why-high-speeds-matter/>.

⁷¹ Monica Anderson and John B. Horrigan, "Smartphones Help Those without Broadband Get Online, But Don't Necessarily Bridge the Digital Divide," *Pew Research Center*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/10/03/smartphones-help-those-without-broadband-get-online-but-dont-necessarily-bridge-the-digital-divide/>.

⁷² Christopher Antoun, "Who Are the Internet Users, Mobile Internet Users, and Mobile-Mostly Internet Users? Demographic Differences across Internet-Use Subgroups in the U.S." in *Mobile Research Methods: Opportunities and Challenges of Mobile Research Methodologies* (Ubiquity Press, 2015), 107, https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/j.ctv3t5r9n.12.pdf?ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-4341%2Ftest&refreqid=search%3A8c1a6d3105ddcf47cb7ee5a7a4e3265.

⁷³ "Internet/Broadband Fact Sheet," *Pew Research Center*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://www.pewInternet.org/fact-sheet/Internet-broadband/>.

⁷⁴ Jessica Rosenworcel, "Bridging the Homework Gap," *Huffpost Education*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://transition.fcc.gov/files/documents/Bridging-the-Homework-Gap-Rosenworcel-Editorial.pdf>.

⁷⁵ Consortium for School Networking, *CoSN's 2017 Annual Infrastructure Survey Report* (Washington, DC: CoSN, 2017) 16, https://cosn.org/sites/default/files/CoSN_5th_Annual_Infrastructure_Survey.pdf.

⁷⁶ "Lifeline Support for Affordable Communications," *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/lifeline-support-affordable-communications>.

income households – and especially Black and Hispanic ones – make up a disproportionate share of this five million. For example, for households with incomes below \$30,000 per year with school-age children ages 6 to 17, one-third do not have high-speed access.⁷⁷

The U.S. Senate Joint Economic Committee estimates that 12 million children in the United States do not have Internet access when they come home from school.⁷⁸ These students face difficulties in and out of the classroom in trying to stay caught up with their peers that do have broadband at home. The National Center for Education Statistics ran a study in 2015 that showed that students with access to broadband at home scored almost 20 points higher than their peers without it.⁷⁹ In rural Pennsylvania at Penns Valley High School, one student uses the forty minute study hall period at school to finish his homework before he gets home because his download and upload speeds at home do not support the work he is assigned during the school day. Teachers in his school district and all over the U.S. struggle to adapt their teaching style to the digital age in important and beneficial ways because they are concerned about their students who will not have broadband when they leave the school at the end of the day.⁸⁰

Recent research from the Technology Policy Institute finds that those who apply for Comcast’s Internet Essentials, a program developed by Comcast to bring Internet access to low-income families, are often motivated by the importance of their children’s education to do so. Internet Essentials users were more likely to use their technology for educational purposes than the control group that was similarly questioned. 73 percent of users said Internet access helped their child “a lot” in completing their homework.⁸¹

Distance Learning: Pennsylvania Response to Coronavirus

In spring of 2020, the American educational system faced an unprecedented need for distance learning during the novel coronavirus pandemic. Schools across the country closed in an effort to slow the spread of coronavirus, cutting off some students from their only source of reliable Internet access. Previous segments of this education chapter have highlighted the importance of connectivity for students both at school and at home, but

⁷⁷ Monica Anderson and Andrew Perrin, “Nearly One-in-Five Teens can’t Always Finish their Homework Because of the Digital Divide,” *Pew Research Center*, last modified October 26, 2018, <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/10/26/nearly-one-in-five-teens-cant-always-finish-their-homework-because-of-the-digital-divide/>.

⁷⁸ “Remarks of Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel, Digital Equity Summit 2019,” *Federal Communications Commission*, July 8, 2019, accessed October 4, 2019, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-358343A1.pdf>.

⁷⁹ Sarah Paez, “When the ‘Homework Gap’ Hits Home: How Rural Pa. Students Learn with Limited Broadband,” *Centre Daily Times*, last modified April 24, 2019, <https://www.centredaily.com/news/local/article228778689.html>.

⁸⁰ *Ibid.*

⁸¹ John B. Horrigan, “Reaching the Unconnected: Benefits for Kids and Schoolwork Drive Broadband Subscriptions, but Digital Skills Training Opens Doors to Household Internet Use for Jobs and Learning,” (Technology Policy Institute, August 2019), https://techpolicyinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Horrigan_Reaching-the-Unconnected.pdf.

nothing more clearly demonstrated this need than the crippling effects of a national crisis.⁸² Nationally, almost 55 million students found themselves relegated to an online classroom for the remainder of the 2019-2020 school year.⁸³

The crisis led to an increase in awareness and prominence of Commissioner Rosenworcel’s “homework gap” and gave greater visibility and national attention to some of the aforementioned innovations that combat the learning inequity. For example, the Coachella Valley initiative to equip school busses with Wi-Fi to allow students to connect and complete assignments in their community received national attention.⁸⁴ Commissioner Rosenworcel also advocated for the use of E-Rate funding to support giving students Wi-Fi hotspots to take home and use to complete work on devices provided by schools. Though the language of the Telecommunications Act does not explicitly mention online learning to this degree, Commissioner Rosenworcel believed that the FCC could easily adjust its rules to the extenuating circumstances and think creatively about what defines a classroom.⁸⁵ Multiple Internet service providers offered free Internet to needy families to assist in at-home learning during the pandemic. Most of these offers were two months of free service if a customer signed up for six months of service.

Prior to the pandemic, in the summer of 2019, Pennsylvania passed legislation that required public and non-profit schools to provide remote education should school buildings be inaccessible. In addition, a separate law created a grant program giving resources to school districts to enhance their broadband capability through the Intermediate Units. Both of these prescient amendments to the Public School Code of 1949 helped prepare schools to respond to pandemic closures.⁸⁶

The Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) partnered with the Pennsylvania Association of Intermediate Units (PAIU) to assist schools in providing continuity of education. This included the creation of resource materials as well as professional development that were made available to every school and district through the 29 Intermediate Units. Additionally, the Pennsylvania Training and Technical Assistance Network (PaTTAN), in partnership with PDE, created a resources site around remote learning with curated content for parents, students, and educators. The department also worked through the PAIU to offer free subscriptions to two online learning platforms: Odysseyware and Edgenuity. These platforms provide flexible learning experiences for students and can be used in conjunction with a preexisting online curriculum or on their own.⁸⁷ For those without access to digital platforms, the PDE partnered with Pennsylvania

⁸² “Locked Out of the Virtual Classroom,” *New York Times*, last modified March 27, 2020, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/27/opinion/coronavirus-internet-schools-learning.html>.

⁸³ Nicole Turner Lee, “How Parking a Wireless School Bus Can Help All Students Get Back to School,” *The Hill*, last modified March 30, 2020, <https://thehill.com/opinion/education/490174-how-parking-a-wireless-school-bus-can-help-all-students-get-back-to-school>.

⁸⁴ *Ibid.*

⁸⁵ Jessica Rosenworcel, “The FCC Should Send Wi-Fi Hotspots to Schools to Close the Homework Gap,” *The Verge*, last modified March 17, 2020, <https://www.theverge.com/2020/3/17/21183589/fcc-commissioner-jessica-rosenworcel-homework-gap-editorial-wifi-hotspots-coronavirus>.

⁸⁶ Further information and citations for these amendments are found *infra* at p. 131.

⁸⁷ “Digital K-12 Course Platform,” *PA Department of Education*, accessed April 9, 2020,

Public Television to make learning programs available to anyone with access to public television.⁸⁸ This initiative, called Learning at Home, adjusted the public television schedule to provide educational content for different age groups at different times throughout the day. WITF, for example, ran content for pre-K through elementary aged children from 6am until 5pm, and middle and high school content from 6pm to 11pm.⁸⁹ These TV programs were coupled with other online resources, which would be helpful for those with Internet access but do little for those Pennsylvanians who remained unconnected.

PDE also allocated \$5 million for equity grants to schools to purchase computer equipment, such as laptops, tablets, and Internet hotspots, or to use towards providing instructional materials, such as paper lessons and coursework, so that students could continue learning during the extended school closures. The schools most in need of this support were prioritized. The application opened on April 6, 2020 and the deadline was April 10, 2020. Two types of funding were available: a systemic grant, available for local education agencies (LEAs) with over 10 percent of students “unable to participate in continuity of education,”⁹⁰ and a student group grant, available for student groups comprised of more than 20 students in which five percent were unable to participate in the continuity of education.⁹¹ Eligible student groups include “Economically Disadvantaged, English Learners, Students with Disabilities, Homeless Students, Foster Students, Migrant Students, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Black, Hispanic, White, 2 or More Races.”⁹² For each type of grant, Continuity of Education plans has to align to Pennsylvania standards and the local education agencies had to have explored every other funding option available to no avail.⁹³

The grants could be used for technology hardware, software, support services, infrastructure, learning supplies, training, instructional materials, administrative supplies, personal protective equipment, and transportation, as well as technology used for those with disabilities. Grant funds could not be used for personnel expenses costs or to supplement other pre-planned purchases.⁹⁴

The responses across various school districts highlighted the academic challenges created by a lack of broadband access for students. For example, in western Pennsylvania in the McKeesport Area School District, it is estimated that over 1,000 families lack

<https://www.education.pa.gov/Schools/safeschools/emergencyplanning/COVID-19/ContinuityEducation/Pages/DigitalPlatform.aspx>.

⁸⁸ “Non-Digital Platform,” *PA Department of Education*, accessed April 9, 2020, <https://www.education.pa.gov/Schools/safeschools/emergencyplanning/COVID-19/ContinuityEducation/NonDigital/Pages/default.aspx>.

⁸⁹ “Learning at Home,” *WITF*, accessed April 9, 2020, <https://www.witf.org/families-and-children/learning-at-home/>.

⁹⁰ “Equity Grant Application Information,” *PA Department of Education*, accessed April 9, 2020, <https://www.education.pa.gov/Schools/safeschools/emergencyplanning/COVID-19/ContinuityEducation/Pages/Equity-Grant-Application-Information.aspx>.

⁹¹ *Ibid.*

⁹² *Ibid.*

⁹³ *Ibid.*

⁹⁴ *Ibid.*

sufficient resources to connect to the Internet from their homes. While the city of McKeesport has wireless, cable broadband, and DSL service available, suggested reasons for this lack of connectivity range from costs of even low-priced service, lack of home computers, and lack of knowledge of the benefits of broadband. With school closures, the district was forced to provide paper resources for students to accommodate for the lack of broadband access. The superintendent of the school district found it unreasonable to ask students to complete work they may not have access to.⁷⁷ In other districts, however, students had more access to technology. Allegheny Valley School District already gave students laptops or tablets during the school year and was better equipped to respond to those in need of resources because of the relative size of the district. Pittsburgh Public Schools worked with local technology companies and philanthropists to procure more resources for students in need. Schools with higher budgets were able to respond to distance learning adjustments more quickly, while those with already struggling budgets were pinched by the crisis.⁹⁵

Schools in the Harrisburg area worked to have their online learning systems ready for use by April 6. Camp Hill and Cumberland Valley school districts surveyed the student populations to identify students who needed devices to access the Internet and distribute resources accordingly, as well as posting resources for reduced-price Internet connection options in the area.⁹⁶

On April 9, 2020, Governor Wolf extended Pennsylvania school closures through the remainder of the academic year. Schools were strongly encouraged to provide continuity of education to students “in the most appropriate and accessible ways possible,” using the resources that the PDE had already made available.⁹⁷ A survey conducted by the PDE as part of its efforts to respond to these school closures found 49 school buildings in 22 school districts that were not connected to the Internet via a fiber network.⁹⁸

Some schools are providing Internet connectivity for their students by taking the Internet to the students. Ellwood City Area School District, serving students in Lawrence and Beaver Counties began providing free WiFi throughout the district on May 4. Five Ellwood City Transit buses outfitted with mobile Wifi units were positioned throughout the district and could broadcast 300 feet from the bus. They parked from 9:00 am to 2:00 pm each weekday.⁹⁹ McGuffey School District in Washington County initiated a similar

⁹⁵ *Ibid.*

⁹⁶ “Technology Hardware,” *Cumberland Valley School District*, accessed April 9, 2020, https://www.cvschools.org/academics/remote_learning/technology/technology_hardware; Coronavirus Updates and Resources, *Camp Hill School District*, accessed April 9, 2020, <https://www.camphillsd.k12.pa.us/site/default.aspx?PageType=3&DomainID=8&ModuleInstanceID=3668&ViewID=6446EE88-D30C-497E-9316-3F8874B3E108&RenderLoc=0&FlexDataID=18741&PageID=9>.

⁹⁷ “School Guidance,” *PA Department of Education*, last modified April 10, 2020, <https://www.education.pa.gov/Schools/safeschools/emergencyplanning/COVID-19/Pages/AnswersToFAQs.aspx>.

⁹⁸ Email from Glenn Miller, Deputy Secretary and Commissioner for Libraries, Pennsylvania Department of Education to JSGC staff, May 14, 2020. It should be noted that Pennsylvania has approximately 3,000 school buildings in 500 school districts.

⁹⁹ “Covid-19 School Closure Program,” *Ellwood City School District*, accessed May 19, 2020, https://www.ellwood.k12.pa.us/apps/pages/index.jsp?uREC_ID=1447047&type=d&pREC_ID=1854178.

program on May 13. The Traveling WiFi program is designed to bring WiFi signals closer to homes and families that may not have access to these services and ultimately provide access to the content in an electronic format. The program was scheduled to operate on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays through June 15, 2020. Locations around the district where a good wireless signal could be obtained provided WiFi access to students that wished to access the Internet for educational purposes. There is no need for parents or students to exit their vehicles to obtain the wireless signal. A schedule of bus dates, times and locations is posted on the school district website.¹⁰⁰ Both of these districts are providing these services through Kajeet, Inc., a mobile technology company based in Virginia. The company provides hotspots and smart buses to 64 accounts in Pennsylvania. These include Ellwood City and McGuffey school districts as well as Mount Lebanon, Pocono Mountain, Eastern Lancaster County, West Chester, Souderton Area, Baldwin-Whitehall, Danville Area, Spring-Ford Area, Weatherly Area, Derry Township, Nazareth Area, Sullivan County, South Middleton, Avonworth, and Schuylkill Valley School Districts, all of which have gone online since February 20, 2020. Another 35 school districts were already online, having entered contracts with the company between 2015 and 2019.¹⁰¹

Looking toward the future, PDE is conducting trial runs of several other distance learning options for areas with limited connectivity. A pilot project with IU11 employs the use of the portable, lower-cost technology of Raspberry Pi to download content and then deliver the device to students who work through the content at their own pace before returning the device to an educator for review. This option works well for students with long bus rides and those with poor or no connectivity at home.

Additional options under review include partnering with PBS to deliver secure datacasting to computers equipped with an antenna or special receiver and delivery of learning through a dedicated PBS channel in instructional content creative in collaboration with local IUs and educators.

Community-owned wireless networks also are being considered for delivering learning over a locally maintained network similar to the Metamesh pilot project underway in Pittsburgh. The solution relies on transferring signals from router to another nearby router and not across the Internet. As such, a local network such as this works best in neighborhoods and small communities including rural towns.

Finally, another option to address a portion of the homework gap is to expand on a promising but limited practice of libraries loaning out laptops equipped with mobile broadband connectivity (air cards).

¹⁰⁰ "Traveling Wifi at McGuffey School District," *McGuffey School District*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.mcguffey.k12.pa.us/protected/ArticleView.aspx?iid=6G03UY2&dasi=333Y>.

¹⁰¹ Email from Emily Holland, Senior Director of Client Services, Kajeet, Inc., received May 19, 2020.

Schools and Libraries Program of the Universal Service Fund (E-Rate)

The E-Rate Program was established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The goal was to provide universal service to schools and libraries. In order to accomplish this, the act required telecom providers to contract with schools and libraries at discounted rates. Discounts could range from 20 to 90 percent depending on the poverty level of the school determined by the number of students attending a school who received free school lunches. The program was funded by telecom providers, who instituted a fee for consumers to finance their mandatory contributions. Though the program started with a cap of \$2.25 billion, in 2015 it was raised to \$3.9 billion in the push for modernization of the program.¹⁰²

Since its enactment, E-Rate has generated more than \$1.5 billion to improve connectivity for Pennsylvania's schools and libraries. The program, however, is hampered by a cumbersome application process and program limitations that do not permit the sharing of Internet access off-campus, at a student's home or allow for purchase of cyber security services or equipment.

The update to the program known as the 2014 Modernization Order names one of the goals of the E-Rate program as "ensuring affordable access to high-speed broadband sufficient to support digital learning in schools and robust connectivity for all libraries."¹⁰³ The 2014 Modernization Order included the FCC's long-term and short-term goals for connectivity in schools. This order also gave more focus to funding Wi-Fi in schools and phased out funding eligibility for voice and other outdated services designated as legacy sources by the order.¹⁰⁴ In FY2019, voice services have been completely phased out.¹⁰⁵

For public libraries, the FCC established a goal "that all libraries that serve fewer than 50,000 people have broadband speeds of at least 100 Mbps and all libraries that serve 50,000 people or more have broadband speeds of at least 1 Gbps."¹⁰⁶

There are currently two categories of services covered under E-Rate. Category One Services are "data transmissions services and/or Internet access", and Category Two Services include "internal connections, managed internal broadband services, and basic maintenance of internal connections."¹⁰⁷ Some services are covered under mixed

¹⁰² *Hack Education*, "The History of the Future of E-rate and Affordable Internet Access at Schools," blog entry by Audrey Watters, last modified March 8, 2017, <http://hackededucation.com/2017/03/08/history-of-e-rate>.

¹⁰³ "Summary of the E-Rate Modernization Order," *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed October 4, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/summary-e-rate-modernization-order>.

¹⁰⁴ *Ibid.*

¹⁰⁵ "Eligible Services Overview," *Universal Service Administrative Company*, accessed October 8, 2019, <https://www.usac.org/sl/applicants/beforeyoubegin/eligible-services/default.aspx>.

¹⁰⁶ "Summary of the E-Rate Modernization Order," *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed May 13, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/summary-e-rate-modernization-order>.

¹⁰⁷ "Eligible Services Overview," *Universal Service Administrative Company*.

eligibility, in which a portion of the cost of the service is covered because it falls into a category but other components must be separately financed.¹⁰⁸

E-Rate and the Pennsylvania School Procurement Regulations

In addition to complying with federal regulations, Pennsylvania school districts procuring goods and services with state funds must comply with the Public School Code of 1949 (School Code).¹⁰⁹ Currently, the School Code requires bidding for the construction, maintenance, or repairs to school facilities regardless of total cost but does not require bidding for the purchases of services, such as broadband.¹¹⁰ However, the UG applies to purchased services as well as goods, including the following general competitive provisions:

- All procurement transactions must be conducted in a manner providing “full and open competition.”
- To eliminate unfair competitive advantage, the UC eliminates restrictions on competition by excluding from competing for the procurement “contractors that develop or draft specifications, requirements, statements of work, or invitations for bids or requests for proposals.” Some of the additional situations considered to be competitive restrictions include organizational conflicts of interest and specifying only a “brand name” product (as opposed to allowing an “an equal” product to be offered).¹¹¹

A common practice among LEAs is to enter into intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) to make joint purchases of goods or service from Intermediate Units (IUs) or other LEAs to make efficient use of federal funds. “IGAs may be used for the joint purchase of a commodity or service from a single vendor by several purchases acting collectively and all entering into one contract with the vendor or for the individual purchase of a service from an LEA or IU by several LEAs.”¹¹²

According to PDE, some IGAs are being created without the initiating LEA first having engaged in one of the applicable competitive methods of procurement prescribed by the federal regulations. The provision for IGAs does not override the basic premise that competitive methods of procurement are preferred. Therefore, when joining together in a joint procurement for goods or services using federal funds, each LEA and/or IU is

¹⁰⁸ *Ibid.*

¹⁰⁹ Act of Mar. 10, 1949, P.L. 30, No. 14, known as the Public School Code of 1949, § 807.1; 24 P.S. § 8-807.1.

¹¹⁰ *Ibid.*, § 751; 24 P.S. § 7-751.

¹¹¹ *Ibid.*

¹¹² Pennsylvania Department of Education, *2018-2019 Administrative Manual for Federal Programs*, available at <https://www.education.pa.gov/Documents/Teachers-Administrators/Federal%20Programs/Administrative%20Manual.pdf> at page 82.

responsible for ensuring that the purchasing organization or collective conducting the joint procurement complies with applicable federal regulations, including the competitive requirements for procurements unless the requirements for a sole source procurement are met.¹¹³

A potential conflict exists between the E-Rate procurement regulations and the PDE's procurement rules. Specifically, current E-Rate allows schools to consider factors other than price during application evaluations. "In selecting a provider of eligible services, schools, libraries, library consortia, and consortia including any of those entities shall carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. In determining which service offering is the most cost-effective, entities may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices submitted by providers, but price should be the primary factor considered."¹¹⁴ The School Code requires the school board of directors to "accept the bid of the lowest responsible bidder, kind, quality, and material being equal" but does not prohibit RFPs that are brand-specific.¹¹⁵ Schools seeking to purchase broadband services from an ISP would be subject to the E-Rate regulations. However, technology equipment purchases, would fall under both E-Rate and School Code rules. A possible solution to this conflict has been proposed to either amend the School Code to allow districts to consider other bid evaluation factors beyond price for E-Rate eligible technology equipment purchases, or exempt schools from the state procurement rules if they are using the E-Rate procurement rules for E-Rate eligible technology equipment purchases."¹¹⁶

PaIUnet

The Pennsylvania Association of Intermediate Units (PaIU) works to link many schools together and offer online learning programs to over 15,000 students annually. These online programs enable Pennsylvania to benefit from personalized learning and the

¹¹³ *Ibid.* The methods of procurement under §200.320 are: (a) procurement by micro-purchase, (b) procurement by small purchase, (c) procurement by sealed bids, or (d) procurement by competitive proposal. PDE notes that generally procurement by micro-purchase may occur for the acquisition of supplies or services where the aggregate amount of the procurement does not exceed \$3,500. Micro-purchases may occur without soliciting competitive quotations if the price is reasonable. Procurements by small purchase procedures may apply where purchases do not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently, \$150,000). Small purchase procedures permit simple and informal procurement methods provided price or rate quotations are obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources. If the procurement amount exceeds \$150,000, sealed bids or competitive proposals would be required. Moreover, where more restrictive requirements of state law apply, such as provided by Section 807.1, the more restrictive state procedure must be followed.

¹¹⁴ 47 CFR §54.503(c)(2)(ii)(B) and §54.511(a).

¹¹⁵ School Code § 807.1(b,1), 24 P.S. § 8-807.1(b.1).

¹¹⁶ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee: Public Hearing to Discuss Improving Access to High-Speed Broadband Internet*, 2019 Leg.. (PA 2019), statement of Dr. Eric G. Rosendale, Executive Director of Beaver Valley Intermediate Unit #27 and Chairmain of PAIUnet – Pennsylvania Association of Intermediate Units. <https://www.pasenategop.com/blog/090519-2/>.

pooled resources of the Pennsylvania education community.¹¹⁷ To be effective, these programs obviously require connectivity during the school day, are not fully effective without access to the Internet at home as well.¹¹⁸

PAIU has developed and supports PAIUnet, the statewide K-12 broadband network operated by the Pennsylvania's 29 IUs.¹¹⁹ PAIUnet is a system of telecommunications fiber infrastructure reaching schools throughout the Commonwealth. PAIU net commences with the IUs. In turn, the IUs connect to their member school districts through Regional Wide Area Networks (RWANs), which in turn connect to the schools served by the IU.¹²⁰ The regional IU networks link to PAIUnet providing "access to other statewide resources and Internet. The result is a seamless network of interconnected schools all linked together with high-speed broadband."¹²¹

Currently PAIUnet links 17 Intermediate Units and serves 230 school districts, 29 career and technical centers, 8 charter schools, 12 nonpublic schools, and 12 library entities. This service provides users with secure, reliable online access through "peering"¹²² which is defined as: "In computer networking, peering is a voluntary interconnection of administratively separate Internet networks for the purpose of exchanging traffic between the users of each network."¹²³

Due to PAIUnet's peering relationships, districts access "high quality, on-demand educational products from companies such as Google, Apple, Amazon and others."¹²⁴ In addition, PAIUnet maintains a dedicated connection to DRC, PDE's assessment vendor. Through this connection, Pennsylvania is "one of the only states in the country that does not depend entirely on the public {I}nternet for online testing."¹²⁵

For example, Bucks IU supports the RWAN interconnecting the county's 13 school districts, 3 career and technical centers, and some non-public schools.¹²⁶ "First established in 2006, the network currently provides high-speed [I]nternet connectivity for more than 100,000 students in 136 buildings across the county."¹²⁷ Reducing year-to-year costs to its members, the Bucks IU RWAN maintains a 50Gb of Internet capacity provided by two disparate ISPs delivered at different locations to project against network issues. This fiber-optic network has been designed to allow members to quickly and cost-effectively increase network bandwidth as needed. While members benefit from the economies of scale of

¹¹⁷ *Education Solutions for Students, Schools and Communities: Intermediate Unit Online Learning Programs*, PAIU, accessed September 18, 2019, <https://www.paiu.org/resources/WhitePapers/IUOnlineLearning.pdf>.

¹¹⁸ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee*, statement of Dr. Eric G. Rosendale.

¹¹⁹ "PAIU Governance," *PAIU*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.paiu.org/PAIU-Governance>.

¹²⁰ *Education Solutions for Students*, PAIU.

¹²¹ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee*, statement of Dr. Eric G. Rosendale.

¹²² *Ibid.*

¹²³ "Peering," *Wikipedia*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peering>.

¹²⁴ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee*, statement of Dr. Eric G. Rosendale, 2.

¹²⁵ *Ibid.*

¹²⁶ "Regional Wide Area Network (RWAN)," *Bucks County Intermediate Unit*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.bucksiu.org/business-and-operations/regional-wide-area-network-rwan>.

¹²⁷ *Ibid.*

negotiating with larger area ISP networks, Bucks IU “manages the purchase, configuration, installation, monitoring, and support of the network from the infrastructure’s core to the handoff at each school.”¹²⁸

In addition to negotiating Internet service rates (currently \$0. 27/Mbps), PAIUnet service includes Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) mitigation to school districts at no additional cost. This protection secures members from cyberattacks on district networks that have the potential to have significant impact on the district’s infrastructure. School districts have saved hundreds of thousands of dollars by receiving DDoS protection via PAIUnet in lieu of purchasing the service individually.”¹²⁹

Higher Education

As innovations come to elementary and secondary schools, they come ever more quickly to institutions of higher education. By nature, higher education has a heavier emphasis on work outside of class and this work has become increasingly Internet-dependent.

As technology increasingly becomes a part of daily life in the form of infrastructure, communication, transportation, and skilled or unskilled labor, community colleges and universities alike are integrating components of technology into their curriculum and using it in the day-to-day functioning of the college. Residential colleges that lose Internet for a few days become essentially crippled, as they use the connectivity for dorm security, on-campus meal plans, and class communication.¹³⁰ More and more, colleges use online textbooks or quizzing systems and even integrate these into lectures. For students who do not own a personal computer, colleges often offer personal computers that are connected to a broadband system in a library or lab.¹³¹ The need for such a system in institutions of higher education is essentially unavoidable as students are expected to have access to the Internet in some form outside of their classrooms.¹³² Professors now assume students have access to email outside of class and use it to communicate important updates. They set deadlines for turning in assignments assuming students have the ability to submit large files remotely and they sometimes assign reading that requires an Internet connection to access.¹³³

Community colleges are similarly adapting more online resources for their students, whose situations can be more unique and challenging. Salt Lake Community

¹²⁸ *Ibid.*, 3.

¹²⁹ *Ibid.*

¹³⁰ Lindsay McKenzie, “No Email, No Wi-Fi, No LMS,” *Inside Higher Ed*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2019/02/21/almost-week-no-Internet-amherst-college>.

¹³¹ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee: Public Hearing to Discuss Improving Access to High-Speed Broadband Internet*, 2019 Leg.. (PA 2019), statement of Jeffrey Medvec, Information Technology Manager, Penn State Fayette, Eberly Campus. <https://www.pasenategop.com/blog/090519-2/>.

¹³² *Ibid.*

¹³³ *Ibid.*

College crafted an online writing center for students based on the assumption that these students and their professors were working jobs as well as furthering their education and may drive from greater distances to attend college.¹³⁴ In the early days of the Internet, Salt Lake experienced success with their online writing center as a service offered in addition to the in-person tutoring experience, but such a service does beg the question: is an online system advantageous to those who do not have access to Internet in their homes? For community college students or commuter students, the increasingly Internet-dependent nature of higher education may pose more significant challenges for them than their residential student counterparts.¹³⁵ Though community colleges in Pennsylvania like Butler County Community College also have computer labs available for students without Internet access at home, the added inconvenience of having to schedule time in the computer lab around jobs or family life, especially in addition to a long commute to college, can discourage students from utilizing those resources.¹³⁶

The Campus Computing Project found in its 2018 survey that educators in higher education are optimistic about the ability of electronic resources to replace traditional textbooks. Ninety-six percent of CIOs at the colleges surveyed agreed that “Adaptive learning technology has great potential to improve learning outcomes for students.”¹³⁷ Twenty-nine percent, however, did note that “Our efforts to go ‘all digital’ with course materials will be impeded by the fact that many of our students do not own the digital devices—computers or tablets—they need to access digital content and resources.”¹³⁸ In addition, to combat the rising cost of textbooks in higher education, post-secondary institutions are moving toward the use of open educational resources. These are online resources “for teaching or learning that are either in the public domain or have been released under a license that allows them to be freely used, changed, or shared with others.”¹³⁹ Thus, having Internet access will be integral to using the digital resources. It is generally agreed that online education is valuable and democratizes education, providing more equality in opportunity out of college. Educational technology will only become more reliant on an Internet connection.¹⁴⁰

¹³⁴ Clinton Gardner, “Have You Visited Your Online Writing Center Today? Learning, Writing, and Teaching Online at a Community College,” in *Wiring the Writing Center* (University Press of Colorado, 1998): 75-76, https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/j.ctt46nzf8.9.pdf?ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-4341%2Ftest.

¹³⁵ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee: Public Hearing to Discuss Improving Access to High-Speed Broadband Internet*, 2019 Leg.. (PA 2019), statement of Paul Allison, Associate Vice President for Internet Technology, California University of Pennsylvania. <https://www.pasenategop.com/blog/090519-2/>.

¹³⁶ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee: Public Hearing to Discuss Improving Access to High-Speed Broadband Internet*, 2019 Leg.. (PA 2019), statement of Dr. Nicholas Neupauer, President, Butler County Community College. <https://www.pasenategop.com/blog/090519-2/>.

¹³⁷ Kenneth C. Green, *Campus Computing 2018: The 29th National Survey of Computing and Information Technology in American Higher Education* (Encino, CA: Campus Computing, October, 2018), 9.

¹³⁸ *Ibid.*, 9.

¹³⁹ S. Sparks, “Open Educational Resources (OER): Overview and Definition,” *Education Week*, last modified April 12, 2017, <http://www.edweek.org/ew/issues/open-educational-resources-oer/>.

¹⁴⁰ “Technology and the Future of Higher Education,” *Georgia Tech*, accessed September 17, 2019, <https://www.news.gatech.edu/features/technology-and-future-higher-education>.

Pennsylvania's rural communities that do not have broadband access struggle in higher education. Dr. Nicholas Neupauer, the president of Butler County Community College, identified Armstrong, Butler, Clarion, Clearfield, Jefferson, Elk, and Mercer counties as areas of concern.¹⁴¹

The Northern Pennsylvania Regional College, based in the city of Warren in Warren County, offers a unique community college experience that relies on Internet connectivity to provide dual enrollment, associates degrees, and workforce development programs in nine northern counties: Cameron, Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean, Potter, Venango, and Warren. The college does not operate as a traditional campus-based college, nor is it a classic online college. Community locations are utilized to deliver class instruction at multiple sites across the region and are brought together via live interactive video technology. The school partners with libraries, high schools, businesses, and existing community education entities to bring in video technology to power remote classroom learning in the students' home communities. The college was established in 2017 and began operating as an independent institution in January 2020 and is currently seeking regional accreditation.¹⁴²

There are few community colleges in the northern areas of the state, but there is a network of Community Education Councils that offer a wide variety of post-secondary educational programming. They are funded through the PDE budget and were statutorily authorized to act as education providers.¹⁴³ Councils are authorized to provide adult education, continuing education and/or postsecondary education in educationally underserved areas. Current community education councils include:

- Armstrong Educational Trust
- Community Education Council of Elk and Cameron Counties
- Corry Higher Education Council (Erie County)
- Keystone Community Education Council (Clarion and Venango Counties)
- Lawrence County Learning Center
- Potter County Educational Council
- Schuylkill Community Education Council
- Warren/Forest Higher Education Council
- Wayne Pike Workforce Alliance¹⁴⁴

¹⁴¹ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee*, statement of Dr. Nicholas Neupauer.

¹⁴² "Home," *Northern Pennsylvania Regional College*, accessed May 19, 2020, <https://regionalcollegepa.org/>.

¹⁴³ Article XIX-D, of the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L. 30, No. 14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, added by the act of December 21, 1998 (P.L.1134, No. 154) 24 P.S. § 19-1901-D et seq.

¹⁴⁴ "Community Education Council," *Pennsylvania Department of Education*, accessed May 19, 2020, <https://www.education.pa.gov/Postsecondary-Adult/CollegeCareer/Pages/Community-Education-Council.aspx>.

An example of community education council activities intended to assist in the dissemination of information about the usefulness of broadband Internet is the Potter County Council's "Seniors to Seniors" program. The program teaches basic computer skills, digital literacy and cybersecurity to senior citizens, as well as the benefits of telemedicine, online banking, online shopping for items not available locally, and staying connected to friends and family.¹⁴⁵

Public Libraries

Only two-thirds (63 percent) of rural Americans say that they have a broadband Internet connection at home, making them 12 percent less likely than Americans overall to have home broadband.¹⁴⁶ For these individuals and those living in urban settings without Internet access, public libraries often serve as their only access to broadband services

According to the American Library Association, affordable, high-speed Internet access is critical to the mission and operation of every modern library. Libraries serve communities by:

- Spurring home adoption by increasing awareness of and confidence in using online resources and services;
- Providing Internet access at the library for those who lack home broadband;
- Supporting digital learning opportunities that empower entrepreneurship, job training and retraining, and widespread use of emerging applications and devices;
- Providing access to the library's digital collections, e-government services, and legal information, distance learning, telemedicine, and many other essential community services.¹⁴⁷

Unfortunately, in an environment where the public's reliance on broadband speeds is growing (e.g. the number of W-Fi Internet sessions from 2013 to 2019 grew from 4.4 million to 9.4 million, or 111 percent more) Pennsylvania's libraries fall far short of the targets that were set six years ago.

¹⁴⁵ "Personal Enrichment Programs & Services," *Potter County Education Council*, accessed May 19, 2020, <https://www.pottercountyedcouncil.org/index.php/courses-services/personal-enrichment>.

¹⁴⁶ Andrew Perrin, "Digital Gap Between Rural and Nonrural America Persists," *Pew Research Center*, last modified May 31, 2019, <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/05/31/digital-gap-between-rural-and-nonrural-america-persists/>.

¹⁴⁷ "Broadband," *American Library Association*, accessed May 13, 2020, <http://www.ala.org/advocacy/broadband>.

According to a 2019 survey¹⁴⁸ of 583¹⁴⁹ Pennsylvania public libraries, 52 percent of libraries reported speeds less than 50 Mbps; and 11 percent of libraries reported speeds greater than 100 Mbps. Of all responding libraries, the highest speed reported was 628 Mbps. These numbers fall far short of the FCC minimum goal of 100 Mbps for communities fewer than 50,000 people and 1 Gbps for communities serving 50,000 or more people.

Efforts in Other States

In the Coachella Valley School District in Southern California, Superintendent Darryl Adams created an innovative solution to the “homework gap.” The school is in a very poor area; 100 percent of the students qualify for free or reduced-price lunches.¹⁵⁰ Adams noticed that many of his students would be parked in the parking lot in the evening after school to access the school’s Wi-Fi to complete their homework. His solution was to install Wi-Fi routers on school buses to allow students to complete homework on their long commutes to and from school.¹⁵¹ The buses would then be parked at night in some of the poorest communities to allow students to complete more homework when they got home as well. The Wi-Fi was protected by an access code only available to students and the content available was filtered. Adams found students reacted well to the change and improved their grades as well as their behavior on the bus.¹⁵² In March of 2019, Senator Tom Udall of New Mexico introduced legislation in the U.S. Senate to make Wi-Fi on buses eligible for E-Rate funding. At the time of this report, the Senate had not yet voted on this measure.¹⁵³ Commissioner Rosenworcel has expressed her approval of this legislation and her interest in expanding E-Rate funding to include Wi-Fi on buses and other innovative solutions.¹⁵⁴

In a partnership between the Consortium for School Networking (CoSN) and Google, the Rolling Study Hall initiative has experienced success with installing Wi-Fi on

¹⁴⁸ Keystone Initiative for Network Based Education and Research. *Toward Gigabit Libraries in Pennsylvania: Results of 2019 Broadband Survey*. 2019, p. 4.

¹⁴⁹ Pennsylvania has 621 public library outlets. Institute of Museum and Library Services, *Public Libraries Survey: Fiscal Year 2017*, (June 2019), 18.

¹⁵⁰ “Busing in WiFi,” *Office of Educational Technology*, accessed October 4, 2019, <https://tech.ed.gov/stories/busing-in-wifi/>.

¹⁵¹ Nichole Dobo, “What to do for Kids with No Internet at Home? How about Parking a WiFi-Enabled School Bus Near Their Trailer Park?” *The Hechinger Report*, last modified December 23, 2014, <https://hechingerreport.org/kids-no-Internet-home-parking-wifi-enabled-school-bus-near-trailer-park/>.

¹⁵² “If the Kids Don’t Have Wi-Fi, The School Bus Will Bring It to Them,” *CBC Radio Spark*, last modified January 23, 2015, <https://www.cbc.ca/radio/spark/273-school-bus-wi-fi-cuba-s-alternative-Internet-capitalism-2-0-and-more-1.2928720/if-the-kids-don-t-have-wi-fi-the-school-bus-will-it-bring-it-to-them-1.2928738>.

¹⁵³ A Bill to Require the Federal Communications Commission to Make the Provision of Wi-Fi Access on School Buses Eligible for E-rate Support, S. B. 738, 116th Cong. (2019).

¹⁵⁴ “Remarks of Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel, Digital Equity Summit 2019,” *Federal Communications Commission*, July 8, 2019, accessed October 4, 2019, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-358343A1.pdf>.

school buses. This program provides schools with Chromebooks, Wi-Fi technology, and funding to hire an “onboard educator” to act as a tutor for the students while they work. The students connect to a filtered Internet that can only be used for schoolwork, but students are not required to do homework on the bus ride if they do not want to. In a pilot program in Berkeley County South Carolina, the success was astounding. Students had higher grades, lower rates of discipline problems, and better engagement in the classroom. Teachers felt more comfortable using digital content in the classroom and students became more digitally literate.¹⁵⁵ In April of 2019, McGuffey Middle School in Pennsylvania became one of the 16 districts involved in the expansion of the program. For McGuffey Middle School, three buses will be equipped with the tools provided in the program.¹⁵⁶

In Tennessee, SB 2519 “requires local education agencies to survey students as to availability of Internet in their homes and to report results to the Department of Education.”¹⁵⁷ As noted in testimony before the Pennsylvania Senate’s Communications and Technology Committee in 2019, students are often embarrassed to report that they do not have Internet at home and either strive to make teachers aware privately or say nothing at all.¹⁵⁸ A bill that requires the commonwealth to find these data and make them available may increase visibility of the problem of the homework gap and allow schools to more actively combat it. Many states see more funding as a solution, and most state policies involve allocating funding toward research or incentives that can be tested for their effectiveness.¹⁵⁹

¹⁵⁵ *Grow with Google*; “Rolling Study Halls: Turning Bus Time Into Learning Time,” blog entry by Lilyn Hester, April 2, 2018, <https://www.blog.google/outreach-initiatives/grow-with-google/rolling-study-halls-turning-bus-time-learning-time/>.

¹⁵⁶ Kathleen J. Davis, “Wi-Fi Enabled School Buses a Small Step in Expanding Broadband Access for Rural Pennsylvanians,” *WESA*, last modified April 9, 2019, <https://www.wesa.fm/post/wi-fi-enabled-school-buses-small-step-expanding-broadband-access-rural-pennsylvanians#stream/0>.

¹⁵⁷ National Conference of State Legislatures, *Connected Learning: A Primer for State Policymakers*, 2015, accessed October 7, 2019, http://www.ncsl.org/Portals/1/Documents/educ/broadband_final.pdf.

¹⁵⁸ *Senate Communications and Technology Committee: Public Hearing to Discuss Improving Access to High-Speed Broadband Internet*, 2019 Leg.. (PA 2019), statement of Gary Seelye, Brownsville Area School District School Director. <https://www.pasenategop.com/blog/090519-2/>.

¹⁵⁹ National Conference of State Legislatures, *Connected Learning*.

BROADBAND AND HEALTHCARE

Broadband has an important role to play in ensuring the delivery of quality healthcare to all the residents of Pennsylvania. Its most significant aspects involve the ability to share records and information among providers and to allow patients and providers to interact remotely in real-time.

Electronic Health Records

One of the many innovations authorized under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), was the ability of healthcare providers to maintain and share electronic health records (EHR). The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services issued regulations known as the Privacy Rule and the Security Rule, designed to control access to an individual's individually identifiable health information and establish standards for protecting information that is held or transferred in electronic form. In an effort to encourage and stimulate the use of EHR, the HITECH Act was enacted in 2009.¹⁶⁰ The act provided many incentives for the development of health information sharing, including a grant program for states.¹⁶¹ In 2011, the Pennsylvania eHealth Collaborative was established by Executive Order to "improve healthcare delivery and health care outcomes in this Commonwealth by providing, as appropriate, leadership and strategic direction for public and private, Federally-funded and State-funded investments in health information technology initiatives, including health information exchange capabilities and other related health information technology initiatives."¹⁶² In short, the Collaborative became the Pennsylvania's state entity that applied for and received \$17.1 million in HITECH funding for health information technology and to assist in developing a health information exchange (HIE) under this program. The office was housed in the Office of Administration's Office of Information Technology and led by the HIT coordinator, a gubernatorial appointee. The duties of the Collaborative were codified in statute in 2012 into a newly established independent agency known as the Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Authority.¹⁶³ In 2016, the duties of the agency were re-enacted and moved to the Department of Human Services under the Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Program.¹⁶⁴

¹⁶⁰ Health Information and Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, Title XIII of Division A and Title IV of Division of B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat. 226 (Feb. 17, 2009), 42 U.S.C. §§ 300jj *et seq.*; §§ 17901 *et seq.*

¹⁶¹ *Ibid.* HITECH Act § 3013, 42 U.S.C. § 300jj-33.

¹⁶² Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Governor's Office, Executive Order No. 2011-04 (July 27, 2011).

¹⁶³ Act of July 5, 2012 (P.L.1042, No.121) known as the Pennsylvania eHealth Information Technology Act. (Repealed by Act 76 of 2016 – see below.)

¹⁶⁴ Act of July 8, 2016 (P.L.480, No.76) amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21) known as the Human Services Code (originally enacted as the Public Welfare Code of 1967), by adding Article XIV-C, Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Program; 62 P.S. § 1401-C *et seq.*

The Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Program is responsible for the creation and maintenance of Pennsylvania’s secure health information exchange, known as the PA Patient and Provider Network, or P3N. P3N serves as the hub where healthcare practitioners can find patient medical records in real time anywhere on the network. Five P3N certified health information organizations (HIOs), representing hundreds of healthcare providers across the Commonwealth, electronically connect their providers to each other, and then connections are made through the HIOs to the P3N network to allow for the exchange of healthcare information. Patients of providers connected to the network are automatically included in the network, but may opt out.¹⁶⁵ The availability of providers to access these records is dependent on having a robust, high-speed Internet connection. Not all healthcare networks in Pennsylvania, however, have joined the HIOs, and in those cases, patients who see practitioners in multiple networks are not able to have their records shared electronically without going through traditional record sharing requests. The eHealth Partnership Program is actively recruiting providers and health systems to the P3N, and recently added 52 new nursing homes through grants to the network.¹⁶⁶

The HITECH Act also provided incentive payments to eligible professionals, eligible hospitals, and critical access hospitals participating in Medicare and Medicaid programs that adopt and successfully demonstrate meaningful use of certified electronic health record (EHR) technology. The Medicare and Medicaid (EHR) Incentive Programs established by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) in 2011 operate slightly differently;¹⁶⁷ the Medicaid side of the programs in Pennsylvania are administered through the Department of Human Services’ Medical Assistance Health Information Technology Initiative and the Office of Medical Assistance Programs.¹⁶⁸ Originally entitled the “Electronic Health Records (EHR) Incentive Payment Program”, this was changed in 2018 to the Promoting Interoperability Program, to reflect a shifting emphasis on increased focus on interoperability and improving patient access to health information.¹⁶⁹

¹⁶⁵ “eHealth Partnership,” *Pennsylvania Department of Human Services*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.dhs.pa.gov/providers/Providers/Pages/Health%20Information%20Technology/eHealth-Partnership.aspx>.

¹⁶⁶ JSGC staff telephone conference with Martin Ciccocioppo, Director, PA eHealth Partnership Program, Pennsylvania Department of Human Services, June 22, 2020.

¹⁶⁷ “Medicare And Medicaid Health Information Technology: Title IV of The American Recovery And Reinvestment Act,” *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services*, last modified June 16 2009, <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-and-medicare-health-information-technology-title-iv-american-recovery-and-reinvestment-act>.

¹⁶⁸ The Pennsylvania Medicaid Program is known as “Medical Assistance.”

¹⁶⁹ “Promoting Inoperability Programs,” *CMS*, last modified July 13, 2020, <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/EHRIncentivePrograms>.

Telehealth and Telemedicine: The Basics

The practice of telemedicine is the use of electronic information and telecommunication technologies to support and promote long-distance clinical health care, patient and professional health-related education, public health, and health administration. The electronic communication technologies refer to interactive telecommunication equipment which includes, at a minimum, audio and video equipment, but may also include videoconferencing, store-and-forward imaging, streaming media, and terrestrial and wireless communications. Currently, there are three main types of telemedicine: remote patient monitoring; store-and-forward; and interactive services. “Telehealth is different from telemedicine in that it refers to a broader scope of remote health care services than telemedicine. Telemedicine refers specifically to remote clinical services, while telehealth can refer to remote non-clinical services.”¹⁷⁰

Telehealth methods used in Pennsylvania include:

- Live real-time videoconferencing (either clinical or educational);
- Live real-time remote monitoring;
- Online video recording (either clinical or educational);
- Online diagnostic scans (such as radiology);
- Online remote monitoring (stored);
- Electronic health records;
- Diagnostic decision support systems; and
- Web-based discussion boards.¹⁷¹

Technologies used include videoconferencing, the Internet, store-and-forward imaging, streaming media, and terrestrial and wireless communications.¹⁷²

¹⁷⁰ The American Academy of Family Physicians. The terms are frequently used interchangeably in various reports, and for purposes of this report, the term used will be tied to the terminology used by the source documents. <https://www.aafp.org/media-center/kits/telemedicine-and-telehealth.html>.

¹⁷¹ CJ Rhoads, G Bankston, J Roach, R Jahnke, W Roth, *Telehealth in Rural Pennsylvania* (The Center for Rural Pennsylvania, 2014), 7.

¹⁷² *Ibid.*

In recent years, individual healthcare networks in Pennsylvania have been creating their own telehealth systems. In early 2016, St. Luke’s University Health Network¹⁷³ established St. Luke’s “Care Anywhere” program, available to adults and children 12 years of age or older when accompanied by a parent or guardian. It allows a patient to communicate with a physician from a smartphone, tablet or computer. During a live, private, on-screen video visit, a doctor evaluates, diagnoses, and treats the patient’s condition and also prescribes medication if needed.¹⁷⁴

In order to increase its ability to provide telehealth services in rural north central Pennsylvania, Geisinger Health System¹⁷⁵ funded a project to expand high-speed, broadband Internet access to much of Montour County, including many underserved areas in 2018.¹⁷⁶ Partnering with Driving Real Innovation for a Vibrant Economy (DRIVE)¹⁷⁷, Geisinger contributed \$300,000 to the first phase of expansion of the infrastructure needed to easily and economically distribute Internet services through third-party vendors. The project uses wireless microwave technology, and necessary equipment was installed at four locations throughout the county: the county’s 911 tower, Montour County Emergency Management Agency building, Geisinger’s Hospital for Advanced Medicine, and the USG Corporation facility in Washingtonville. Completed in January 2019, DRIVE was responsible for connecting Internet service providers to distribute the service. The providers remain responsible for sales, service, and billing to customers.¹⁷⁸

In the face of limited broadband access in some rural counties of Pennsylvania, health care providers both adapt to the environment being served and seek innovative methods to provide telemedicine to all patients. For example, many in-home service providers travel with MiFi (portable broadband devices that create a mobile hot spot.) A local news article spotlighted this technology through a report of a health assistant using an electronic stethoscope on a patient in his home in Paxinos (Northumberland County) to enable a physician at Geisinger in Danville (Montour County) to listen. Using the MiFi, the article reported the doctor and patient successfully interacted for a lengthy visit through a computer screen during which two-way communication was easy and effective.¹⁷⁹

¹⁷³ St. Luke’s is located in eastern Pennsylvania and provides services at more than 200 sites in Lehigh, Northampton, Carbon, Schuylkill, Bucks, Montgomery, Berks and Monroe counties in Pennsylvania and also in Warren County, New Jersey.

¹⁷⁴ St. Luke’s University Health Network, “St. Luke’s Telemedicine Provides Doctors Any Time, Anywhere,” Press Release, (January 18, 2016), <https://www.slnh.org/News/2016/St-Lukes-Telemedicine-Provides-Doctors-Any-Time-Anywhere>.

¹⁷⁵ Founded in 1915 as the George F. Geisinger Memorial Hospital, Geisinger Health System now includes 13 hospital campuses, a 600,000–member health plan, two research centers, and the Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine.

¹⁷⁶ Geisinger, “DRIVE and Geisinger Partner to Bring Expanded Internet Access to Montour County,” News Release, (November 19, 2018), <https://www.geisinger.org/about-geisinger/news-and-media/news-releases/2018/11/19/16/43/drive-and-geisinger-partner-to-bring-expanded-Internet-access-to-montour-county>.

¹⁷⁷ Established in 2015, DRIVE is an economic development council of governments serving Columbia and Montour Counties. “Home,” *DRIVE*, accessed August 14, 2020, <http://driveindustry.com/>.

¹⁷⁸ Geisinger, “DRIVE and Geisinger.”

¹⁷⁹ Marcia Moore, “TELEMEDICINE IN THE VALLEY: Portable Broadband Devices Help Keep Rural Patients Connected to Docs,” *The Daily Item*, last modified February 16, 2020,

Federal Efforts to Support Telemedicine

To address telehealth and broadband issues on a national level, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) developed The Rural Health Care Program. The goal of the program is to improve the quality of health care available to patients in rural communities by ensuring that eligible health care providers have access to telecommunications and broadband services. Eligible health care providers include:

- post-secondary educational institutions offering health care instruction, teaching hospitals, and medical schools;
- community health centers or health centers providing health care to migrants;
- local health departments or agencies;
- community mental health centers;
- not-for-profit hospitals;
- rural health clinics;
- skilled nursing facilities (as defined in 42 USC §395i-3(a)); and
- any consortium of health care providers consisting of one or more entities falling into the foregoing categories.

In addition, eligible health care providers must be non-profit or public.¹⁸⁰

Currently, the Rural Health Care Program supports two initiatives: the Healthcare Connect Fund Program and the Telecommunications Program. Established in 2018, the Healthcare Connect Program offers support for high-speed broadband connectivity to eligible health care providers, while encouraging formation of state and regional broadband health care provider networks. Pursuant to this program, eligible providers receive a 65 percent flat discount on the following communications services: Internet access, dark fiber, business data, traditional digital service line (DSL), and private carriage services. Established in 1997, the Telecommunications Program subsidizes the difference between urban and rural rates for telecommunications services. In other words, this program enables rural health care providers to obtain rates for telecommunications services that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in corresponding urban areas.¹⁸¹

https://www.dailyitem.com/news/snyder_county/telemedicine-in-the-valley-portable-broadband-devices-help-keep-rural/article_820f2518-a840-5b24-bbf6-c9786cfeffb8.html.

¹⁸⁰ “Summary of the Rural Health Care Program,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/rural-health-care-program>.

¹⁸¹ *Ibid.*

To further its effort, the FCC created the Connect2Health Task Force in 2014. This interdisciplinary team focuses on the intersection of broadband, advanced technology, and health.¹⁸² In a speech to the National Rural Health Association in February 2018, Federal Communications Commissioner Mignon Clyburn explained the Task Force has two goals: “First, to understand the future when it comes [to] broadband, technology, and health policy. And second to ensure that the FCC stays ahead of the innovation curve.” He continued, “At the FCC, we are focused on broadband deployment, as providing the necessary connected foundation for health, and creating the gateway to new and sustainable models, for meeting longstanding health goals.”¹⁸³

For example, Commissioner Clyburn referenced the strategic partnership between the FCC and the National Cancer Institute known as L.A.U.N.C.H. (Linking & Amplifying, User-Centered, Networks through Connected Health) to focus how broadband connectivity can be leveraged to treat rural cancer patients. “In short, it is a demonstration of broadband-enabled health for rural populations in Appalachia.”¹⁸⁴ To help to achieve this goal, the Connect2Health Task Force has developed the FCC’s Mapping Broadband Health in America platform, an interactive mapping tool available on the FCC website, to allow the user to “easily visualize, overlay, and analyze broadband and health data, at the national, state, and county levels.”¹⁸⁵

Lastly Connect2Health Task Force created the Beyond the Beltway Series. This initiative offers a platform to share examples of “how communities are leveraging broadband technologies and next generation communications services to improve access to health and care services throughout the county, especially in rural and underserved areas.”¹⁸⁶ For example, providers in Houston developed broadband enabled health technologies to improve access to mental health care. Also, Cleveland Clinic, the Global Center for Health Innovation, and Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society (HIMSS) collaborated not only by leveraging broadband technology to help reduce health disparities but also to identify opportunities to further encourage innovation and entrepreneurship in broadband health technology.¹⁸⁷

¹⁸² “Connect2HealthFCC,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/about-fcc/fcc-initiatives/connect2healthfcc>.

¹⁸³ “Commissioner Clyburn Remarks before National Rural Health Association,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/document/commissioner-clyburn-remarks-national-rural-health-association>.

¹⁸⁴ *Ibid.*

¹⁸⁵ “Mapping Broadband Health in America,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/health/maps>.

¹⁸⁶ “Beyond the Beltway Series,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/health/beyond-beltway-series>.

¹⁸⁷ *Ibid.*

Telehealth in the Covid-19 Era

During the national response to the unprecedented COVID-19 pandemic, telehealth was propelled into overdrive. On March 27, 2020, President Trump signed The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) into law.¹⁸⁸ Combined with a series of Medicare (Section 1135) Waivers,¹⁸⁹ The CARES Act authorized expansive use of telehealth during the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency.¹⁹⁰ The CARES Act allocated \$200 million to assist the health care industry to develop telehealth capabilities, through the FCC’s newly created Covid-19 Telehealth Program. The application period opened on April 13, 2020. A combined total of 30 Pennsylvania healthcare entities, mostly hospitals, have been approved for a total amount of grants in excess of \$9.7 million as set forth in the table below. The application period was closed on June 25, 2020.¹⁹¹

| Table 1 | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Federal Covid-19 Telehealth Program | | | |
| Applications Approved for Pennsylvania Entities | | | |
| April 13-July 1, 2020 | | | |
| Date of Approval | Name of Healthcare Entity | Location | Amount Granted |
| April 16, 2020 | UPMC Children’s Hospital in Pittsburgh | Pittsburgh | \$192,500 |
| April 29, 2020 | Lancaster Health Center | Lancaster | \$75,710 |
| May 6, 2020 | Delaware Valley Community Health, Inc. | Philadelphia | \$504,880 |
| May 6, 2020 | Spectrum Health Services, Inc. | Philadelphia | \$40,417 |
| May 6, 2020 | Wright Center Medical Group | Scranton | \$629,051 |
| May 28, 2020 | Public Health Management Corporation | Philadelphia | \$202,065 |

¹⁸⁸ Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, 116 P.L. 136, 2020 Enacted H.R. 748, 116 Enacted H.R. 748, 134 Stat. 281 (March 27, 2020).

¹⁸⁹ “Medicare Telemedicine Care Provider Fact Sheet,” *CMS*, last modified March 17, 2020, <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-telemedicine-health-care-provider-fact-sheet>. The 1135 Waiver authority permits the Secretary of HHS to waive certain program requirements during a public health emergency to ensure that sufficient healthcare services and items are available to meet the needs of enrolled beneficiaries. 42 U.S.C. § 1320b-5. The CMS waiver applies only to federal healthcare programs. It does not encompass Medicaid or commercial payor programs, each of which has their own rules.

¹⁹⁰ “Determination that a Public Health Emergency Exists,” *U.S. Department of Health & Human Services*, last modified January 31, 2020, <https://www.phe.gov/emergency/news/healthactions/phe/Pages/2019-nCoV.aspx>.

¹⁹¹ “Covid-19 Telehealth Program,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed July 6, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/covid-19-telehealth-program>.

Table 1
Federal Covid-19 Telehealth Program
Applications Approved for Pennsylvania Entities
April 13-July 1, 2020

| Date of Approval | Name of Healthcare Entity | Location | Amount Granted |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------|
| May 28, 2020 | Temple University Hospital | Philadelphia | \$902,209 |
| June 10, 2020 | Albert Einstein Medical Center | Philadelphia | \$315,357 |
| June 10, 2020 | Community Guidance Center | Indiana | \$70,198 |
| June 10, 2020 | Family Practice and Counseling Network | Philadelphia | \$206,763 |
| June 10, 2020 | Squirrel Hill Health Center | Pittsburgh | \$144,940 |
| June 10, 2020 | Sto-Rox Family Health Center | McKees Rocks | \$34,489 |
| June 10, 2020 | UPMC Bedford | Everett | \$22,520 |
| June 10, 2020 | UPMC Hanover | Hanover | \$264,969 |
| June 10, 2020 | UPMC Passavant | Pittsburgh | \$216,502 |
| June 17, 2020 | Covenant Health Alliance of Pennsylvania | Lancaster | \$362,550 |
| June 17, 2020 | Thomas Jefferson University Hospitals | Philadelphia | \$922,688 |
| June 17, 2020 | UPMC Magee Women's Hospital | Pittsburgh | \$85,234 |
| June 17, 2020 | UPMC Mercy | Pittsburgh | \$67,958 |
| June 17, 2020 | UPMC Passavant | Pittsburgh | \$12,591 |
| June 24, 2020 | Barnes-Kasson County Hospital | Susquehanna | \$12,595 |
| June 24, 2020 | Geisinger Medical Center | Danville | \$978,935 |
| June 24, 2020 | Human Services Center | New Castle | \$28,768 |
| June 24, 2020 | Pinebrook Family Answers | Allentown | \$9,243 |
| June 24, 2020 | Sayre Health Center | Philadelphia | \$112,926 |
| June 24, 2020 | UPMC Magee Women's Hospital | Pittsburgh | \$4,220 |

| Table 1 | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Federal Covid-19 Telehealth Program Applications Approved for Pennsylvania Entities April 13-July 1, 2020 | | | |
| Date of Approval | Name of Healthcare Entity | Location | Amount Granted |
| June 24, 2020 | UPMC Mercy | Pittsburgh | \$10,029 |
| June 24, 2020 | UMPC Presbyterian Shadyside | Pittsburgh | \$540,410 |
| July 1, 2020 | Ambulatory Health Services | Philadelphia | \$791,398 |
| July 1, 2020 | Greater Philadelphia Health Action | Philadelphia | \$754,950 |
| July 1, 2020 | Laughlin Children's Center | Sewickley | \$56,768 |
| July 1, 2020 | Lehigh Valley Health Network | Allentown | \$499,779 |
| July 1, 2020 | UPMC Pinnacle Hospitals | Harrisburg | \$705,940 |
| <i>Total Entities</i> | 30 | <i>Total Funds Received</i> | \$9,779,552 |

Source: Information compiled by JSGC staff from FCC Press Releases under the Covid-19 Telehealth Program, <https://www.fcc.gov/covid-19-telehealth-program>

In conjunction with the creation of the Covid-19 Telehealth Program, The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) temporarily expanded Medicare coverage for telehealth services in response to COVID-19. To avoid putting vulnerable beneficiaries at risk of exposure to COVID-19, Medicare will reimburse a telehealth visit for evaluation and management visits (common office visits), mental health counseling, and preventive health screenings without a copayment. In addition, Medicare will pay for “virtual check-ins” which are brief (10-15) minute check-ins between patient and provider via telephone or other communications device to determine whether an office visit or other service is needed, including a remote evaluation of recorded video and/or images.¹⁹² Plus, Medicare will pay for recipients to communicate with doctors using online patient portals. For Medicare recipients living in rural areas, services provided by telehealth originating sites will be covered, allowing the recipient to receive services “using real-time audio and video communication system at the site to communicate with a remotely located doctor or certain other types of practitioners.”¹⁹³

¹⁹² “Medicare Telemedicine Health Care Provider Fact Sheet,” CMS.

¹⁹³ *Ibid.*

In addition, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (HHS) through its Office of Civil Rights (OCR) has expanded access to telehealth services through relaxation of Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) privacy and security requirements to allow telemedicine providers to use less secure methods of communication (i.e. Skype, Zoom, Apple Facetime, Facebook Messenger, etc.) to reach patients.¹⁹⁴ Specifically, providers will “not be subject to penalties for violations of HIPAA Privacy, Security and Breach Notification Rules that occur in good faith provision of telehealth during the COVID-19 nationwide public health emergency.”¹⁹⁵ While CMS waivers have been temporarily adopted to protect at-risk patients from the COVID-19 virus to safely treat quarantined patients at home, the Medicare telehealth reimbursement waivers apply to all Medicare recipients as a means to lessen the burden on the health care system.

On March 6, 2020, Governor Wolf issued an emergency disaster declaration, including Telemedicine Guidelines Related To COVID-19.¹⁹⁶ The guidelines define telehealth in the context of COVID-19 as follows: “Telehealth is two-way, real time interactive communication between the patient and the doctor or other practitioner. There is no requirement for a physician or other healthcare professional to be physically present at the originating site, where the member is located. Telemedicine services may be provided by any means that allows for two-way, real-time interactive communication, such as through audio-video conferencing hosted by a secure mobile application.”¹⁹⁷

Moreover, the Office of Medical Assistance Programs (OMAP) announced “a preference for use of telemedicine as a delivery method for medically necessary healthcare services beyond physician consultations and will pay for Medical Assistance (MA) covered services...when rendered via telemedicine when the provider or practitioner determines it is medically necessary because the patient is quarantined, self-quarantined, or self-isolated due to exposure or possible risk or exposure to the COVID-19 virus.”¹⁹⁸ If video technology is unavailable, telephone services may be used during this state of emergency. Providers will be reimbursed for the telemedicine service as the same MA fee schedule for a face-to-face service.¹⁹⁹

Both the federal and state governments immediately responded to the need for telehealth services to be reimbursed during this pandemic by expanding coverage under Medicare and Medicaid. Following these leads, the following private insurers also implemented telemedicine policies to respond to the pandemic for Pennsylvanian residents: Aetna, Capital BlueCross, Cigna, Gateway, Geisinger, Highmark, Independence Blue

¹⁹⁴ “HIPAA and COVID-19,” *HHS*, accessed August 14, 2020, <https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/special-topics/hipaa-covid19/index.html>.

¹⁹⁵ *Ibid.*

¹⁹⁶ “Pennsylvania Telemedicine Guidelines Related To COVID-19,” *PA Department of Human Services*, accessed August 17, 2020,

<https://www.dhs.pa.gov/providers/Providers/Documents/Coronavirus%202020/COVID-19%20Telemedicine%20Guidance%20Quick%20Tip%20.pdf>.

¹⁹⁷ *Ibid.*

¹⁹⁸ *Ibid.*

¹⁹⁹ *Ibid.*

Cross, United HealthCare, UPMC, and Workers' Compensation.²⁰⁰ For example, Independence Blue Cross is promoting the following telemedicine services to address COVID-19: "Virtual visits—Talk to a doctor 24/7 for free"; "Specialists are available: Virtual Visits for mental health."²⁰¹

The Pennsylvania Department of State's Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs released guidance to clarify health care professionals qualified to provide services via telemedicine.²⁰² The guidance applies to the following licensing boards: Chiropractic, Dentistry, Medicine, Nursing, Optometry, Pharmacy, Podiatry, Psychology, Osteopathic Medicine, Nursing Home Administrators, Occupational Therapy Education and Licensure, Physical Therapy, Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors, Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, and Veterinary Medicine.

In addition, Governor Wolf granted the Department of Health's request to allow licensed practitioners in other states to provide services to residents via the use of telemedicine without obtaining a Pennsylvania license for the duration of the state of emergency.²⁰³ To assist providers, the Department of Human Services issued guidance for Behavioral Health Services Telemedicine²⁰⁴ and the Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs released telehealth guidance for their programs and providers.²⁰⁵

In addition to protecting patients from exposure to COVID-19, telehealth services appear to be contributing to the pandemic response in a variety of ways:

- serves as an important method to quickly triage sick patients, while at the same time helping to supplement an overburdened workforce of healthcare providers;²⁰⁶
- eliminates travel and inherent exposure to the virus;²⁰⁷

²⁰⁰ "COVID-19 (Novel Coronavirus)," *Pennsylvania Chapter, American Academy of Pediatrics*, accessed August 17, 2020, <https://www.paaap.org/covid-19.html>.

²⁰¹ "Care and Services Available for COVID-19 (Coronavirus)," *Independence Blue Cross*, accessed August 17, 2020,

<https://www.ibx.com/htdocs/custom/covid19/index.html>.

²⁰² "Licensed Health Care Practitioners Can Provide Telemedicine Services to Pennsylvanians During Coronavirus Emergency," *PA Media*, accessed August 17, 2020, <https://www.media.pa.gov/Pages/State-details.aspx?newsid=375>.

²⁰³ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁴ "Department of Human Services Releases COVID-19 Operational Recommendations, Telehealth Guidelines for Behavioral Health Services," *PA Media*, accessed August 17, 2020, https://www.media.pa.gov/Pages/DHS_details.aspx?newsid=497.

²⁰⁵ "Pennsylvania Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs Information Bulletin 01-20," *PA Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs*, accessed August 17, 2020, <https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Documents/Information%20Bulletins/IB%2001-20.pdf>.

²⁰⁶ Lucieu Bruggeman, "Telemedicine is having a Moment. How Can Patients Make Use of the Growing Industry?" *ABC News*, last modified March 23, 2020, <https://abcnews.go.com/Health/telemedicine-moment-patients-make-growing-industry/story?id=69738388>.

²⁰⁷ Rajiv Leventhal, "Telehealth and COVID-19: Industry Experts Answer Key Questions," *Healthcare Innovation*, last modified March 24, 2020, <https://www.hcinnovationgroup.com/covid->

- protects healthcare professionals in several ways, including supporting internal tele-consults to reduce face-to-face consultations between healthcare professionals and “tele-hospitalist services for virtual patient rounding of patients to minimize caregiver exposure to infected patients; and tele-ICU services for virtual monitoring of the most critical patients to help reduce the threat to on-site ICU care providers.”²⁰⁸
- allows healthcare providers to continue to support patients without COVID-19 who need their routine medical issues addressed,²⁰⁹
- enables providers to treat patients at lower costs, since consultations often take less time than in-person visits at the same time allowing physicians to treat more patients throughout the day;²¹⁰
- monitors patients with chronic conditions through remote patient monitoring (RPM);²¹¹
- monitors patients under investigation (PUI) who are suspected of having been infected with COVID-19;²¹² and
- preserves personal protective equipment reported to be in critically short supply at many hospitals.²¹³

Several healthcare entities serving Pennsylvania residents have been recognized for their innovative telehealth contributing to the pandemic response. Recognized as one of the nation’s leading providers of telehealth services, Jefferson Health employs its JeffConnect to serve patients in its 14-hospital network through New Jersey and Pennsylvania.²¹⁴ Since the pandemic started, Jefferson Health has been dedicated to rescheduling many of its outpatient visits to telemedicine visits. “From just a few dozen visits last week, they are now scheduling 500-600 [per day] telemedicine visits across every specialty.”²¹⁵ JeffConnect has been available since 2015. While previously the system may have only supported 12 video visits per year, this experience has enabled providers to quickly implement telemedicine service to meet the immediate demand. “The health system also offers a scheduled video visit program, for people managing chronic

19/article/21131066/telehealth-and-covid19-industry-experts-answer-key-questions.

²⁰⁸ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁹ *Ibid.*

²¹⁰ Bruggeman, “Telemedicine.”

²¹¹ Paddy Padmanabhan, “How the COVID-19 Pandemic is Reshaping Healthcare with Technology,” *CIO*, last modified March 27, 2020, <https://www.cio.com/article/3534499/how-the-covid-19-pandemic-is-reshaping-healthcare-with-technology.html>.

²¹² *Ibid.*

²¹³ Bruggeman, “Telemedicine.”

²¹⁴ Gabrielle Redford, “Delivering More Care Remotely Will be Critical as COVID-19 Races through Communities,” *AAMC*, last modified March 23, 2020, <https://www.aamc.org/news-insights/delivering-more-care-remotely-will-be-critical-covid-19-races-through-communities>.

²¹⁵ *Ibid.*

conditions, such as diabetes or hypertension, giving them the opportunity to do routine check-ups at home. The demand for that program has increased threefold.”²¹⁶

In addition, Geisinger and The University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) have been identified among health systems as “fast-tracking tech” and developing telehealth projects to address COVID-19. “Geisinger has developed a chatbot to help triage and screen patients remotely and is setting up video chat capabilities for patients admitted to the hospital to connect with their families at home. The health system also is using existing tools such as e-ICU to manage patients across its campuses.”²¹⁷ Plus, using fiber-optic connections, Geisinger has set up 13 screening tents outside of its facilities to screen and test patients.

“Geisinger has worked with its local health information exchange, Keystone HIE, to develop a ‘heat map’ dashboard that pulls in data from the Department of Health and laboratories and provides real-time data on people reporting symptoms and coronavirus cases by county. Hospital emergency departments find that information valuable to better prepare for potential patients coming in.”²¹⁸ Geisinger’s IT Department has supported its “radiologists working from home who need significant technology resources including high Internet bandwidth, high-resolution monitors, and voice-to-text capabilities to transcribe documentation and get it back into the health systems’ electronic health record.”²¹⁹

Operating 40 hospitals, UPMC “has pivoted its patient-facing telehealth services to focus on onboarding primary care physicians to address the flood of patients with potential COVID-19 symptoms.”²²⁰ Like Geisinger, UPMC is taking advantage of available technology to assure their healthcare providers (potentially quarantined) are equipped to effectively telecommute. Also, UPMC is taking steps to implement telemedicine capabilities on EMS ambulances to determine whether or not a potentially affected patient should enter the emergency department. “That will be helpful to triage patients in near real-time and potentially allow patients to stay in isolation without the risk of exposure to other individuals.”²²¹

²¹⁶ Elise Reuter, “Will Telehealth Limitations Hamper These States’ Responses to Covid-19?” *MedCity News*, last modified March 16, 2020, <https://medcitynews.com/2020/03/will-telehealth-limitations-hamper-these-states-responses-to-covid-19/>.

²¹⁷ Heather Landi, “Geisinger, UPMC Among Health Systems Fast-Tracking Tech, Telehealth Projects for COVID-19,” *Fierce Healthcare*, last modified April 22, 2020, <https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/tech/health-system-cios-covid-19-response-we-ve-never-experienced-anything-like>.

²¹⁸ *Ibid.*

²¹⁹ *Ibid.*

²²⁰ *Ibid.*

²²¹ *Ibid.*

While it appears that telehealth has positively contributed in numerous aspects to responding to the COVID-19 outbreak, several reservations concerning telehealth have been raised. First, cybersecurity reservations have been increased.²²² “[I]t is a matter of time before cybercriminals swoop in to take advantage of heightened vulnerabilities.”²²³ To date, The World Health Organization, HHS, and several state and local agencies have been targeted. Moreover, “the large-scale shift to a virtual workforce also exposes new vulnerabilities waiting to be exploited.”²²⁴ Another technical concern attached to the increased use of telehealth during this pandemic is whether patient data privacy is adequately being protected through the use of widely used consumer technology such as Zoom, Skype, and Facetime.²²⁵

The pandemic response to residents living in rural areas presents specific challenges. Pennsylvanians residing in rural counties total one quarter of the Commonwealth’s population, including large populations of elderly and those living at the poverty level.²²⁶ “Health care resources there are stretched thin, with an acute shortage of providers and transportation issues topping a list of complex structural challenges.”²²⁷ Lisa Davis, Director of the Pennsylvania Office of Rural Health, continued “These hospitals that are already struggling to make payroll to meet the demand in their communities, are now being asked to do a whole new set of tasks.”²²⁸ Attempting both to promote social distancing and to take advantage of reimbursement rates for telehealth services, health care providers in rural areas have been using telemedicine. However, a rural health care disparity highlighted by COVID-19 response is a lack of high-speed Internet service. “They may have access to the Internet, but it might be a very, very slow connection, and it might be inconsistent,” noted Davis.²²⁹

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, widespread telehealth implementation appears to be positively aiding the pandemic response in terms of geographic, economic, and transportation barriers to health care access. Moreover, the Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurance temporary waivers during the pandemic may succeed in elevating the value of telehealth. The COVID-19 pandemic experience appears to have eliminated two of the three prevalent barriers to increasing the use of telehealth in Pennsylvania: reimbursement for services issues and conflicts in licensing laws for out-of-state providers. However, the limitations of modality embedded in telemedicine appear to persist.

²²² Padmanabhan, “COVID-19 Pandemic.”

²²³ *Ibid.*

²²⁴ *Ibid.*

²²⁵ *Fiscal Note*, “Policy Changes in Response to COVID-19 Support Growing Telehealth Trend,” last modified April 1, 2020, <https://fiscalnote.com/blog/policy-changes-in-response-to-covid-19-support-growing-telehealth-trend>.

²²⁶ Sarah Boden, “COVID-19 Highlights Rural Health Care Disparities in Pennsylvania,” WESA, last modified March 26, 2020, <https://www.wesa.fm/post/covid-19-highlights-rural-health-care-disparities-pennsylvania#stream/0>.

²²⁷ *Ibid.*

²²⁸ *Ibid.*

²²⁹ *Ibid.*

How COVID-19 will affect the future implementation of telehealth remains to be seen. Two experts in the field have weighed in. Jane van Dis, M.D., OB-GYN and Medical Director at Maven Clinic (a New York City-based telemedicine network focused specifically on women’s and family health) stated “Patients, clinicians, health systems, and insurers will apprehend the important role that telehealth plays in increasing access to care, improving outcomes, increasing patient satisfaction, and lowering costs.”²³⁰ Ann Mond Johnson, CEO of the American Telemedicine Association, noted “Now that patients and health care providers alike are experiencing telehealth there will be no turning back. And as we come out of this health crisis, telehealth will be a mainstay of our system and accepted for what it is—not telehealth, but health.”²³¹

House Bill 2779, Printer’s No. 4257, introduced and referred to the House Health Committee on August 18, 2020, would establish an advisory committee to review many of these health innovations to make recommendations as to which ones should be made permanent in Pennsylvania.

²³⁰ Leventhal, “Telehealth and COVID-19.”

²³¹ Bruggeman, “Telemedicine.”

BROADBAND AND AGRICULTURE

Pennsylvania's past has always been tied to its farming practices, first among native tribes who cultivated corn, beans, and squash and later the European colonists who focused on growing grains like wheat and rye.²³² Today, Pennsylvania continues this rich agricultural heritage with over 59,000 farms and 2,300 food processors which produce a diversified range of products including milk, cheese, eggs, cattle, mushrooms, field corn, pork, soybeans, and flowers.²³³ Agriculture represents a vital part of the state's economy with an estimated total annual impact of \$135.7 billion dollars.²³⁴

A large part of this success was made possible through rural electrification, which changed the course of farming and other agribusinesses through innovations of technology that redesigned the way food is grown, harvested, and distributed. While the Internet has shown a similar capacity to transform other segments of the economy, the rural areas of the state most likely to host agriculture activities are often the hardest to bring online. Portions of the state's agricultural sector are locked out of the economic gains because the same mountains, forests and rivers, which make Pennsylvania such a geographically beautiful state, are physical barriers that can make expansion of Internet infrastructure difficult and costly.²³⁵

The Pennsylvania State Department of Agriculture (PDA) noted in its 2019 report on economic impacts and future trends in Pennsylvania agriculture that the agency considers broadband a critical component of farming infrastructure, not a luxury.²³⁶ At the national level, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) report "The Case for Rural Broadband" also noted the necessity for broadband among today's farmers for them to stay competitive.²³⁷ Increasing Internet access is also a way to deal with some of the challenges that are currently facing the state's agriculture industry, such as an aging workforce and a

²³² Pennsylvania Historical Museum Commission, "Native American Foodways," *PA Foodways*, accessed June 22, 2020, <https://pafoodways.omeka.net/exhibits/show/farm/articles-2/native-american-foodways>.

²³³ Rachael Bertone, "Pennsylvania's Top 10 Ag Products," *Farm Flavor*, last modified July 8, 2017, <https://www.farmflavor.com/pennsylvania/pennsylvanias-top-10-ag-products-infographic/>; "Agribusiness," *Focus Central PA*, accessed June 4, 2020, <https://focuscentralpa.org/major-industry-clusters/food-processing/>.

²³⁴ Pennsylvania Agriculture: A Look at the Economic Impact and Future Trends, (PA Department of Agriculture, January 2018), 8, https://www.agriculture.pa.gov/Documents/PennsylvaniaAgriculture_EconomicImpactFutureTrends.pdf.

²³⁵ Marguerite Reardon, "Why Rural Areas Can't Catch a Break on Speedy Broadband," *CNet*, last modified October 23, 2018, <https://www.cnet.com/news/why-rural-areas-cant-catch-a-break-on-speedy-broadband/>.

²³⁶ Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture, "Testimony" Pennsylvania Senate Communications & Technology Committee Hearing, September 5, 2019, held at the Eberly Campus of Penn State Fayette.

²³⁷ The Case for Rural Broadband, (Washington D.C.: USDA, April 2019), <https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/case-for-rural-broadband.pdf>.

labor shortage.²³⁸ While the state boasts 7.3 million acres of farmland, in the 2017 agriculture census it was noted that over a 5-year period the number of farmers declined by 10 percent and the number of acres shrank by six percent. Despite this, the average size of farms increased by five percent.²³⁹

Lack of Internet infrastructure makes it difficult to attract younger people to farming who may be more receptive to employing new techniques. Current Pennsylvania farmers are at a disadvantage because they are competing with states that are more aggressively pursuing broadband solutions.²⁴⁰ While Internet access will increase automation in the industry as a partial solution to this labor shortage, it has the potential to drive job growth in technical industries. PDA estimated that in the future of up to 57 percent of farm work could be automated as long as the work is physical and predictable.²⁴¹

Internet and Computer Adoption among Pennsylvania Farmers

Despite agriculture's vital importance to the state, many farmers in Pennsylvania lack access to the Internet and are slow to adopt newer farming technologies. In a 2019 USDA survey, the agency estimated that only 64 percent of Pennsylvania farmers have Internet access, 11 percent below the national average. To access the Internet, 39 percent of farms used DSL and 30 percent had access to cable. The study did not account for Internet speed or reliability and farms with slow speed and poor-quality connections will be limited in how much utility can be gained from the service.²⁴²

Pennsylvania farms also lagged behind the national estimates for adoption of computer equipment. While 73 percent of farms in the nation have access to desktop computers and laptops, only 66 percent of Pennsylvania farms had access to these devices. Close to 40 percent of Pennsylvania farms used computers to conduct farm business, however the percentage has not increased in several years. This stagnation is not true of mobile technologies as Pennsylvania farmers are increasingly reported adopting smart-phones and tablets to conduct farm business with 39 percent employing them in 2019. Mobile was the primary form of Internet access for 20 percent of Pennsylvania farmers.²⁴³

²³⁸ Pennsylvania Agriculture: A Look at the Economic Impact and Future Trends, (Harrisburg: Team PA, May 2018), 12.

²³⁹ USDA, "State Profile: Pennsylvania," *2017 Census of Agriculture*, last modified April 11, 2019, https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Online_Resources/County_Profiles/Pennsylvania/cp99042.pdf.

²⁴⁰ Keith Good, "Broadband Issues Farms Connecting to the Internet," *Farm Policy News University of Illinois*, last modified August 21, 2017, <https://farmpolicynews.illinois.edu/2017/08/rural-broadband-issues-farms-connecting-Internet/>.

²⁴¹ *Pennsylvania Agriculture*, (Team PA), 58.

²⁴² *Farm Computer Usage and Ownership*, (Washington, D.C.: USDA, August 16, 2019), https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/Todays_Reports/reports/fmpc0819.pdf.

²⁴³ *Ibid.*

Even without adopting the latest cutting-edge technologies there are innumerable ways for farms to improve their operations through the Internet. Simple tasks that are taken for granted by those with Internet access such as checking the weather or market conditions can be important information to farmers.²⁴⁴ Farmers can make use of the Internet in similar ways that other business owners have for decades: to improve workflow, manage finances, and gauge demand for their product.²⁴⁵ Online resources can be a cheaper and faster education alternative to meeting with farming experts or acquiring pamphlets to learn new farming techniques or find information on plant diseases.²⁴⁶

Online shopping is one of the largest potential uses since all types of agriculture inputs can be purchased online, including feed, office supplies, fertilizer, and seeds. The USDA survey showed e-commerce isn't widely practiced in Pennsylvania and may even see a slight decline since 2017. Likewise, the report noted a larger four percent drop in the number of farmers who say they conduct market activities over the Internet such as crop and livestock auctions, direct sales, price tracking and advisory services.²⁴⁷

The 2019 USDA survey found that Pennsylvania farmers do not frequently access USDA or other federal government websites for information, nor do they rely on government reports. Farmers are increasingly required to use computers to interact with the government through forms, paperwork, and to meet other regulatory requirements. Farmers say that they relied less on USDA or other federal government websites to conduct business, but over 41 percent say they have conducted business with non-agricultural websites.²⁴⁸

The data indicates that the number of farmers with computers is growing, but the number of farmers who use them for business has not increased by similar margins. There are many possible reasons for this disparity such as a lack of technology literacy among Pennsylvania farmers who own computers, but are not maximizing the utility of the device. Another possibility is that the hardware and Internet speeds accessed by farmers are insufficient for businesses purposes. Farmers may be increasingly turning to mobile devices for convenience although cell coverage is unreliable in many parts of the state, and farmers using it to create a hotspot to access the Internet likely have slow or inconsistent performance.²⁴⁹

Computer adoption on any level is still an issue for approximately one third of Pennsylvania farms that are entirely without Internet. Factors that can affect how quickly farms adopt new technology include the size of a farm, the farmer's education level, the location, and who the farmer is in contact with.²⁵⁰

²⁴⁴ *Ibid.*

²⁴⁵ Louise Balle, "How do Businesses Use the Internet?" *Chron*, accessed June 4, 2020, <https://smallbusiness.chron.com/businesses-use-Internet-752.html>.

²⁴⁶ *Farm Computer Usage*, (USDA).

²⁴⁷ *Ibid.*

²⁴⁸ *Ibid.*

²⁴⁹ *Ibid.*

²⁵⁰ Timothy Park and Ashok Mishra, *Internet Usage by Farmers: Evidence from a National Survey*, (Annual Meeting of American Agricultural Economics Association, July 2003), 13.

Broadband Applications in Other Agribusinesses

While farmers of all types may be the heart of this industry, it is supported by food transportation, marketing, processing, and equipment manufacturing which make up a \$75 billion industry in Pennsylvania.²⁵¹ The state report from PDA highlighted some of the ways the Internet can be used to revolutionize farming and agribusiness to facilitate shifts in production to better meet changing consumer demands, increase transparency of operations, connect to consumers in new ways, and allow food processors such as bakeries to sell more directly to customers.

New technologies could help the market coordination of these specialty crops through direct-to-consumer sales online and better storage monitoring systems which could send out alerts when food is stored at the wrong pressures or temperatures. Through the use of the Internet a flexible supply chain possible which can be used alternative ways of locally distributing produce and meat through farming co-operatives, farmer's markets, and community supported agriculture.²⁵² Radio Frequency ID and GPS can be used at every stage of the supply chain including: shipping, storage, or stores.²⁵³ These technologies can improve tracking of consumer preferences and better understand product performance in differing regions helping producers more accurately meet demand without extra surplus. Improved food tracing also allows customers to know the origin of their food, but this information can be generated with less effort.²⁵⁴

Food processing is a \$5.1 billion industry in the state and it is known as the snack food capital of the world.²⁵⁵ While there is great potential for connecting more factory machinery to the Internet in the food and beverage industry, in 2015 research noted a hesitancy to adopt this approach to technology. Only 20 percent of those food processors surveyed were connecting their factories to the Internet and at that time only 15 percent of manufacturers had invested in newer technology.²⁵⁶ It was noted that the industry needed to overcoming barriers to implementation such as intimidation and showing a commitment to integrating IT and operation divisions so that everyday processes can be tied in with smart technology solutions.

As the machines in factories become more connected with each other they gather insights on ways to adjust production for greater efficiency and output, however security

²⁵¹ "About PDA," *PA Department of Agriculture*, accessed June 4, 2020, https://www.agriculture.pa.gov/about/about_pda/Pages/default.aspx.

²⁵² *Pennsylvania Agriculture*, (Team PA), 80.

²⁵³ Paresah Sagar, "How the Internet of Things is Transforming the Food Industry," *Compare The Cloud*, accessed June 22, 2020, <https://www.comparethecloud.net/articles/how-Internet-of-things-transforming-food-industry/>.

²⁵⁴ Megan Nicholas, "Top 4 Ways the Food Industry Can Implement IoT," *IOT Times*, last modified January 23, 2020, <https://iot.eetimes.com/top-4-ways-the-food-industry-can-implement-iot/>.

²⁵⁵ PA's Agriculture Industry," *PA Department of Agriculture*, accessed June 22, 2020, https://www.agriculture.pa.gov/about/pa_agriculture_industry/Pages/default.aspx#.

²⁵⁶ Jeff Reinke, "The Crossroads of Connectivity," *Food Manufacturing*, last modified November 17, 2017, <https://web.archive.org/web/20160701195509/http://www.foodmanufacturing.com/article/2015/11/crossroads-connectivity>.

also becomes a larger concern. As a result, the Internet of things is primarily being used for maintenance rather than production controls.²⁵⁷ Predictive maintenance for machines such as boilers can spot problems in machines before they happen and reduce downtime. Information is collected by companies from thousands of products allowing service issues to be predicted more frequently and solved remotely. Remote Access can allow operations to be viewed from mobile phones and some service activities to be conducted remotely.

Connecting food processing machines to the cloud allows more data to be collected and can uncover ways that production can be optimized. Cameras outfitted with sensors can watch over assembly lines.²⁵⁸ Recorded footage can be used to look for ways to increase productivity and to detect irregularities or foreign materials in the product to increase quality control and safety. Sensors typically collect data either on quality or efficiency.²⁵⁹ Further, manufactures could reduce waste through real time information about a product's age and temperature could cut down on spoilage by 40 percent.²⁶⁰

Apart from the noted security concerns there are other obstacles to consider. Most factories have older machines and floor layouts and are not designed with IoT automation in mind. Machines older than 20 years are difficult to integrate with this technology.²⁶¹ There is a possibility the industry may see increases in the future as the costs of sensors have fallen in the last decade, allowing more factory processes to become automated.²⁶²

Precision Agriculture

Increased broadband access to rural areas may bring Pennsylvania farmers further opportunities to adopt precision agriculture technologies and other types of computerized smart farm equipment. Precision agriculture is not a single piece of technology, but a description for an entire suite of currently in-use and emerging technologies that operate on the principle that nearly every aspect of a farm operation can be recorded as data and that information can be interpreted by computers to help maximize production.²⁶³

Historically, the amount a farm could produce was determined by the amount of land a farmer was using and how much labor was available to work that land. Over the last 60 years farming has been revolutionized by the introduction of chemical inputs such

²⁵⁷ *Ibid.*

²⁵⁸ Wayne Labs, "How the Industrial Internet of Things is Affecting Food Processing," *Food Engineering Magazine*, last modified January 8, 2018, <https://www.foodengineeringmag.com/articles/97170-how-the-industrial-internet-of-things-is-affecting-food-processing>.

²⁵⁹ *Ibid.*

²⁶⁰ Megan Nicholas, "Top 4 Ways the Food Industry Can Implement IOT."

²⁶¹ Wayne Labs, "How the Industrial Internet of Things is Affecting Food Processing."

²⁶² Kristen Runvik, "Food Industry Using Internet of Thing to Make Processing Smarter," *Food Industry Executive*, last modified January 31, 2017, <https://foodindustryexecutive.com/2017/01/how-the-food-industry-is-using-the-internet-of-things-to-make-processing-smarter/>.

²⁶³ James Lowenberg-Deboer and Bruce Erickson "Setting the Record Straight on Precision Agriculture Adoption," *Agronomy Journal* 111, no. 4, (July 1 2019), <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2018.12.0779>.

as fertilizer which boost productivity and pesticides helped limit the amount of production lost to insects. Likewise, widespread use of antibiotics has helped keep farm animals from becoming sick. At the same time of this chemical revolution, machinery, purchased services, and energy became cheaper relative to labor so farms started doing more with less workers.²⁶⁴ In their effort to maximize productivity of their land and to apply these inputs in a time effective way often farms apply too much of an input spraying fertilizer water and pesticides at an even rate throughout the farm.

Precision agriculture technologies represent a refinement of these earlier advancements. Through using sensors, computer programs and specialized machinery, farmers maximize the effectiveness of farming inputs.²⁶⁵ Using field mapping technology farmers can scale back on seeds, water, fertilizer, and pesticides in areas of a farm that require less resources and increase them in other sections that do. This practice will help farmers save money and also reduce wasted resources and lessen the environmental impact of farms.

An example of a precision agriculture setup is when a farmer installs sensors in his fields to monitor soil and water conditions. Drones outfitted with infrared cameras can also determine the health of plants. Information can also be taken from harvesting combines with yield monitoring technology. Harvested grains are fed into an elevator that detects the moisture while additional sensors in a holding tank record how much is harvested. This information is sent to the driver cab on a display screen and tied to a location that can be referenced later. This gives farmers more information about yield in a specific location that can be compared with data from a previous year.²⁶⁶ The information can be moved to a computer and either printed out or further analyzed by computer software to gain more insights.

The information from these sensors are given to an analytic service which can generate a map for farmers showing recommended input levels in various sectors of their farms. With this data specialized Variable Rate Technology (VRT) enabled vehicles which automatically apply inputs at the suggested levels when passing through specific areas.

In an early study, researchers found that yield monitoring was the most common type of precision agriculture technology adopted by corn and soy producing farmers and by 2010s it was found in 50 percent of the corn and soybean farms studied. Auto steering systems were less common, found on a third of studied farms while GPS yield mapping on

²⁶⁴ Sun Ling Wang, Paul Heisey, David Schimmelpfennig and Eldon Ball, "Agricultural Productivity Growth: The Past, Challenges and the Future," *Economic Research Report* 189 (September 8, 2015), <https://www.ers.usda.gov/amber-waves/2015/september/us-agricultural-productivity-growth-the-past-challenges-and-the-future/>.

²⁶⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁶⁶ "Yield Monitoring" *Farms.com*, accessed June 22, 2020, <https://www.farms.com/precision-agriculture/yield-monitoring/>.

a quarter of farms. Soil mapping and Variable Rate technologies were employed between 16-26 percent of the studied farms.²⁶⁷

The size of a farm can affect its adoption rate. Larger farms over 2,900 acres have double the adoption rates of precision agriculture technology when compared to farms overall. The researchers found that roughly 75 percent of large farms use mapping, 80 percent use guidance systems, and 35 percent use VRT. Adoption of precision agriculture technology led to a decrease in labor costs on small farms defined as 140-400 acres, while it increased labor costs on larger farms who hired specialists to help them manage the technology and levels of inputs. Larger farms that had already tied their money up in fleets of tractors and were less likely to invest in newer VRT equipped machines which had higher overhead costs. While the adoption of precision agriculture can lead to savings in labor, they also lead to a larger portion of farm operating expenses being spent on customized services. In small farms this amount was proportionally five times higher than in large ones.²⁶⁸

While this technology can be of great use to farmers, much of it is either partially or totally dependent on Internet access. As an example of the technical requirements for data coverage needed to use precision agriculture features, requirements for John Deere's equipment was shared with the advisory committee and summarized in the following chart.²⁶⁹

²⁶⁷ David Schimmelpfennig and Robert Ebel, *On the Doorstep of the Information Age: Recent Adoption of Precision Agriculture*, (Washington, D.C.: USDA Economic Research Service, August 2011), https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/44573/5732_eib80_1_.pdf?v=8731.5; David Schimmelpfennig, *Farm Profits and Adoption of Precision Agriculture*, (Washington, D.C.: USDA Economic Research Service, October 2016), <https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/80326/err-217.pdf?v=1332.7>.

²⁶⁸ *Ibid.*

²⁶⁹ "Precision Agriculture and Broadband," Presentation by John Deere on behalf of the Association of Equipment Manufacturers to the Advisory Committee, February 27, 2010.

| Technology | Description | Cellular Data Requirement |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Remote Display Access | Allows viewing a screen of a device from another location. Allows for dealer support without a site visit | 1 MB/second |
| Wireless Data Transfer | Moves data to and from the machine without a physical connection | 20 KB/second |
| Remote Diagnostic | Identify and solve problems in machinery from a remote location | 10-500 MB |
| Telematics | Information on how vehicles are being used is sent to dealers for analysis. Used to increase safety, reduce fuel consumption, and predict points of failure. | 2KB/sec or 8 MB/Hour |
| Mobile Real-Time Kinematics (RTK) | Guidance system that increases machine accuracy to within an inch using GPS signals. Maintains accuracy in hill covered areas. | 300bytes/sec or 1MB/Hour |

To make Pennsylvania ready for precision agriculture, the Association of Equipment Manufacturers' top recommendations included: interference-free GPS reception, increased mobile broadband connectivity by putting cell towers over croplands. Finally, 5G coverage will be needed in the future for farms that choose to operate for fully autonomous vehicles.²⁷⁰ Even when practical, some farmers may be slow to adopt precision agriculture technologies because they may have the knowledge they need to make the most use of the technology, they could distrust tech due to poor experiences in the past, lack of Internet services and limited resources to invest in this technology.

Precision Agriculture Use for Livestock, Dairy, Poultry

While the largest use of precision agriculture has been focused on crop production, precision agriculture technology also can lead to advances in industries tied to animal husbandry. Pennsylvania is 6th in the nation in number of dairy sales with \$2 billion of milk sold. Across the country it is estimated that 67 percent of dairy farmers have Internet access.²⁷¹ Internet access is important not just for large dairies, but even the smallest of operations.

Automatic milkers can operate 2-3 times a day and store information about each animal. Computers can determine how much feed is needed for the cows and store customer information.²⁷² Sensors can be used to determine the fat content and help farmers identify sick cows sooner. Inadequate wifi means farmers have to carry flash drives in

²⁷⁰ *Ibid.*

²⁷¹ USDA, "Dairy Cattle and Milk Production," *2017 Census of Agriculture*, April 11, 2019, https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/Highlights/2019/2017Census_DairyCattle_and_Milk_Production.pdf.

²⁷² Jenny Splitter, "USDA Invests \$600 Million in Rural Broadband, but Farmers Still Struggle to Connect," *Forbes*, last modified September 24, 2018, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/jennysplitter/2018/09/24/usda-invests-600-million-in-rural-broadband-but-farmers-still-struggle-to-connect/#5b04c6bd5dab>.

between their farming equipment and their computers to transfer data vital to their business operations.

Potential innovations to aid dairy farms include:²⁷³

- Sensors in the form of pills that lodge in the rumen, the cow's largest stomach, ear tags, and smart collars. Information can then be transmitted on bovine health and location to the cloud, where it is viewable on an array of devices for remote monitoring.
- Records of food and water consumed ensure that the cows are eating a nutritious diet while lowered levels of consumption can tip off the farmer to signs of poor health.
- Cows are moved for health reasons from pasture to pasture. Technology is being developed that could track individual cows' movements and drones could herd them.
- The costs of breeding could be decreased by improved knowledge of when a cow is in heat or goes into labor. Software exists to keep track of genetic traits that could be passed on to calves.
- Milk can be analyzed for quality control. Defective products can be tracked through bar codes.

Another advantage of dairy automation is it allows more time for diversifying farm activities. However, this management requires stable Internet connections at broadband speeds. Among the benefits are more flexible schedules and the chance for remote repair of equipment. Pennsylvania milk production costs \$2 per pound higher than the national average, which led to the closure of 370 dairy farms in the 2019 fiscal year and the number of cows to decline by five percent.²⁷⁴ In addition to diversification, a path forward is to use technology to help break into new markets and focus on the production of high-end dairy products.

USDA's "A Case for Broadband" report noted that other forms of animal-based farming could see advances in helping farmers with technologies specializing in fertility planning, infanticide prevention, and livestock records and management, all of which have the potential to add a \$2.4 billion annual gross benefit. Of these, infanticide prevention is the most reliant on broadband speeds, and the USDA estimates that it represents \$4.9 million of annual gross benefit. In the production stage of livestock there are numerous

²⁷³ Kayla Matthews, "How Big Data Helps Dairy Cows and their Farmers," *The Innovation Enterprise*, last modified April, 2019, <https://channels.theinnovationenterprise.com/articles/how-big-data-helps-dairy-cows-and-their-farmers>.

²⁷⁴ Kris Mamula, "Keeping Dairy in PA." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, last modified September 9, 2019, <https://www.post-gazette.com/business/development/2019/09/09/Fayette-County-farmer-broadband-access-dairy-robotic-milker/stories/201909060166>.

technologies that can benefit from broadband. Of those technologies, audio and visual facility monitoring and general health monitoring are the most reliant on broadband with the potential to create \$4.5 billion in benefit. Other potential technologies include precision feeding, mastitis detection, and unmanned herding. Finally, automated sorting, online channels, and tracing/marketing represent technologies that can improve the coordination with markets for livestock and dairy industries. Of these, using online channels is reliant on broadband and expected to drive \$502 million of annual gross benefits.²⁷⁵

Applications of precision agriculture in the poultry sector lag behind other forms of livestock and are still largely in the experimental phase of development. This is of note to many of the potential applications are related to broilers and a large number of eggs are produced in Pennsylvania. Sensors can measure a range of environmental conditions in eggs and similar to other animals, cameras can be used to keep better track of the health of the chickens.²⁷⁶

Precision Agriculture and Specialty Industries

Precision agriculture also has uses in farms that focus on more specialized and labor-intensive products such as products coming from trees. Maple syrup production systems can be installed that monitor production to detect leaks in piping systems between trees, track freezing weather, and inform the farmer how full a maple syrup tank is. The system relies on solar batteries that powers radio units that transmit the data to the syrup producers, however the systems are only usable in areas with a reliable broadband connection.²⁷⁷

Fruit production presents a particularly difficult challenge to automation because of the relatively high amount of skill and time involved labor for orchards picking fruit. Orchards frequently struggle to get enough labor to harvest, making automation a useful tool to save money and decrease waste. While technology is still in development, growers are taking steps to prepare operations toward a shift in robotic pickers by planting trees in dense rows.²⁷⁸ Penn State University is currently funding numerous researchers hoping to develop precision agriculture technology for orchards through:

- Robotic pruning systems for fruit trees
- Infrared thermometers to increase monitoring of irrigation systems
- Vibrations as a method for harvesting apples

²⁷⁵ *A Case for Rural Broadband*, (USDA).

²⁷⁶ Tony McDougal, "Precision Farming and the Poultry Sector," *Poultry World*, last modified October 2, 2019, <https://www.poultryworld.net/Health/Articles/2019/10/Precision-farming-and-the-poultry-sector-479540E/>.

²⁷⁷ Baugh Mike Lynch, "Testimony Baer Bros. Maple Camp," Pennsylvania Senate Communications & Technology Committee Hearing, September 6, 2019.

²⁷⁸ Tara Baugher and Long He, "Orchard Automation - Engineering for Fruit Growers," *PennState Extension*, last modified June 19, 2018, <https://extension.psu.edu/orchard-automation-engineering-opportunities-for-fruit-growers>.

- Robotic tree training to form more advantageous shapes
- Drones in monitoring crop health, orchard mapping, and crop water stress
- Pest prevention and monitoring fruit trees
- Robotic harvesting for both ground and tree levels
- Managing water, fertilizer, and pesticide use
- Frost detection to alert growers to local weather conditions
- Machine learning which relies on software and imagining to help know when to apply fungicide applications.²⁷⁹

Precision agriculture technology can cut down on labor costs and resources. Planning benefits can be gained from on-site weather modeling technologies for both trees and the ground, which could predict local weather conditions. The USDA estimates has a potential 386.8 million dollars of annual growth attributed to broadband.²⁸⁰

Barriers to Precision Agriculture in Pennsylvania

Precision agriculture is already being used in parts of the state where practicable. While the Pennsylvania State University provides lectures on emerging technologies, and local farmers hold information days to discuss emerging technologies, the true extent of which technologies are being used and where is currently unknown.²⁸¹ In the cases of tractors these options are already becoming part of the standard models of leading brands and there is concern that soon farmers buying new equipment will be paying for features they cannot use without reliable broadband connections.²⁸²

The USDA estimates that on average Pennsylvania farms are smaller than the national average, 123 acres compared to the national average of 443 acres.²⁸³ This

²⁷⁹ Research Areas,” *Penn State College of Agricultural Science*, accessed June 4, 2020, <https://agsci.psu.edu/research/extension-centers/frec/research-areas>.

²⁸⁰ *Case for Broadband*, (USDA).

²⁸¹ “Penn State Workshop to Focus on Precision Farming Benefits,” *Fruit Growers News*, last modified February 27, 2018, <https://fruitgrowersnews.com/news/penn-state-workshop-focus-precision-farming-benefits/>; and Tom Venesky “Field Day Shows How Precision Ag Can Pay Off,” *Lancaster Farming*, last modified September 31, 2019, https://www.lancasterfarming.com/farming/technology/field-day-shows-how-precision-ag-can-pay-off/article_a73d81e2-d76a-5038-825c-bca81afd5a9b.html.

²⁸² “Precision Agriculture and Broadband,” John Deere Presentation.

²⁸³ USDA, “State Profile: Pennsylvania,” *2017 Census of Agriculture*, June 9, 2020,

represents a barrier to precision agriculture adoption compared to other regions in the nation. While industry experts claim that, when properly employed, precision agriculture technology has the ability to help smaller farms be more competitive with larger ones, adoption costs are proportionally higher for small farms and farmers, and there is a steep learning curve to effectively use the devices. One researcher estimated that it would take an 800 square foot farm a gross annual investment of \$11,000 and would take over six years to pay for itself, and many of Pennsylvania farms under that size could take even longer. Small corn farmers who invested \$1,400 in machinery, equipment and hired labor per acre are expected to save an estimated amount of \$2,000 per acre in family labor.²⁸⁴

There are limitations as to how usable the technology in its current form. Hardware is expensive and the better-quality but more expensive sensors provide more accurate data. Farmers need training to be able to analyze the data collected.²⁸⁵ The durability of the technology is important and the costs associated with maintenance are also important expenses. Technology needs to be mobile, such as durable tablets that could be taken into the barn and field. It would be extremely beneficial to have the technology available both remotely and on site. Another problem is many of these emerging technologies currently exist in isolation or silos which can't be paired with each other without much difficulty.²⁸⁶ There is no open format for different manufacturers to use when developing these devices.

There are a number of solutions such as making precision agriculture equipment less costly, encouraging social enterprises that share information and developmental organizations to help support farmers in adopting new technology. To leverage the benefits of precision farming, farmers need to have information on their machines, conditions in their fields, inputs, and markets to make more informed decisions.²⁸⁷ An option that could be experimented with is forming local groups that could attempt to share precision agriculture equipment and to make machines more affordable on a small scale.

A disadvantage of this advancement relates to how smart equipment effects the autonomy a farmer. How is the data being collected (sometimes without their knowledge) being used by manufacturing companies? Who is able to service and repair their machinery? Manufacturers insist that smart farm equipment should only be repaired by licensed dealers, challenging the widespread use of independent parts, sales, and repairs that existed before this technological revolution. The lack of open source software tools for farmers gives them little choice in how to repair equipment that they've paid for.

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Online_Resources/County_Profiles/Pennsylvania/cp99042.pdf; M. Shahbandeh, "Average Size of Farms in the US 2000-2019," *Statista*, last modified April 21, 2020, <https://www.statista.com/statistics/196106/average-size-of-farms-in-the-us-since-2000/>.

²⁸⁴ "Farming: There's an App for That," *National Geographic*, last modified June 5, 2018,

<https://www.nationalgeographic.com/environment/future-of-food/food-future-precision-agriculture/#close>.

²⁸⁵ *Eastern Peak*, "IoT in Agriculture: 5 Technology Use Cases for Smart Farming," blog entry by Alexey Chalimov, last modified July 7, 2018, <https://easternpeak.com/blog/iot-in-agriculture-5-technology-use-cases-for-smart-farming-and-4-challenges-to-consider/>.

²⁸⁶ *A Case for Rural Broadband*, (USDA), 36-37.

²⁸⁷ Ben Watts, "The Benefits of Precision Ag in Small Farms," *Watts Challenge Advisory*, accessed June 4, 2020, <https://www.challenge.org/knowledgeitems/the-benefits-of-precision-ag-in-small-farms/>.

Technically savvy farmers who may wish to modify their smart equipment outside of factory settings may face similar restrictions.²⁸⁸

Data collected by smart technology is another point of contention. Many farmers have traditionally considered the methods by which they farm akin to trade secrets. Newer pieces of farm equipment such as tractors automatically collect that data and send it into the manufacturer's corporate office.²⁸⁹

Agritourism

In Pennsylvania, one specific type of tourism is gaining popularity and stands to benefit from increased connectivity. The popularity and spread of the “eat local” movements have demonstrated an increased consumer demand for local food and interest in rediscovering how this food is produced. Interested citizens have begun to use the Internet to discover activities they never knew existed in their own backyards and to travel to nearby regions to seek out experiences which combine elements of both agriculture and tourism. Although the definition of what agritourism is or what activities it encompasses can vary, the American Farm Bureau Federation notes that: “Agritourism refers to an enterprise at a working farm, ranch or agricultural plant conducted for the enjoyment of visitors that generates income for the owner. Agricultural tourism refers to the act of visiting a working farm or any horticultural or agricultural operation for the purpose of enjoyment, education, or active involvement in the activities of the farm or operation that also adds to the economic viability of the site.”²⁹⁰ While the phenomenon may be relatively new to the national spotlight, Pennsylvania has been practicing forms of agritourism for decades with activities like corn mazes, which were invented in this state, and other seasonal events such as pumpkin patches, county fairs, and choose your own Christmas tree farms.²⁹¹

Broadband can support agritourism through allowing operators to administer websites with greater ease and spread news of promotions or special events through social networking or event websites. Some of which are exclusively dedicated to promoting the subject such as *agritourism world.com*, while county tourism websites also raise awareness to people outside the area of local offerings. Blogging can be a useful method to

²⁸⁸ Peter Waldman and Lydia Mulvany, “Farmers Fight John Deere over Who Gets to Fix an \$800,000 Tractor,” *Bloomberg Businessweek*, last modified March 5, 2020, <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/features/2020-03-05/farmers-fight-john-deere-over-who-gets-to-fix-an-800-000-tractor>.

²⁸⁹ Zachary Larson. “What Will Happen to my Data? Understanding Your Rights in Precision Agriculture,” *PennState Extension*, last modified September 17, 2017, <https://extension.psu.edu/what-will-happen-to-my-data-understanding-your-rights-in-precision-agriculture>.

²⁹⁰ “Agritourism,” *Centre Regional Planning Agency*, accessed June 2, 2020, <https://www.crcog.net/vertical/sites/%7B6AD7E2DC-ECE4-41CD-B8E1-BAC6A6336348%7D/uploads/Agritourism.pdf>.

²⁹¹ “State Issues - Agritourism Civil Liability Reform,” *PA Farm Bureau*, accessed June 2, 2020, <https://www.pfb.com/policy-pfb/issues/2107-state-issues-agritourism-civil-liability-reform>.

supplement knowledge of curious visitors or help attract new ones by providing a more focused presentation on specific topics and allow websites to be ranked higher in Internet search engines through the judicious and selective use of keywords.²⁹² Microblogs such as Twitter can be used to quickly convey information to potential customers, and to participate in larger agriculture discourse through the use of hashtags.²⁹³ Websites or Facebook pages can be utilized to contact operators and to sell tickets to agritourism events. One of the most important uses of the Internet at agritourism sites is ensuring sufficient connectivity exists to allow credit card machines to function properly to encourage sales. Access to mobile cell service or Wifi at agritourism locations may be able to boost locations online popularity by allowing people to share positive memories and interactions through photos, videos, and reviews during their visits.²⁹⁴

In the 25 years between 1982 and 2007, Pennsylvania has lost over 728 thousand acres of agricultural land. Agritourism represents an important lifeline to help sustain operating farms and promote state agricultural heritage.²⁹⁵ Agritourism is an additional way for farmers and other agribusinesses to earn more by diversifying the economic activities hosted on their lands. A non-exhaustive list of agritourism can include:

- U-pick produce operations
- Ice cream and bakeries facilities
- Wineries tastings and tours
- Local crafts and food
- Farm-related interpretive facilities and exhibits
- Agriculture related fairs and festivals
- Tours of agricultural sites
- Walking and biking trails
- Bed and breakfasts and farm stays
- Outdoor recreation such as skiing, bird watching, fee-based fishing and hunting, and horseback riding.²⁹⁶

²⁹² *HubSpot*, “Blog SEO: how to search engine optimize your content,” blog entry by Lindsay Kolowich, May 29, 2020, <https://blog.hubspot.com/marketing/blog-search-engine-optimization>.

²⁹³ NC State University Cooperative Extension, “Using Social Media to Market Agritourism,” last modified April 4, 2014, https://cnr.ncsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2014/04/Agritourism_Social_Media_Final.pdf.

²⁹⁴ *Ibid.*

²⁹⁵ “Agritourism,” *Centre Regional Planning Agency*.

²⁹⁶ *Agritourism Guidelines* (Lancaster County Planning Commission & Tourism Development Council, February 2009), 2.

One example of a relatively new agritourism activity is the Pennsylvania Ice Cream Trail. The program was inspired by the popularity of wine trails where people visit multiple nearby wineries to receive additional samples and benefits. Similarly, the family friendly ice cream trail incentivizes travel to local dairy farms and creameries through a promotional passport where visitors receive stamps to earn rewards such as t-shirts and ice cream bowls from participating entities. The PA Ice Cream Trail is run through a partnership between the PA Tourism Office at DCED, PA Preferred in the Department of Agriculture, and the Center for Dairy Excellence.²⁹⁷ There are currently three separate regional trails in western, south central, and eastern Pennsylvania.²⁹⁸ In 2019 the program attracted over 5,000 participants from over 30 states.²⁹⁹

There are additional benefits to opening up agricultural sites to the public as it is an opportunity to educate the public in fun and relaxed settings about the importance of specific agriculture businesses and how the products the site specializes in are made. Another motivator for agritourism is the ability to market their products directly to visitors through roadside stands and gift shops who are drawn to the attraction. These direct-to-consumer sales allow food producers to sell their products at retail prices and use the funds to reinvest in their operations. Positive experiences can help draw repeat customers who return to locations with friends and relatives and can advertise the location through word of mouth both in person and online.³⁰⁰ Agritourism activities in close proximity to one another can be coordinated for cross-promotion to draw in more visitors to an area and help it gain a reputation as a center for agritourism.

The 2017 agriculture census conducted by the USDA showed that California and Texas currently lead the nation in agritourism, and Pennsylvania ranks twelfth among the 50 states with 711 participants and sale of \$27 million worth of products in 2017. The southeast region of the state remained the most profitable for the agritourism business. While the amount of money being made in agritourism is increasing, the number of participating farms was shown to decrease by a net total of eighteen farms over a five-year period from 2012-2017. Berks County lost 47 percent of its participating farms, and Chester and Blair also saw significant decreases.³⁰¹

²⁹⁷ “Here’s the Scoop on Pennsylvania’s Three Ice Cream Trails,” *Pennlive*, last modified May 31, 2019, <https://www.pennlive.com/food/2019/05/heres-the-scoop-on-pennsylvanias-three-ice-cream-trails.html>.

²⁹⁸ “Creameries encouraged to participate in the 2020 PA Ice Cream Trail,” *Center for Dairy Excellence*, last modified February 13, 2020, <https://www.centerfordairyexcellence.org/about-the-center/news-featured-article/2020/02/creameries-2020-pa-ice-cream-trail/>.

²⁹⁹ “Here’s the Scoop,” *Pennlive*.

³⁰⁰ Susan D. Ryan and Sean A. Hayes, *Your Agritourism Business in Pennsylvania: A Resource Handbook* (Center for Rural PA, July 2009), accessed July 6, 2020, https://www.rural.palegislature.us/Agritourism_handbook.pdf.

³⁰¹ Claudia Schmidt and Harry Crissy, “Agritourism in Pennsylvania 2019 Update,” *PennState Extension*, last modified September 10, 2019, <https://extension.psu.edu/agritourism-in-pennsylvania-2019-update>.

| Table 2 Top Agritourism Counties in Pennsylvania. | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Rank | County | Value | Participating locations |
| 1 | Bucks | \$5,213,000 | 36 |
| 2 | Lancaster | \$5,177,000 | 77 |
| 3 | Montgomery | \$1,126,000 | 13 |
| 4 | Allegheny | \$1,095,000 | 20 |
| 5 | Chester | \$1,028,000 | 40 |
| 6 | Northampton | \$847,000 | 14 |
| 7 | Butler | \$750,000 | 26 |
| 8 | Berks | \$740,000 | 18 |
| 9 | Washington | \$653,000 | 21 |
| 10 | Columbia | \$619,000 | 20 |

Source: See footnote 301.

Understanding both location and potential market is an important aspect to the success of agritourism business. The latest five-year changes showed that while agritourism is expanding in locations close to urban areas, it is currently declining in some regions of the state that may not be as physically accessible to visitors. Many agri-tourists are from urban areas and take day trips into the countryside, often from within a 40 mile radius of a metropolitan area.³⁰² The Center for Rural PA found that the average visitors to agritourism attractions are US citizens within a two to three-hour drive of Pennsylvania.³⁰³ The typical visitor composition is a group of two to three adults with an average age of 49, traveling with more than one child, suggesting that many activities should be geared toward families.

³⁰² Alison Shott, “Deep Dive on Agritourism” *ESI Econsult Solutions Inc.*, last modified September 21, 2018, <https://econsultsolutions.com/a-deep-dive-on-agritourism/>.

³⁰³ Ryan and Hayes, *Your Agritourism Business*.

BROADBAND AND COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The value of expanded broadband access on community and economic development is a complex determination, based on a number of factors. A study from 2018 analyzes economic outcomes such as jobs and total incomes to determine if they are higher in areas that had higher Internet speeds utilizing data from 2013 to 2015. In the limited focus of this study, the author does not find evidence to support this idea. This study also notes that those who examine the economic impact of broadband have to take notice of the confounding factors that may influence the results. For instance, broadband tends to expand in areas at a greater economic advantage, so a correlation between strong economies and areas with broadband coverage does not prove causation between the expansion of broadband and a strong economy.³⁰⁴

Another study of the economic impact of broadband adoption from 1999 to 2006 found there was incentive for companies to invest in expanding broadband because they got a return on their investments, but the results were much lower than proponents of broadband expansion will sometimes tout. The study estimates that broadband deployment increased the GDP by \$8.3-\$10.6 billion. These numbers make the case for a company to invest in expansion, but the benefits were not outsized. Additionally, the study was unable to confirm that the economic incentive for expanding broadband into high cost areas would be enough for private companies.³⁰⁵

A paper on the “Economic Impact of Rural Broadband” written by the Hudson Institute boasted \$17.2 billion directly added to the U.S. economy in 2015 by rural broadband providers. However, many of these gains were not found in rural communities. Though 69,595 jobs were created by rural broadband providers, these jobs were often concentrated in urban areas and were created by the goods and services the providers required. This paper estimates that a little over a third of the economic benefit goes toward rural areas, with the rest benefitting urban centers. Broadband also has an indirect impact on other economic sectors because of its importance in telecommunications and e-commerce. The Hudson Institute estimated that in 2015, 57.1 percent of shipments in the manufacturing sector were made through e-commerce. Additionally, \$9.2 billion in retail e-commerce sales would not be possible without rural broadband service. With the indirect impact included, the Hudson Institute estimated that the economic impact of rural broadband providers in 2015 was \$24.1 billion.³⁰⁶

³⁰⁴ George S. Ford, “Is Faster Better? Quantifying the Relationship between Broadband Speed and Economic Growth,” *Telecommunications Policy* 42, (2018): 766-777, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2018.05.006>. *Ibid.*

³⁰⁵ Shane Greenstein and Ryan C. McDevitt, “The Broadband Bonus: Estimating Broadband Internet’s Economic Value,” *Telecommunications Policy* 35 (2011): 617-632, DOI:10.1016/j.telpol.2011.05.001.

³⁰⁶ Hanns Kuttner, *The Economic Impact of Rural Broadband* (Hudson Institute, April 2016).

A study of the introduction and growth of broadband in 25 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries from 1996 to 2007 found that upon introduction of broadband infrastructure, the countries' GDPs per capita rose 2.7-3.9 percent. After introduction, subsequent expansion of broadband coverage by 10 percent raised the GDP per capita by an additional 0.9-1.5 percent.³⁰⁷ Another 2012 study of 34 OECD countries from 1998 to 2009 found that total broadband per 100 inhabitants did not have a significant positive impact on the per capita GDP.³⁰⁸ The variance of these studies, all of which affirm that the introduction of broadband into an economy does increase the GDP to some degree, could be caused by methodological differences between the studies and the difficulty in finding available data to analyze.³⁰⁹

A 2016 study summarizes the existing literature on broadband and the economy by saying that there is almost always a positive relationship between the expansion of broadband and the economy, but it is not always a statistically significant one. This effect also appears to only exist past a certain point of broadband saturation, but that point has not yet been determined. This study also claims that there is not enough evidence to determine if broadband expansion is a product of diminishing returns, though one study did indicate that expansion benefitted from network effects.³¹⁰

Conversely, a 2012 study notes that network externalities—the impact of additional consumers on the benefits of the product—may be high at first because the early adopters of new technology are the best equipped to utilize the product for the benefit of all. Those who are later adopters of the technology, however, are less likely to use the product in an innovative way that can benefit the economy. Additionally, this study asserts that though there is a certain amount of broadband penetration necessary before economic effects become apparent, once the community is fully built out with broadband coverage, there is no evidence of significant additional job creation after this point.³¹¹

A study using data from a portion of the members of the Rural Broadband Association (NTCA) in 2017 found that community-based telecommunications companies could potentially create over 77,000 jobs through direct, indirect, and induced employment. Among the 44 states studied, Pennsylvania ranked 31st with 372 jobs estimated. In comparison, Texas had the most estimated jobs created with 9,251, and New Hampshire had the least with 24.³¹²

³⁰⁷ Nina Czernick, Oliver Falck, Tobias Ketschmer *et al.*, “Broadband Infrastructure and Economic Growth,” *The Economic Journal* 121, no. 552 (May 2011): 505-532, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41236989>.

³⁰⁸ Štefan Bojnec and Imre Fertő, “Broadband Availability and Economic Growth,” *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 112, no. 9 (2012): 1292-1306, DOI: 10.1108/02635571211278938.

³⁰⁹ Paul Katz, *The Impact of Broadband on the Economy: Research to Date and Policy Issues* (International Telecommunication Union, April 2012).

³¹⁰ Michael Mingos, *Exploring the Relationship between Broadband and Economic Growth*, paper prepared for World Development Report 2016, Digital Dividends (January 2015).

³¹¹ Katz, *Impact of Broadband*.

³¹² Roberto Gallardo and Indraneel Kumar, “Job Creation from Rural Broadband Companies,” *Research & Policy INSights* Publication 007 (August 2019).

A 2019 study that analyzed incremental broadband speed found that though there may not be a significant difference between the economic impact of 10 and 25 Mbps, there may be a more pronounced benefit with speeds of 100 Mbps or higher. Using data from Tennessee, this study determined that counties with high speed broadband had a lower unemployment rate by 0.26 percent. These benefits were more significant in rural areas, prompting the authors to advise further examination of the impact of broadband on urban unemployment. For rural areas, the study found the decrease in unemployment significant enough to recommend policymakers be incentivized to increase broadband availability in their county.³¹³

A study of North Carolina counties and the effect of broadband on their economic health attempted to answer the questions: “How has access to high speed broadband Internet affected North Carolina communities economic health?” and “How are some North Carolina communities’ using high speed broadband Internet to support their economic development efforts?”³¹⁴ A quantitative analysis of the data indicated that broadband had no influence on employment growth. This information was evaluated at a statewide level, however, and the impact of broadband is better measured in individual communities where broadband is expanding. The study used data from 2005 to 2010, which leads to limitations in the significance of the results due to the 2008 recession. The author also notes that broadband penetration had plateaued around 2008, and broadband’s economic impact decreases significantly after access reaches a certain level of saturation.³¹⁵

To provide a well-rounded overview of broadband in North Carolina, the study also includes a qualitative analysis through case studies of counties that have experienced success through broadband infrastructure development.³¹⁶ In Catawba County, Apple, Facebook, Disney, and Bed Bath & Beyond have built data centers due to its temperate location and access to broadband infrastructure.³¹⁷ This county has been transformed economically since tech giants have moved to the area, but this is likely due to a purposeful investment by the community into making the region more appealing to companies through the construction of new tourist attractions and shopping centers. Broadband infrastructure is just one of many improvements the region has invested in.³¹⁸

³¹³ Bento J. Lobo, Rafayet Alam, and Brian E. Whitacre, “Broadband Speed and Unemployment Rates: Data and Measurement Issues,” *Telecommunications Policy* 44, no. 1 (February 2020), DOI: 10.1016/j.telpol.2019.101829.

³¹⁴ Amy Huffman, “If We Build It Will They Come? A Mixed-Method Exploration of High Speed Broadband Access and Economic Development” (paper presented at the 6th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance, October 22-25, 2012).

³¹⁵ *Ibid.*.

³¹⁶ *Ibid.*

³¹⁷ Brandon Goldner, “Tech Giants Building Massive Data Centers in North Carolina Foothills,” *WCNC*, last modified November 1, 2018, <https://www.wcnc.com/article/news/tech-giants-building-massive-data-centers-in-north-carolina-foothills/275-610385223>.

³¹⁸ “Catawba County Reinvents Itself as High-Tech Manufacturing Hub,” *Business North Carolina*, last modified April 29, 2019, <https://businessnc.com/catawba-county-reinvents-itself-as-high-tech-manufacturing-hub/>.

Broadband and Small Business

One of the factors in the economic case for broadband is the impact a broadband connection has on small businesses. A survey by the Columbia Telecommunications Corporation of small firms and businesses found that Internet service was given roughly a four out of five on a scale of importance in achieving strategic goals, improving competitiveness, improving efficiency, reaching more customers, and interacting with vendors. This research shows that small businesses do see broadband as a valuable resource. Studies have shown that broadband can reduce transaction costs for small businesses, raise productivity levels, improve production efficiency and create new businesses and markets. Other studies argue that the benefit to the overall economy is significant.³¹⁹ Studies have further found that providing infrastructure to enhance availability should be coupled with a focus on encouraging adoption as well to stimulate sustained economic growth.³²⁰

A 2012 study of Kentucky data from 2004-2005 found that a broadband connection benefitted businesses with fewer than 100 employees in a statistically significant way, but had little effect on medium sized businesses. In the year analyzed, around 70 new small businesses were established. This study found that the impact could vary from industry to industry; manufacturing business was helped tremendously by connection to broadband while brick-and-mortar banking institutions struggled to compete with virtual banking that requires fewer physical locations.³²¹

A multitude of factors makes broadband's impact on small businesses difficult to empirically quantify. First, it is difficult to accurately measure inputs and outputs of new technology as it can increase exponentially, and innovations can overlap each other within economic cycles. Studies also do not take into account the benefit to the consumer in their valuation of a product or innovation. The effectiveness of the use of broadband also depends on a company's ability to adapt their organizational structure to best utilize the service. The impact to different industries can vary greatly based on the service they offer and their size and scope. Thus, a generalization about the impact of broadband can yield unimpressive results but individual industries may boom as a result of broadband. Small businesses see the most direct impact of broadband in e-commerce.³²² Because of these

³¹⁹ "The Impact of Broadband Speed and Price on Small Business," (Columbia Telecommunications Corporation, November 2010), https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/rs373tot_0.pdf.

³²⁰ Brian Whitacre, Roberto Gallardo, and Sharon Strover, "Broadband's Contribution to Economic Health in Rural Areas," Cornell College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Community and Regional Development Institute, Research and Policy Brief, Issue 64, February 2015, <https://cardi.cals.cornell.edu/publications/research-policy-briefs/broadband%e2%80%99s-contribution-economic-health-rural-areas/>.

³²¹ David Shideler and Narine Badasyan, "Broadband Impact on Small Business Growth in Kentucky," *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development* 19, no. 4 (2012): 589-606, DOI: 10.1108/14626001211277415.

³²² Krishna Jayakar, Amit Schejter *et al.*, "Small Businesses and Broadband: Key Drivers for Economic Recovery," Pennsylvania State University, March 2010, accessed May 14, 2020, http://sites.comm.psu.edu/iip/wp-content/uploads/sites/8/2014/07/OIC_Reply_Comments_Attachment_B1.pdf.

complexities, deemed “dynamic network externalities,” by a working paper out of Penn State University, policies that will increase the impact of broadband on small businesses should strive to promote increased adoption of the service, strive to increase speed and decrease price, and allow “unimpeded access between firms and users.”³²³

In Europe, the problems that small businesses deal with in relation to broadband connection have shifted over the years. Where at one time a complete lack of connection was the primary concern, many areas now do have Internet, but at speeds that are outrageously slow and unable to support the needs of a rural small business. Applications meant to be used by these businesses are designed for a speedy connection. Thus, a slow connection can be just as unhelpful to business owners as a complete lack of service. Three sectors of the rural economies in the UK are influenced by these businesses: “upland farming, tourism and leisure, and the arts/creative sector.”³²⁴

In some cases, an additional complexity to utilizing broadband connectivity to stimulate the economy is that some business owners simply do not see the need for it. In the same way that providers struggle with adoption rates for households, those who have run a business effectively without the Internet find the new expense and effort difficult to justify.³²⁵ The benefit of the Internet to a small business is then often dependent on the technological literacy of the owner. This finding is consistent with studies previously referenced in this section that find that new broadband connection grows the economy more significantly through early adopters who are more likely to be technologically savvy, and less as later adopters who are unfamiliar with the technology enter into that realm.

A study of rural North Carolina small businesses found that rural small businesses were much less likely to make expedient use of digital mediums like websites, advertising, and social media than urban small businesses. Forty-three and a half percent of businesses surveyed did not even have a website. This study concluded that these businesses effectuated the new “digital divide,” one of implementation rather than access. This is attributable in part to a willingness to use new technology and an ability to do so.³²⁶ Literature on this topic has also found that businesses’ use of broadband varies based on their scope and geography, and some smaller businesses may have to rely on the expertise of others in utilizing digital technology effectively in their business.³²⁷

³²³ *Ibid.*

³²⁴ Lorna Philip and Fiona Williams, “Remote Rural Home Based Businesses and Digital Inequalities: Understanding Needs and Expectations in a Digitally Underserved Community,” *Journal of Rural Studies* 68 (2019): 306-318, DOI: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.09.011.

³²⁵ *Ibid.*

³²⁶ William Richmond, Scott Rader *et al.*, “The ‘Digital Divide’ for Rural Small Businesses,” *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship* 19, no. 2 (2017): 94-104, DOI: 10.1108/JRME-02-2017-0006.

³²⁷ “The Impact of Broadband Speed and Price on Small Business,” (Columbia Telecommunications Corporation, November 2010), https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/rs373tot_0.pdf.

Broadband and Tourism

Tourism is one of the top six industries in Pennsylvania. The industry employs more than 490,000 workers in travel and tourism, infusing the state economy with \$41 billion in income and generates \$4.3 billion in tax revenues annually.³²⁸ High-speed Internet access can play a role in increasing tourism dollars to communities. Tourism is an important sector of the economy in thriving metropolises and developing cities alike. As technology has improved, the expectations and capabilities of the average tourist have increased in the more remote tourist attractions like beaches, outdoor recreational areas, and ski towns, lack of connectivity can decrease the value of the tourists' experience. As "information is the lifeblood of tourism," the Internet is an excellent tool which places all necessary information about a location in the hands of the consumer.³²⁹ Because of information's crucial role, access to information technology has a higher impact on the tourism industry than the economy in general.³³⁰

As a growing percentage of tourists book their vacations through the Internet, businesses that are not connected or do not utilize their connection effectively to market to consumers become less visible and less successful. These businesses also often suffer from a lack of expertise in digital marketing, leaving most of the salesmanship to the intermediary companies like travel agents and tour companies. The connection between high-tech consumers and high-tech tourist businesses continues to grow stronger and the connection between less tech-savvy consumers and their business counterparts remain similar. This creates a digital divide in the tourist industry analogous to the one found in other fields.³³¹ Efforts to educate small business owners of the benefits of broadband can play an important role in helping these businesses to become more technologically competitive and bridge the digital gap.

E-tourism can benefit tourism businesses in marketing, sales, operations, human resources, and purchasing. It can also increase competition and complexity of the needs of the tourism industry, and thus it must be utilized properly in e-business to mitigate these effects. These businesses should endeavor to provide increasingly personalized services, use the Internet as an information sharing platform, and offer increasingly personalized products as well. One competitive advantage that can be utilized is dynamic packaging, which is offering many different aspects of service in a bundle so that consumers will not look elsewhere to furnish other aspects of their travels.³³²

³²⁸ "Tourism in Pennsylvania," *Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development*, accessed May 20, 2020, <https://dced.pa.gov/key-industries/tourism/>.

³²⁹ Valeria Minghetti and Dimitrios Buhalis, "Digital Divide in Tourism," *Journal of Travel Research* 49, no. 3 (2009): 267-281, DOI: 10.1177/0047287509346843.

³³⁰ *Ibid.*

³³¹ *Ibid.*

³³² Emmanouil Stiakakis and Christos K. Georgiadis, "Drivers of a Tourism E-Business Strategy: The Impact of Information and Communication Technologies," *Operational Research International Journal* 11 (2011): 149-169, DOI 10.1007/s12351-009-0046-6.

The money that consumers are able to save by finding cheaper airfare and hotel rates via the Internet can be spent in locations where tourists are travelling. A tech-savvy tourist can also use their connection to craft a more individualized trip and find more local businesses to support. A study of tourism and broadband's relationship found a positive association between visitor arrivals and ICT and visitor arrivals and country income. There was a negative relationship between price and visitor arrivals.³³³

The American Society of Travel Agents has been conducting an annual "How America Travels" study since 2017. Among the findings of that first report was that Millennials (person born between 1981 and 1996) travel for leisure significantly more than GenX (1965-1980) and Baby Boomers (1946-1964). The study also found that more than half of the Millennials surveyed (52 percent) indicated that availability of free WiFi "plays a big role" in the decision of where to stay during a vacation. Lack of high-speed Internet access in rural recreational areas could have a significant impact on the desirability of the location for visitors.³³⁴

Among the many attractions Pennsylvania has to offer visitors, the Commonwealth's parks, forests, nature preserves and other outdoor recreational areas are very popular. The role of technology in providing safe and enriching adventures in the outdoors continues to increase. Connectivity in the event of an emergency, GPS navigation, and web-based maps all support safer exploration of Pennsylvania's wilderness areas. Education on the appropriate use of technology in the wilderness is also important. The Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) recently released its five-year outdoor recreation plan with recommendations on how to intelligently use technology as part of the effort to further engage residents and tourists alike in their appreciation of Pennsylvania's multitude of natural assets.³³⁵

³³³ Nikeel Kumar and Ronald Ravinesh Kumar, "Relationship between ICT and International Tourism Demand: A Study of Major Tourist Destinations," *Tourism Economics* 20, no. 10 (2019): 1-18, DOI: 10.1177/1354816619858004.

³³⁴ Diane Bair and Pamela Wright, "What do Millennial Travelers Want in a Vacation?" *The Boston Globe*, last modified May 3, 2017, <https://www.bostonglobe.com/lifestyle/travel/2017/05/03/what-millennial-travelers-want-new-study-finds-out/mRCbCdZzhtOyFVU7jN7sVM/story.html>.

³³⁵ Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, *Pennsylvania Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan, "Recreation for All, (2020-2024)*, <http://elibrary.dcnr.pa.gov/GetDocument?docId=3223603&DocName=PASCORP2020-2024Final>.

ISSUES ENCOUNTERED IN DEPLOYING BROADBAND

Many issues surround the deployment of broadband to rural communities of Pennsylvania, both tangible and intangible. Determining where broadband Internet is currently available is part of the struggle. Mapping and surveys do not present consistent views. This topic is discussed later in this report in its own chapter. How to pay for deployment is another topic that merits a separate chapter. Methods to ease the ability of providers to create and access infrastructure present practical barriers with tangible potential solutions and will be discussed following the next section. But the biggest intangible to be overcome is the fact that not everyone thinks high-speed Internet access is very important, and even when it is technologically available, some people opt for slower, and cheaper Internet access.

Adoption by Consumers

While broadband access continues to be complicated and costly to deploy across the country, other issues also significantly impede the impact broadband access has in the United States. One such issue is broadband adoption. The distinction between access and adoption is an important dimension the discussion surrounding broadband. Even in areas where broadband is available and accessible, providers struggle to convince consumers to pay to adopt a service that they have spent their entire lives not utilizing. A study of connectivity in 2009 found that though 93 percent of homes had access to broadband, the corresponding adoption rate was only 63 percent.³³⁶ According to the 2018 census, that figure has risen to 70 percent.³³⁷

Studies of adoption rates tend to follow one of two major theories in their analyses: adoption and diffusion. Adoption theory focuses on demographics in an attempt to identify the factors that determine broadband adoption, but the demographic data can be difficult to separate and analyze on a granular level. Diffusion theory tracks the adoption of broadband over time. A temporal analysis of adoption tends to find an S-curve; first there is a slow increase in adoption, then a dramatic spike as more consumers become connected, and eventually the rate plateaus. Those encouraging broadband adoption must utilize the

³³⁶ Simone Silva, Narine Badasyan *et al.*, “Diversity and Digital Divide: Using the National Broadband Map to Identify the Non-Adopters of Broadband,” *Telecommunications Policy* 42 (2018): 361-373, DOI: 10.1016/j.telpol.2018.02.008.

³³⁷ “US Census Shares New Broadband Adoption Data,” *Connected Nation*, accessed May 19, 2020, <https://connectednation.org/blog/2019/10/04/u-s-census-shares-new-broadband-adoption-data/>.

information found in both of these analyses to determine the best way to increase adoption rates again.³³⁸

In 2011, Pew conducted a survey of U.S. households and found that the biggest factor for non-adopting consumers was “a lack of perceived benefits.”³³⁹ Additional concerns for consumers were “difficulty of using the Internet and the cost.”³⁴⁰ The digital divide in adoption rates separates high-income and well-educated households from low-income and less educated households. Black and Hispanic people were also less likely to adopt broadband. Additionally, a factor that has complicated the spread of fixed broadband is the substitution of mobile broadband. Consumers may not see the need for a home connection to the Internet if they have access to it from their mobile devices and carriers. This is not a significant detriment to adoption of fixed broadband, but this method of connectivity is disproportionately utilized by lower income users, those without college education, and African Americans. Broadband availability is a significant variable that has an effect on adoption rates in non-metropolitan areas, but in metropolitan regions where broadband is more likely to be available, the factors which deter adoption most are income level, education, and ethnicity.³⁴¹

One solution that has been presented to the low adoption rates is the application of a social cognitive theory to the problem. One aspect slowing adoption is the fact that the perceived value of a broadband connection increases as the experience of the user increases. A new adopter of broadband may have a lower threshold for the price he is willing to pay for the service provided, and its value to him will only increase if he takes the time to become educated on its usefulness. This can cause cost to be a barrier to adoption for those unfamiliar with the Internet. Social cognitive theory says that this barrier could be mitigated if consumers were educated about the value of the Internet before acquiring the service. In applying a social cognitive theory analysis to the issue, researchers found that demography drove the intentions of different groups, but the most important factors were self-efficacy and habit strength. An examination through this lens emphasizes the fact that “demography is not destiny” and moves beyond the knowledge that certain groups are less likely to adopt broadband.³⁴² This study found that previous knowledge of how to utilize the technology properly and comfort level with using the Internet factored heavily into the choices of consumers, and lack of computer knowledge and comfortability can be a result of growing up in a low-income family. Thus, technological education for those in non-adopting groups may increase their likelihood of adoption.³⁴³

Though government agencies have attempted to create programs that would build on these findings and work to increase consumers’ familiarity with digital technology at an achievable price, some argue that so far the impact of these efforts has not been significant.

³³⁸ Silva, “Diversity.”

³³⁹ *Ibid.*

³⁴⁰ *Ibid.*

³⁴¹ *Ibid.*

³⁴² Robert Larose, Kurt De Mago *et al.*, “Measuring Sustainable Broadband Adoption: An Innovative Approach to Understanding Broadband Adoption and Use,” *International Journal of Communication* 6 (2012): 2576-2600.

³⁴³ *Ibid.*

Private companies who have a financial incentive to increase adoption rates have begun to innovate in this arena as well. Two programs that provide connection and devices at a reduced cost are Comcast's Internet Essentials and Facebook's Free Basics. These services generally fulfill only basic needs for families, who may eventually choose to invest in adoption of comprehensive service after becoming more comfortable with the technology and increasing the perceived benefit of the service. Those pioneering these systems argue that private companies offering limited-use Internet are free of many of the regulatory burdens of governmental assistance programs because they are not held accountable by federal budgeting and can offer their service with virtually no conditions attached. Thus, these programs do not suffer from reports of fraud like the FCC's Lifeline program occasionally does.³⁴⁴

Increased access to broadband connectivity will naturally increase the adoption rates, but without being coupled with initiatives to increase digital literacy and perceived value of connectivity, there will always be a gap between access and adoption. This dimension of the conversation and research surrounding broadband connectivity must be acknowledged to effectively increase the adoption rates, and therefore the number of Americans connected to broadband.³⁴⁵

Adoption rates may also be affected the spread of smartphone technology and the perception that it is an appropriate substitute for wired broadband service. A 2019 Pew Research Center survey examined the impact of mobile technology and home broadband access. A telephone survey of 1,502 U.S. adults was conducted from January 8 to February 7, 2019. The survey found that 81 percent of adults own a smartphone, a slight increase from 77 percent in 2018. In home broadband service was also up, from 65 percent to 73 percent. However, the number of Americans using smartphones only for Internet service has double since 2013, from 8 percent to 17 percent. Not surprisingly, smartphone reliance tended to be more common among groups that also have lower levels of broadband adoption. In 2013, 34 percent of users indicated that when they were using the Internet, they mostly do so on their phone. This number has increased to 46 percent in 2019.

While costs of in-home broadband remain a significant factor, the PEW survey also found that:

. . . a growing share of non-broadband users credit their smartphone as a reason why they forgo a subscription to high-speed home Internet service. Some 45percent of non-broadband adopters say they do not have high-speed Internet at home because their smartphone lets them do everything online that they need to do. This represents an 18 percentage point increase from 2015, when only 27percent of non-adopters cited their smartphone as a reason for not having home broadband.

³⁴⁴ T. Randolph Beard, George S. Ford *et al.*, "Private Solutions to Broadband Adoption: An Economic Analysis," *Federal Communications Law Journal* 69, no. 1 (2017).

³⁴⁵ Larose, "Measuring Sustainable Broadband Adoption."

Roughly four-in-ten non-adopters report having other options for Internet access outside of their home, while 22percent say broadband service not being available or available at an unacceptable speed where they live is a reason for not subscribing to home broadband. Both of these shares have changed little in the past four years.³⁴⁶

Loss of Landlines

Internet access via DSL is achieved over telephone lines. Internet access via cable frequently makes use of attachments to telephone poles, poles owned by investor-owned electric utilities, and poles owned by rural electric cooperatives to run cable to homes. The growing trend of moving away from the use of traditional telephone landlines to cellular and other mobile technology has an impact on the availability and economic feasibility of offering these types of connections to consumers. In the second half of 2016, the majority of Americans (50.2 percent) reported that they did not have a landline telephone in their homes.³⁴⁷ By the end of 2018, this number increased to 57.1 percent.³⁴⁸ While Pennsylvania lags below the national levels, it saw similar increases over the same time period, from 36.4 percent to 43.4 percent.

Coordination of Construction Projects - “Dig Once” Policies

One strategy that some policymakers have been championing as a way to decrease the cost of expanding broadband infrastructure is a “Dig Once Policy.” In this strategy, roads that receive federal funding to be constructed would have to include a broadband conduit that would allow multiple providers to run cable through it. This would reduce the cost of expanding broadband coverage by removing the need to tear up recently paved roads to run new cable.³⁴⁹ The cost of excavating roads to run new cable makes up 90 percent of the cost of broadband expansion in urban areas.³⁵⁰ This proposal has been

³⁴⁶Monica Anderson, “Mobile Technology and Home Broadband 2019,” *Pew Research Center – Internet and Technology*, last modified June 13, 2019, <https://www.pewresearch.org/Internet/2019/06/13/mobile-technology-and-home-broadband-2019/>.

³⁴⁷ Stephen J. Blumberg, Ph.D., and Julian V. Luke, “Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July–December 2016,” *National Center for Health Statistics, National Health Interview Survey Early Release Program*, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/wireless201705.pdf>.

³⁴⁸ “Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July–December 2018,” *NCHStats*, accessed August 17, 2020, <https://nchstats.com/2019/06/27/wireless-substitution-early-release-of-estimates-from-the-national-health-interview-survey-july-december-2018/>.

³⁴⁹ Tyler Cooper, “Dig Once: The Digital Divide Solution Congress Squandered and Policy That Could Save \$126 Billion On Broadband Deployment,” *Broadband Now*, last modified August 7, 2019, <https://broadbandnow.com/report/dig-once-digital-divide/>.

³⁵⁰ Austin Coleman to The Council of State Governments mailing list, July-August 2017, “Dig Once: Using Public Rights-of-Way to Bridge the Digital Divide,” accessed November 14, 2019, https://www.csg.org/pubs/capitolideas/enews/cs41_1.aspx; Cooper, “Dig Once.”

repeatedly offered and rejected on a federal level. Entities that currently possess the resources to build out their coverage by excavating new roads have been hesitant to allow other companies who cannot currently compete in that way to share their conduits. Due to the federal gridlock, eleven states have implemented specifically tailored Dig Once Policies.³⁵¹

Dig Once Policies are meant to decrease costs of communications infrastructure in the future. Implementing this policy could lead to savings in labor, material, traffic control, engineering, and permit complications. Without federal movement on the issue, communities can implement Dig Once ordinances. For individual communities, it is recommended that localities prioritize building conduit that will be efficiently utilized in the community. For example, in some areas, it may be more cost-effective to run aerial cables instead of underground ones, and communities should make the choice that makes the most sense for the residents. Since the process of broadband expansion is expensive even with the use of Dig Once Policy, communities will have to consider a number of factors to make implementation efficient.³⁵²

Virginia's Center for Innovative Technology conducted a Dig Once Feasibility Study in 2018 to help the Virginia General Assembly determine if a blanket state-wide dig once policy would be feasible. After interviewing key stakeholders, the study identified three major challenges to implementing blanket Dig Once Policy: "accessing complex intersections, bridges, and tunnels, perceived policy fragmentation across VDOT's nine districts, costs associated with ROW [right of way] access."³⁵³ Because of these challenges, the study determined that a blanket policy was not feasible in Virginia.³⁵⁴ Several states and cities have implemented differing versions of Dig Once policies and experienced success, demonstrating that a blanket Dig Once Policy may not be a one-size-fits-all solution.³⁵⁵ Policies can include notice requirements of when state and municipal construction activities are occurring and can be coordinated with broadband providers.

Pennsylvania does not have a specific "dig once" policy administered by a designated agency. However, excavations that may damage underground utilities are coordinated through the One Call system. The Pennsylvania One Call System is a non-profit that provides a vehicle to facilitate communications between any organization or person planning to excavate in Pennsylvania. In order to coordinate and plan safe excavation and prevent damage to underground facilities, the One Call System includes over 3,660 facility owner members, in industries ranging from telecommunications to sewers. PennDOT is not a member, though they do sit on the Board of Directors. Companies planning to excavate in Pennsylvania must call the phone number "811" to create a "Work Location Request." If the excavation project is large that may cause

³⁵¹ *Ibid.*

³⁵² CTC Technology & Energy, *Technical Guide to Dig Once Policies* (Kensington, MD: Columbia Telecommunications Corporation, 2017), <http://www.ctcnet.us/wpcontent/uploads/2017/05/CTC-White-Paper-Dig-Once-20170414.pdf>.

³⁵³ Center of Innovative Technology, *Dig Once Feasibility Study*, (2018), <https://rga.lis.virginia.gov/Published/2019/HD3/PDF>.

³⁵⁴ *Ibid.*

³⁵⁵ Kelli Hughes, "Dig Once: Policies and Best Practices," California State Transportation Agency.

significant disruption to utilities or the public, or will require locates over an extended period, a “Complex Project” is required thru the online Coordinate PA portal. This interactive information is passed along to any member of the One Call System who has underground facilities or lines in that area.³⁵⁶

Coordinate PA is a system developed by Pennsylvania 811 for its members and designers to plan, communicate, coordinate, collaborate, and to share cost where possible throughout the entire underground excavation project, while complying with Pennsylvania’s Underground Utility Line Protection Law that has been in place since 1974.³⁵⁷ This map-based system of utility and public works underground excavation projects allows entities to coordinate underground excavation projects and has proven to reduce cost and prevent damage to underground facilities. The program has been in use for four years, and the software was modeled after a similar software platform utilized in the City of Philadelphia. There are currently 8,000 projects in the system. It is supported by 24 coordinating committees across the state.³⁵⁸ Amendments to the law in 2017 mandated participation by all underground facility owners in the membership program.³⁵⁹

The ability to see plans for a project before they are enacted is helpful for municipalities. As their primary concern is the impact to their community, being able to plan ahead and reduce costs of excavations and projects is a welcome innovation. For broadband projects, one difficulty is the competition between big companies like Comcast and Verizon. Sharing their project plans or even working together to deploy broadband can take away from each company’s competitive edge. It is estimated that proper coordinating through the use of this system could save six or seven billion dollars a year. Doing more to emphasize the importance of using the system could lead to increased benefits to the Commonwealth by decreasing spending and increasing broadband deployment.³⁶⁰

³⁵⁶ “History,” *Pennsylvania 811*, accessed May 21, 2020, https://www.pa1call.org/pa811/Public/About/History/Public/POCS_Content/About_Us/History.aspx?hkey=5cc3c2fc-394f-47a8-9cc7-aac867a6d997.

³⁵⁷ Act of Dec. 10, 1974 (P.L. 852, No. 287), known as the Underground Utility Line Protection Law; 73 P.S. § 176 et seq.

³⁵⁸ Bill Kiger, President of the Pennsylvania One Call System, phone call with JSGC staff, May 21, 2020.

³⁵⁹ Section 3 of the act of Oct. 30, 2017 (P.L. 806, No. 50); 73 P.S. § 181.1.

³⁶⁰ *Supra*, note 358.

Pole Attachments

Under the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, itself an amendment of the Federal Communications Act of 1934, the FCC was granted authority to regulate pole attachments, defined as “any attachment by a cable television system or provider of telecommunications service to a pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way owned or controlled by a utility.”³⁶¹ A utility is further defined as “any person who is a local exchange carrier or an electric, gas, water, steam, or other public utility, and who owns or controls poles, ducts, conduits, or rights-of-way used, in whole or in part, for any wire communications. Such term does not include any railroad, any person who is cooperatively organized, or any person owned by the Federal Government or any State.”³⁶² While this authority was vested in the FCC, it did not exclusively preempt state action in the area. Specifically, the statute contains a “reverse preemption” provision that specifically makes it inapplicable if a state retains or assumes jurisdiction with respect access to poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way for pole attachments.³⁶³ Until recently, Pennsylvania had relied on the FCC to regulation pole attachments in Pennsylvania.

Pole attachment regulations require utilities to provide just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates, and access may only be denied where there is insufficient capacity and for reasons of safety, reliability and generally applicable engineering purposes.³⁶⁴ Interpretation of these provisions can lead to disputes between providers and utilities. After receiving complaints and concerns from providers about the expense and time involved in the federal dispute resolution process for these matters, the PUC issued final regulations in August 2019 to exercise reverse preemption and adopt the FCC pole attachment regulations in order to provide a local forum for dispute resolution that would be more efficient and cost-effective for Pennsylvania providers and utilities. Both the PUC’s adjudicatory processes as well as voluntarily negotiated agreements will be possible under these regulations.³⁶⁵

In light of the Mozilla decision,³⁶⁶ there is an open question as to how the changes adopted in the FCC’s Restoring Internet Freedom Order of 2017 might affect regulation of pole attachments in states subject to federal regulation. The reclassification of broadband internet access service (BIAS) as information service may impair a BIAS-only provider from utilizing the federal pole attachment provision to obtain access to poles.

During the summer of 2020, the NCTA – The Internet & Television Association filed a petition with the FCC for a declaratory ruling on pole attachments. The petition asks the FCC to declare that pole owners must share in the cost of pole replacements in

³⁶¹ 47 U.S.C. § 224(a)(4).

³⁶² 47 U.S.C. § 224(a)(1).

³⁶³ 47 U.S.C. § 224(c)(1).

³⁶⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 224(e)(1) and (f).

³⁶⁵ Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, “Assumption of Commission Jurisdiction Over Pole Attachments from the Federal Communications Commission,” 50 Pa. Bulletin 469, January 18, 2020.

³⁶⁶ *Mozilla*, 940 F.3d at 65-67; see also Restoring Internet Freedom Order, 33 FCC Rcd at 423-425, paras. 185-191; Restoring Internet Freedom NPRM, 32 FCC Rcd at 456-458, para. 69.

unserved areas, that pole attachment issues in unserved areas should be given priority by placing them on the FCC’s Accelerated Docket, and that the FCC has authority to order any pole owner to complete a pole replacement within a specific time period of designate an authorized contractor to do so. The comment period for this petition ends on September 3, 2020, although a petition for an extension has been submitted.³⁶⁷

Municipal Zoning

Some of the core responsibilities of every municipality in the Commonwealth include “to protect and promote safety, health and morals; to accomplish coordinated development.”³⁶⁸ Inherent in these responsibilities are the maintenance of public right-of-ways, while at the same time promoting public safety and preserving the character of the community. The Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC) grants the local governing body authority to adopt zoning ordinances “with respect to land use, density of population, the need for housing, commerce and industry, the location and function of streets and other community facilities and utilities....”³⁶⁹ In the context of expanding broadband service, local municipal zoning laws are supported by numerous sources of authority used to direct and monitor service providers’ abilities to construct new service lines.

The Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution grants Congress the power “to regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states.”³⁷⁰ The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has interpreted the Commerce Clause to mean “Congress’ commerce power ‘extends to those activities intrastate which so affect interstate commerce, or the exertion of the power of Congress over it, as to make regulation of them appropriate means to the attainment of a legitimate end, the effective execution of the granted power to regulate interstate commerce.’”³⁷¹ Additionally, Section 152 (a) of the Communication Act of 1934 addresses regulation by stating the provisions of the Act apply to “all interstate...communication by wire or radio and all interstate...transmission of energy by radio which originates and/or is received within the United States.” This Act designates the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as being tasked with primarily enforcing and interpreting the Communications Act of 1934.³⁷²

³⁶⁷ Federal Communications Commission, IN the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment,” Petition for Expedited Declaratory Ruling, WC Docket No. 17-84, July 16, 2020. https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/107161552527661/071620%2017-84%20NCTA%20Petition_for_Declaratory_Ruling.pdf.

³⁶⁸ Section 105 of the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L. 805, No. 247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, as reenacted and amended; 53 P.S. § 10105.

³⁶⁹ *Ibid.* Article VI (Zoning), § 601 et seq; 53 P.S. § 10601 et seq.

³⁷⁰ United States Constitution, Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3.

³⁷¹ *MCI Worldcom v. PUC*, 844 A.2d 1239, 1250 (Pa. 2004) (quoting *Hodel v. Virginia Surface Mining and Reclamation Assoc., Inc. et. al.*, 452 U.S. 264, 281(1981)) (quoting *United States v. Wrightwood Dairy Co.*, 315 U.S. 110, 119 (1942)).

³⁷² 47 U.S.C. § 151 et. seq.

The MPC authorizes local municipalities to create zoning districts designated, planned, and classified for growth and development including full range of public utilities, infrastructure, and services (i.e. business areas, mixed use areas, public and community areas, certain residential neighborhoods, etc.). Also, the MPC empowers local municipalities to exercise local discretion in identifying locations where wireless communications facilities may negatively impact their community and prohibit such development. In the alternative, the local governing body may allow wireless communications facilities by special exception or conditional use.³⁷³

To address broadband implementation, local municipalities combine authority granted by the MPC, which outlines a municipality's zoning authority, combined with the Pennsylvania Wireless Broadband Collocation Act, which grants local municipalities the power to regulate local planning and zoning by establishing reliable standards for the siting, design, permitting, construction, operation, inspection, maintenance, repair, modification, removal and replacement of wireless communications facilities.³⁷⁴ Within the context of this grant of authority, "accessory equipment" is defined as "Any equipment serving or being used in conjunction with a wireless telecommunications facility or wireless support structure. The term includes utility or transmission equipment, power supplies, generators, batteries, cables, equipment buildings, cabinets and storage sheds, shelters or similar equipment."³⁷⁵ In addition, "transmission equipment" is defined as "Equipment that facilitates transmission for any Federal Communications Commission-licensed or authorized wireless communications service, including, but not limited to, radio transceivers, antennas, coaxial or fiber-optic cable, and regular and backup power supply. The term includes equipment associated with wireless communications services including, but not limited to, private, broadcast, and public safety services, as well as unlicensed wireless services and fixed wireless services such as a microwave backhaul."³⁷⁶

In addition, the Telecommunications Act of 1996³⁷⁷ applies to all types of wireless facilities. Specifically, the act preserves local zoning authority "over decisions regarding the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities."³⁷⁸ The Act expressly grants local governments the authority to "manage the public rights of way...on a competitively neutral and non-discriminatory basis."³⁷⁹ Inherent in this authority, local municipal governments have the ability to regulate providers' ability to locate its facilities on poles and other infrastructures within the public rights-of-ways.

³⁷³ Section 605 of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code; 53 P.S. § 10605.

³⁷⁴ Act of October 24, 2012 (P.L. 1501, No. 191), known as the Pennsylvania Wireless Broadband Collocation Act; 53 P.S. § 11702.1 *et. seq.*; the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Spectrum Act) Pub. L. No. 112-96, 126 Stat. 156 (2012); and Federal Communications Commission's Report and Order of October 21, 2014, FCC 14-153 (rel. Oct. 21, 2014).

³⁷⁵ Section 702.2, Collocation Act; 53 P.S. § 11702.2.

³⁷⁶ 47 CFR § 1.40001(b)(8).

³⁷⁷ Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. LA. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996). See 47 U.S.C. § 151 *et. seq.*

³⁷⁸ *Ibid.* at § 332(C)(7).

³⁷⁹ 47 U.S.C. § 253(c).

When considering the effect of current municipal zoning laws on service providers to expand service, distributed antennae systems (DAS)³⁸⁰ enter the discussion. DAS are wireless facilities often referred to as mini-cell towers. Moreover, DAS facilities use small antenna and a hub to relay and amplify cellular and data signals. Often, the antenna are placed in public rights-of-ways on lampposts, street lights, and telephone poles, designed as a system of mini-towers distributed throughout the coverage area. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania recently held DAS network operators are jurisdictional telecommunications utilities under 66 Pa. C.S. § 102(1)(vi).³⁸¹

Currently, several federal laws and regulations govern a municipality's authority to regulate these wireless facilities. In 2009, the FCC Short Clock Ruling outlined specific time limits for the review of zoning requests for wireless towers: For an initial zoning decision for collocation requests, the allotted time for a decision is 90 days. For a new tower, the allotted time for a decision is 150 days.³⁸² In 2012, the Spectrum Act³⁸³ stated state and local governments cannot deny and must approve any request for modification of an existing wireless tower or base station that “does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the tower or base station,” including collocation, removal, or replacement of transmission equipment.³⁸⁴

In response to the Spectrum Act, the FCC issued the Wireless Infrastructure Order (also known as the 2014 Report and Order). The Order boosts wireless broadband by easing infrastructure burdens, specifically addressing the placement of certain collocated facilities in the public rights-of-ways. In addition, the new rules included in the Order continue to protect the environment and historic properties and safeguard state and local priorities.

The Wireless Infrastructure Order extended zoning privileges to DAS facilities, including time limits for the review of DAS facilities: 60 days for site modification and 90 days for a new installation. If a municipality does not meet these time limits, the applications are deemed approved/granted, unless both parties mutually agree to an extension of time to consider the application.

³⁸⁰ “Distributed Antenna System,” *Wikipedia*, accessed August 17, 2020, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Distributed_antenna_system.

³⁸¹ *Crown Castle NG East LLC and Pennsylvania-CLE LLC v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission*, No. 2 MAP 2019, slip op. (Pa. July 21, 2020) (*Crown Castle*).

³⁸² Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order WT Docket No. 17-79; WC Docket No. 17-84.

³⁸³ The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (P.L. 112-96, (Pub.L. 112-96, 126 Stat. 156, signed February 22, 2012) contained provisions in Title VI that expedite the availability of spectrum for commercial mobile broadband. The provisions in Title VI—also known as the Public Safety and Spectrum Act, or the Spectrum Act—cover reallocation of spectrum, new assignments of spectrum rights, and changes in procedures for repurposing spectrum used by the federal government. The act established a process for television broadcasters to release spectrum licensed to them for auction as commercial licenses. The act also included provisions to apply future spectrum license auction revenues toward deficit reduction; to establish a planning and governance structure to deploy public safety broadband networks, using some auction proceeds for that purpose; and to assign additional spectrum resources for public safety communications.

³⁸⁴ Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Spectrum Act), Chapter 16.80, § 6409(a); 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a).

In response to these federal laws, Pennsylvania adopted the Wireless Broadband Collocation Act of 2012.³⁸⁵ Under the Act, applications for replacement, collocation, or modification of wireless telecommunications facilities or wireless support structures cannot be subject to the issuance of new zoning or land use approvals or review beyond the initial zoning or land use approval issued for the previously approved wireless support structure or wireless telecommunications facility. Plus, if wireless telecommunications facilities on existing wireless support structures or within existing equipment compounds need to be replaced, neither a building nor zoning permit(s) need to be obtained. The Act imposes a 90-day time frame to review applications for modifications or collocations of a wireless telecommunications facility. If the decision is not made within 90-days, the application is deemed approved.

Understanding a local municipality's role in deciding these issues was addressed in the response to the Public Utility Commission's questions regarding certification of DAS. In his response, Daniel S. Cohen, Esq.³⁸⁶ stated "many municipal zoning codes even require wireless facility applicants, including DAS providers, to first consider and examine municipal property for the place of proposed wireless facilities, such as antennas or towers."³⁸⁷ He continued, the FCC in its October 2014 Report and Order recognized municipal property preference for the siting of wireless facilities and found "insufficient evidence... to make a determination that municipal property preferences are *per se* unreasonable discriminatory or otherwise unlawful."³⁸⁸ Moreover, in its 2014 Report and Order, the FCC acknowledged a municipality's right to negotiate lease payments with wireless providers for the use of municipal property."³⁸⁹

³⁸⁵ Collocation Act, 53 P.S. § 11702.1 et seq.

³⁸⁶ Daniel S. Cohen, Esq. served as Counsel for the Pennsylvania Municipal League, the Pennsylvania State Association of Township Supervisors, the Pennsylvania Association of Township Commissioners, and the Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs.

³⁸⁷ Daniel S. Cohen, Esq., Responses of the Pennsylvania Municipal League, the Pennsylvania State Association of Township Supervisors, the Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs and the Pennsylvania State Association of Township Commissioners to the Public Utility Commission's Questions Regarding Certification of Distributed Antennae Systems, Docket No. M-2016-2517831, April 16, 2016, at pg. 17, available at <http://cohenlawgroup.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/PUC-Comments-as-Filed.pdf>.

³⁸⁸ FCC-14-153A1, Paragraph 278.

³⁸⁹ FCC-14-153A1, Paragraph 280.

ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF BROADBAND EXPANSION

A number of alternative methods to expand deployment of broadband involve the participation of non-traditional providers in forming networks. This chapter discusses some of the models that have been suggested.

Public-Private Partnerships

Public-private partnerships (P3s) are frequently mentioned as a way to deploy broadband services to areas where it is not economically feasible for traditional carriers to reach. A P3 is a “long-term contract between a private party and a government entity, for providing a public asset or service, in which the private party bears significant risk and management responsibility and remuneration is linked to performance.”³⁹⁰ Historically, PPPs have been used in transportation, water and sewer, energy generation and distribution, and social and government infrastructure, including schools, hospitals, prisons, urban regeneration and social housing projects.

P3s revolve around three basic areas: the type of asset involved, what functions the private party is responsible for, and how the private party is paid. Generally, the type of asset or service provided is specified in terms of what is required. Functions assigned to the private party can include design, build or rehabilitate, finance, maintain, and/or operate. Payment mechanisms can include payment by the government, collection of fees from service users, or a combination, with payment contingent on performance. Examples of the range of private sector participation include a low-level involvement, such as a design-build contract for a new road, to a high-level, licensed, regulated energy distribution company.³⁹¹

In 2012, the Pennsylvania Public-Private Transportation Partnership Board was created in the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) to oversee transportation projects.³⁹² In 2016, the board approved a proposal by the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission to pursue a P3 agreement with a private entity to install a fiber optic

³⁹⁰ *Public-Private Partnerships Reference Guide, Version 3*, (Washington, DC: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, 2017), <https://pppknowledgelab.org/guide/sections/83-what-is-the-ppp-reference-guide>.

³⁹¹ *Ibid.*

³⁹² Chapter 91 of Title 74 (Transportation) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, added by the act of July 5, 2012 (P.L. 853, No. 88).

network within the Commission's right-of-way.³⁹³ The project, named the Eastern Network, would run from Turnpike Commission headquarters in Middletown along the main line of the turnpike east to the Delaware River Bridge and then north along the Northeast Extension. The RFP process is ongoing in 2020, with an expected date for the project to be operational by December 31, 2021.³⁹⁴

Community-Based and Municipal-Owned Networks

In some areas, municipalities (defined in Pennsylvania as political subdivisions such as counties, cities, boroughs, incorporated towns or townships) and other public bodies like cooperatives, have created local broadband networks in unserved areas. The ability of municipalities to form their own broadband networks has been legally limited in Pennsylvania since 2004. If no telecommunication carriers are providing a service or would be willing to start providing a service within 14 months, a municipality become their own broadband provider.³⁹⁵ If an Internet provider is failing to meet a community's needs, in terms of price, quality, or coverage, municipalities do not have the option of forming their own alternatives Internet services, as long as the statutory speeds are being met.

Nationally, there is an ongoing debate as to the ability of municipalities to build and sustain broadband service. Concerns arise over competition, consumer protection, and taxpayer risk. Some states specifically identify which entities outside of the private sector can provide broadband service. A recent study identified 22 states that restrict municipal-owned networks, including three that outright prohibit local government from offering broadband directly to residents. Other restrictions on municipalities can include competitive entry requirements such as found in Pennsylvania. Some states require municipal referendums before local government can enter the market, which can be a cumbersome and expensive process.³⁹⁶

Minnesota permits municipal broadband networks, but only if they will not compete with a private provider and no private company is expected to provide service to the area in the foreseeable future. Nevada prohibits municipalities with populations over 25,000 and counties with populations over 55,000 from providing broadband service, though they can own and construct infrastructure. Some states, including Missouri and West Virginia, have adopted laws allowing electric cooperatives to provide commercial broadband service. Tennessee allows electric and telephone cooperatives to provide broadband access as long as they don't compete with existing cooperatives in

³⁹³ Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, "Broadband Public-Private Partnership (P3), Project Overview," accessed June 22, 2020, https://www.paturndpike.com/business/Broadband_P3.aspx.

³⁹⁴ Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, "Request for Proposals For Fiber Infrastructure Operations, Maintenance, and Commercialization Services" RFP Number # 19-10350-8799, issued December 18, 2019, accessed June 22, 2020, <https://www.paturndpike.com/OUTPUT/PDFs/RFPs/101084.pdf>.

³⁹⁵ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3014(h).

³⁹⁶ Kendra Chamberlain, "Municipal Broadband is Roadblocked or Outlawed in 22 States," *BroadbandNow*, last modified May 13, 2020, <https://broadbandnow.com/report/municipal-broadband-roadblocks/>.

markets with fewer than 100,000 customers. And Maine allows regional utility districts to be created so that they can provide broadband services.³⁹⁷

Financial performance of municipal-owned broadband networks is a subject of particular debate. A PennLaw study released in 2017, covering the period 2010-2014, painted a dismal picture of the economic feasibility of municipal fiber projects. The researchers found 88 municipal fiber projects nationwide, of which 20 reported their broadband operations separately in their financial reports. The study found that of those 20 projects, 11 generated negative cash flow. The study found that seven of the nine projects with positive cash flows would need more than 60 years to retire the debt incurred to build the network. The two remaining positive cash-flow projects were estimated to be able to retire the debt within the useful life of the network, expected to be 30 to 40 years. The study found that municipalities with underperforming projects frequently faced defaults, bond rating reductions and direct payments from of public funds.³⁹⁸

An early 2018 study out of Harvard reported that community-owned fiber networks provided the least expensive local broadband services. The study looked at residential data plans offered by municipal ISPs that offered fiber-to-the-home (FTTH) service at the federal minimum speeds of 25/3 Mbps and compared prices to those of private competitors in the same market. The researchers were able to compare 27 communities and found that in 23 of the communities, the FTTH pricing was lower than private providers when averaged over four years. They further found that community-owned network pricing was consistent over time, but that private ISPs typically charged low promotional rates that rose sharply after 12 months.³⁹⁹

It is not clear how much overlap of providers was present in these two studies. While the Harvard study indicates that municipal-owned networks offered competitive pricing, the PennLaw study questions their economic stability over time. Any efforts to create municipal-owned networks need to pay close attention to the sustainability of the network to provide competitively priced services.

³⁹⁷ The Pew Charitable Trusts, State Broadband Explorer, Brief, “How State Policy Shapes Broadband Deployment,” December 2019, <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/issue-briefs/2019/12/how-state-policy-shapes-broadband-deployment>.

³⁹⁸ Christopher S. Yoo and Timothy Pfenninger, “Municipal Fiber in the United States: An Empirical Assessment of Financial Performance,” University of Pennsylvania Law School, Center for Technology, Innovation and Competition, May 2017, <https://www.law.upenn.edu/live/files/6611-report-municipal-fiber-in-the-united-states-an>.

³⁹⁹ David Talbot, Kira Hessekiel, and Danielle Kehl, “Community-Owned Fiber Networks: Value Leaders in America,” (Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society Research Publication, January 2018), <https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/34623859/2018-01-16-Pricing.final.pdf?sequence=5&isAllowed=y>.

Rural Electrification Model

In 1935 over 90 percent of urban areas in America had access to electricity, but the reverse was true in rural locations where only ten percent of farms had power.⁴⁰⁰ Electricity was revolutionizing the way people lived in the cities through the use of electrical appliances but rural citizens did not have the same access to modern wonders. Rural businesses were quickly being left behind as electric power lights and machines were transforming urban industries. The future of rural America seemed dim as farms went out of business and youth moved away from the countryside seeking opportunity in the nation's cities.⁴⁰¹

At the time, power companies were not interested in expanding their areas into unprofitable territory so rural citizens who wanted electricity had to make their own with small scale generators. The power providers did not feel that electricity was marketable in rural areas because of an attitude that people in the country did not want or could not adapt to the new technology. These claims may have had some truth in the beginning, but in many rural areas that gained electricity, providers had difficulty meeting the demand. Perhaps the more important issue was the last mile price. In many cases, powerlines would only be extended if the consumers paid for their construction, sometimes at exorbitant prices.⁴⁰²

Certain key figures in the Federal government did not share the private sector's opinion. To combat the issue, President Franklin Roosevelt issued an executive order in 1935 establishing the rural electricity administration. The agency would oversee projects pertaining to creating and transmitting electricity to rural areas. Funded with a \$75,000 emergency relief appropriation, the administration was authorized to hire people and approve expenditure of necessary supplies and to purchase, rent, lease, and use eminent domain to acquire any land necessary to complete this goal.⁴⁰³ By the following year, it became clear that a single executive order could not solve a problem of this magnitude. As results from federal efforts to electrify were slow, focused shifted to empowering member owned co-ops.

⁴⁰⁰ "Rural Electrification Administration," *Roosevelt Institute*, last modified February 25, 2011, <https://rooseveltinstitute.org/rural-electrification-administration/>.

⁴⁰¹ *By the People for the People: The Rural Electric Story Minneapolis Minnesota 1955*, National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, video <https://www.cooperative.com/remagazine/articles/Pages/By-the-People-For-the-People.aspx>.

⁴⁰² *Ibid.*

⁴⁰³ *Executive Order 7037 dated May 11, 1935 in which President Franklin D. Roosevelt Establishes the Rural Electrification Agency*, General Records of the United States Government, Record Group 11, May 11, 1935. <https://www.docsteach.org/documents/document/executive-order-7037-dated-may-11-1935-in-which-president-franklin-d-roosevelt-establishes-the-rural-electrification-agency>.

The Rural Electrification Act of 1936 established the REA as a permanent agency. The act was championed by Senator George Norris and Congressman Sam Rayburn.⁴⁰⁴ Provision of the law provided for loans for “wiring on the premises or persons in rural areas and the acquisition and installation of electrical and plumbing appliances and equipment” and for “construction and operation of generating plants, electric transmission and distribution lines or systems for the furnishing of electric energy to persons in rural areas who are not receiving central station service.”⁴⁰⁵ In addition to offering loans, it provided for the agency to conduct studies and reports on the status of rural electrification and promoted the benefits of receiving electric power to the public.

The REA federal loans had low interest rates tied to a schedule.⁴⁰⁶ Priority was given to local governments, nonprofit groups and co-ops, but companies could also apply for the loans if they met the terms. There was debate over whether the power companies would be excluded from this offer, but ultimately it was agreed to in the interest of expanding electricity to the nation as quickly as possible.⁴⁰⁷ Private power companies made up only of four percent of the loans given out in the programs first year.⁴⁰⁸ The program showed some resounding successes in bringing electricity to rural America. By 1939, up to 25 percent of rural areas had power and by 1945 90 percent of American farms were estimated to have electricity.⁴⁰⁹

The story of rural electrification in Pennsylvania largely mirrors that of the nation at large. Electricity adoption was lower than the national average because of the numerous geographical obstacles through the Commonwealth which meant that fewer than six percent of rural Pennsylvanians had electricity.⁴¹⁰ After the REA was created, the local leaders of fledgling Pennsylvania co-ops signed up enough people to meet REA membership requirements, applied for loans, and went door to door obtain right of way easements.⁴¹¹ One obstacle faced by the rural co-ops was a limit of technical knowledge possessed by rural communities. In response, technical consultants were hired and worked with federal REA representatives. The farmers of Pennsylvania were put to work in the physical construction of the infrastructure by setting up poles, constructing lines and hanging the transformers.

⁴⁰⁴ Paul Anderson, “Sam Rayburn and Rural Electrification,” *East Texas History*, accessed June 23, 2020, <https://easttexashistory.org/items/show/73>.

⁴⁰⁵ Rural Electrification Act of 1936.

⁴⁰⁶ *Ibid.*

⁴⁰⁷ Paul Anderson, “Sam Rayburn and Rural Electrification.”

⁴⁰⁸ Thomas McCraw, *TVA and the Power Fight, 1933-1939* (Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1971), 87.

⁴⁰⁹ “Rural Electrification Administration,” *Roosevelt Institute*.

⁴¹⁰ Pennsylvania Rural Electric Association *Lighting the Way: Early Pioneers of Rural Electrification in Pennsylvania and New Jersey*, video, accessed June 20, 2020, <https://www.dropbox.com/s/36rm8qqkxs7c7fo/PREA75-LD.mov?dl=0>.

⁴¹¹ *Ibid.*

Steamburg Electric Cooperative Association was the first of its kind in Pennsylvania. It was later renamed the Northwest Rural Electric. The Co-op was organized by farmers in 1935 who elected their own officers and directors. The Co-op became incorporated in 1936 and it received the state's First REA loan for \$101,000. The project anticipated taking a year to construct 14 miles of lines which were energized by 1937. This loan eventually paid for 138 miles of power lines.

The example set by Steamburg was adopted quickly by other Pennsylvania rural communities and by 1941, 14 co-ops spread across Pennsylvania and New Jersey. In 1942, the Pennsylvania chapter of the REA formed in Huntington, and the Allegheny Electric Cooperative was created in 1946. The group served over 230,000 households, and had 600,000 registered members.⁴¹² With access to modern electrical appliances, Pennsylvania farmers were able to increase efficiency and lower operating costs, to stay competitive. Larger herds, milk cooling, increased watering, and home convenience all resulted from these efforts.

Nationwide, the advent of World War II further increased the demand for electricity and after the war rural co-op focused on increasing generation capacity by building generating stations and more power lines. The REA was eventually folded into the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Power companies largely sought to continue to limit their involvement in rural areas, except for places electricity co-op existed. Upon learning that a co-op was forming, a power company would try to rapidly build out its infrastructure, sometimes under the cover of night if necessary, to the most profitable part of an area to "skim the cream" by providing electricity to the wealthiest members of a community and leaving the rest of the houses in the region dark. Since federal regulations prohibited electric co-ops in places where there was a private power provider this proved to be a significant hurdle to rural electrification as the co-op could not raise the necessary funds to start without these customers and rural homes were still un-serviced with power as private companies would build out exactly as far as it needed to. This practice became known in the industry as "spite lines".⁴¹³

The battle between the electric industry and the REA was fought not only along the roadsides but also in the court of public opinion. Local Co-ops faced strong opposition from electric companies who ran negative publicity campaigns against them. While both groups would send advocates to knock on people's doors to convince them to sign up new members, the power companies weren't above spreading misinformation to potential consumers by dissuading them about the likelihood of a co-op being constructed or telling them that their neighbors had already signed up with a power company. After World War

⁴¹² *Ibid.*

⁴¹³ "Rural Electrification, Adams County Historical Marker" *Explore PA History*, accessed July 17 2020, <https://explorepahistory.com/hmarker.php?markerId=1-A-34E>.

II, various interest groups tried to capitalize on cold world era resentment to frame the REA as communist institution.⁴¹⁴ Other tactics involved labeling the co-ops as a government subsidy that was antithetical to the American capitalist spirit.

To counter the negative press the REA was forced to promote itself a great deal through publishing pamphlets, newsletters, and even films in an effort to public image. To increase adoption the agency ran a publicity campaign in the form of an “electric circus” that traveled to rural areas to show off the latest electric powered technology to raise interest in consumers and to spread knowledge about these uses.⁴¹⁵ It made significant efforts to brand every co-op with the REA name to ensure Americans knew that local progress was the result of federal intervention.⁴¹⁶ Despite the opposition the REA was eventually successful in its mission to electrify America and was folded into the USFSA in 1995.

Lessons Learned from the Rural Electrification Experience

Electrifying Rural America was a task that many believed would be impossible until it was accomplished. Duplication the efforts of the program today bring broadband into the same regions that struggled with electric would be as herculean of a task now as it was then and would need significant federal and public support.

The situation is less dire today than it was then. The country was less urbanized so the scope of their problem was likely larger. Today, the FCC claims that 75 percent of rural Americans in areas have broadband Internet speeds, compared to the 10 percent who had electricity in 1935. Eighty percent of rural Americans have access to at least minimum Internet speeds.⁴¹⁷ The scope of the effort will not fully be revealed until Federal mapping efforts improve and definition of broadband include other factors like reliability of service. The REA was not a program that existed in a vacuum, but during the context of the “New Deal Era” when the US federal government undertook massive public works projects to transform American life. Unfamiliar with such involvement, many Americans might interpret a modern program on this scale as overreach.

⁴¹⁴ Derrill Holly, “By the People for the People,” *National Rural Electric Cooperative Association*, last modified June 30, 2017, <https://www.cooperative.com/remagazine/articles/Pages/By-the-People-For-the-People.aspx>. *Ibid*.

⁴¹⁵ “Rural Electrification Administration 1934-1941,” *Historic Events for Students: The Great Depression*, *Encyclopedia.com*, accessed July 10, 2020, <https://www.encyclopedia.com/education/news-and-education-magazines/rural-electrification-administration-1934-1941>.

⁴¹⁶ Noah Kaitin, “How it worked when it worked: Electrifying Rural America.,” Senior Thesis, (Cornell University), <https://ecommons.cornell.edu/bitstream/handle/1813/64534/How%20It%20Worked%20When%20It%20Worked-%20Electrifying%20Rural%20America%20.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y>, 26.

⁴¹⁷ Federal Communication Commission, *Eighth Broadband Progress Report*, (Washington, DC: August 2012) <https://www.fcc.gov/reports-research/reports/broadband-progress-reports/eighth-broadband-progress-report>.

While at first the REA was initially content merely to loan money to incentivize private providers, the measures were entirely inadequate to entice corporate action and were more effective at funding co-ops who did not need to generate a profit. Today's grant-based approach appears to have worked better on private Internet providers who have been induced to expand their coverage. Pennsylvania continuing to receive grants will likely be contingent on raising enough state and local funds for the feds to "match". There will reach a point where some pockets of expansion will be too much effort to undertake by private Internet providers regardless of what they are offered. In 2016 Verizon's turned down an annual amount of \$23 million from the FCC to expand rural broadband access in Pennsylvania.⁴¹⁸ The FCC offering CAF 2 funds to co-ops was an encouraging step in embracing alternative forms of Internet distributors.

The Federal government representatives from the REA had to get involved in the projects they inspired by providing engineers to offer technical support and guidance to the co-op. If the FCC is ever made to spur the development of broadband co-ops it may need to offer similar support to co-ops. The effort to electrify America succeeded based on the efforts of both a grassroots movement among rural citizens and a tireless public relations campaign from the Federal government to advertise its successes. The REA produced Public Service Announcements that by modern eyes looks more like propaganda. Massive amount of effort was spent educating and selling the idea of electricity not only to rural citizen but to Americans at large. Since ten percent of the people who have access to Internet don't subscribe to it America still has some work to go to increase adoption.⁴¹⁹

⁴¹⁸ Kim Lyons, "Pennsylvania's Struggling Rural Broadband is About to Lose Out on \$140 Million," *Technical.ly Philly*, last modified November 13, 2017, <https://technical.ly/philly/2017/11/13/pennsylvania-struggling-provide-rural-broadband/>.

⁴¹⁹ *All Connect*, "Who's Not Using the Internet? Ask the Nearly 33 Million Americans Who Aren't," blog entry by Samantha Cossick, last modified June 19, 2019, <https://www.allconnect.com/blog/33-million-americans-dont-use-internet>.

POTENTIAL SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR BROADBAND EXPANSION

The debate over how to fund broadband expansion remains at the heart of obtaining 100 percent deployment of broadband across the Commonwealth. Current funding options and proposed alternatives can be generally broken down into three categories:

- “Traditional” federal and state grant and loan programs.
- Tax incentives and bonds.
- Support from other policy priorities.

Whatever form financial incentives to broadband development takes, a few guiding principles should be recognized:

- Funding is directed to unserved and underserved areas.
- “Last mile” projects get the most funding.
- Projects are required to obtain matching funding.⁴²⁰

Current Federal and Pennsylvania Grant and Loan Programs

The federal government and a number of states provide dedicated funding streams to assist in deploying broadband Internet services. Some states have established separate funds, which others use general appropriations. Special funds are financed through a variety of means. As of August 2019, ten states have universal service funds to support their broadband projects.

Connect America Fund (High Cost)

The Connect America Fund Phase II (CAF Phase II) is supported by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) and provides subsidies for local telephone companies to expand broadband access in underserved areas. Companies that accept funding have to meet certain thresholds, including speeds of 10/1 Mbps, latency of under 100 milliseconds, “at least one plan that with a minimum usage allowance of at least 150

⁴²⁰ “How States Support Broadband Projects,” A Brief from the Pew Charitable Trusts, (August 2019), 3.

gigabytes per month,” and pricing that competes reasonably with urban pricing.⁴²¹ These companies have six years to provide the expected broadband coverage.⁴²² Eligibility for CAF Phase II funding is limited to locations where the monthly cost-per-location exceeds \$52.50 but is under \$198.60, and areas that are not already being subsidized by another government program.⁴²³ In Pennsylvania, areas that are covered by CAF Phase II funding include swaths of Juniata, Perry, Fulton, Bedford, Elk, Cameron, Armstrong, and Green, with smaller sporadic sections of other counties also receiving funding.⁴²⁴ CAF Phase II has an overall budget of \$198 million annually.⁴²⁵

The CAF Phase II (CAF II) Auction makes funding available to carriers in areas where the incumbent price cap carrier—larger companies like AT&T and Verizon—did not accept CAF support because of the obligations it entails.⁴²⁶ In this reverse auction system, companies that request the least federal funding receive support. Overall, in 2018 the FCC committed to distribute \$1.49 billion over the next ten years to over 100 bidders in 45 states.⁴²⁷ Pennsylvania’s Tri-Co Connections received \$32.3 million to support their deployment of gigabit service in rural Pennsylvania.⁴²⁸ Funding in the amount of \$2.6 million was received by Armstrong Telecommunications to reach almost 2,000 households in northwestern parts of Pennsylvania, including Crawford, Erie, and Mercer Counties. Subsequently, the PUC approved Armstrong’s petition to be designated as Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC). The ETC designation is required under federal law before a company can receive federal universal service fund support to build voice and broadband networks and provide related services in high-cost areas of Pennsylvania. Tri-Co has also received ETC designation. Velocity.net (VNET Fiber) is also an ETC

⁴²¹ “Connect America Fund Phase II FAQs,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed November 25, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/connect-america-fund-phase-ii-faqs>.

⁴²² *Ibid.*

⁴²³ “Connect America Fund Phase II Accepted Areas Map,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed November 25, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/reports-research/maps/caf-2-accepted-map/>.

⁴²⁴ *Ibid.*

⁴²⁵ “Connect America Fund Phase II Auction (Auction 903),” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed November 25, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/auction/903#budget>.

⁴²⁶ For Verizon, this decision not to apply means that the company declined \$23 million in potential broadband funding per year for six years. In April 2017, the PUC and DCED filed a joint petition to the FCC to in an effort to retain this funding for Pennsylvania. The FCC denied the petition. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, “Despite Recent FCC Action, PUC Remains Hopeful That Rural Broadband Funding Can be Preserved; Encourages Continued Discussions,” Press Release, (February 2, 2018), http://www.puc.pa.gov/about_puc/press_releases.aspx?ShowPR=3966#:~:text=The%20FCC%20has%20denied%20a%20joint%20petition%20filed,state%20has%20helped%20elevate%20awareness%20of%20this%20issue.

⁴²⁷ “CAF Phase II Auction,” *Universal Service Administrative Companies*, accessed December 6, 2019, <https://www.usac.org/high-cost/funds/caf-phase-ii-auction/>.

⁴²⁸ “FCC Authorizes \$121 Million in Funding for Rural Broadband,” *Broadband Communities*, last modified August 12, 2019, <https://www.bbcmag.com/breaking-news/fcc-authorizes-121-million-in-funding-for-rural-broadband>.

operating in Erie County.⁴²⁹ Viasat Satellite service received CAF-II funding as an ETC of \$8.9 million.⁴³⁰

CAF Broadband Loop Support (CAF-BLS) “helps carriers recover the difference between loop costs associated with providing voice and/or broadband service and consumer loop revenues.”⁴³¹ The 2018 budget set by the FCC is \$1.42 billion and the monthly cap of funding is being slowly decreased over time, with the cap being \$225 in 2019. If they receive the support, companies must put a certain percentage of the funds toward deploying 25/3 Mbps speeds based on their current percentage of 25/3 Mbps deployment.⁴³²

USAC also provides funding through Connect America Fund’s Alternative Connect America Cost Model II (A-CAM II). This program gives carriers a fixed amount of support of up to \$200 per fully funded location monthly over a period of ten years to expand broadband coverage in areas with speeds of under 25/3 Mbps.⁴³³ In February of 2019, the FCC made \$67 million available for carriers to accept. In April it was announced that carriers accepted \$65.7 million.⁴³⁴ Taking advantage of this new opportunity, Pennsylvania carriers expanded their commitment to 25/3 Mbps speeds by 14.8 percent, their obligation raising from 9,863 households or businesses to 11,325. These carriers are obligated to deliver 25/3 Mbps speed to 40 percent of locations by 2022 and then continue to expand by 10 percent each year. The projects will reach completion in 2028.⁴³⁵

U.S. Department of Agriculture Grants

The Rural eConnectivity Pilot Program (ReConnect Program) is a loan and grant pilot program which seeks to expand broadband access in areas with lower than 10/1 Mbps speeds. Applicants can apply for three types of funding: 100 percent grant, 50/50 loan and grant, or 100 percent loan. For a 100 percent loan or a 50/50 loan and grant, the service area must be rural with 90 percent of households lacking 10/1 Mbps broadband. For a 100

⁴²⁹ Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, “PUC Approves ETC Designation for Armstrong Telecommunications, Inc.; Enables Federal Funds to Expand Broadband Deployment in Northwest PA,” Press Release, (February 6, 2020), <https://www.pressreleasepoint.com/puc-approves-etc-designation-armstrong-telecommunications-inc-enables-federal-funds-expand-broadband>.

⁴³⁰ Connect America Fund Phase II Auction 903, “Ready to Authorize Long-Form Applicants and Bids, Appendix A, date of report June 4, 2020, <https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/060439416608/DA-20-585A2.pdf>; Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, “PUC Approves ETC Designation for Viasat Carrier Services, Inc.; Enables Federal Funding to Expand Voice, Broadband Services in PA,” Press Release, (April 30, 2020), http://www.puc.pa.gov/about_puc/press_releases.aspx?ShowPR=4354.

⁴³¹ “CAF Broadband Loop Support,” *Universal Service Administrative Company*, accessed December 6, 2019, <https://www.usac.org/high-cost/funds/caf-broadband-loop-support/>.

⁴³² *Ibid.*

⁴³³ “Rate-of-Return Reform,” *Universal Service Administrative Company*, accessed December 6, 2019, <https://www.usac.org/high-cost/resources/rules-orders/rate-of-return-reform-order/>.

⁴³⁴ Joan Engebretson, “Rural Carriers Accept \$65.7 Million in New ACAM Funding for Rural Broadband,” *Telecompetitor*, last modified April 29, 2019, <https://www.telecompetitor.com/rural-carriers-accept-65-7-million-in-new-acam-funding-for-rural-broadband/>.

⁴³⁵ Federal Communications Commission, “Over 106,000 Rural Homes and Businesses to Get Better, Faster Broadband,” News Release, (April 29, 2019), accessed December 6, 2019, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-357211A1.pdf>.

percent grant, the rural area has to have no adequate broadband coverage at all. Companies who use the funding are required to supply 25/3 Mbps speeds to consumers.⁴³⁶ The ReConnect Program made \$200 million total available in grant funding, where applicants can request up to \$25 million. For 50/50 loan and grant, an additional \$200 million is available and applicants can request \$25 million of each. Finally, there is an additional \$200 million available in loans with a cap of \$50 million for each application.⁴³⁷

The USDA also started the Community Connect Grants program which aids in deployment of broadband into areas where private expansion is not economically feasible. States and local governments, federally recognized Tribes, non-profit, and for-profit corporations can apply for grants to finance broadband construction and costs of service to a disadvantaged community.⁴³⁸ Non-federal financing must match at least 15 percent of the cost. In FY 2018, the program had \$30 million available.⁴³⁹ Another source of USDA funding is the Rural Broadband Access Loan and Loan Guarantee Program. This program provides funding for broadband deployment and infrastructure for state and local governments, tribal entities, ISPs, non-profit organizations, small businesses, and electric utilities and co-ops. For FY 2019, this program had \$29,851,000 available.⁴⁴⁰ Additionally, the Telecom Infrastructure Loan Program provides support for this same group of eligible applicants to “finance broadband capable telecommunications service.”⁴⁴¹ This program has a FY 2019 budget of \$690 million.⁴⁴²

Another USDA funding source is the Business and Industry Loan Guarantee. This program depends on lenders that are federal or state-chartered banks, saving and loans associations, farm credit banks, or credit unions. Eligible borrowers are for-profit businesses, nonprofits, cooperatives, federally recognized Tribes, and public bodies.⁴⁴³ The funds received by borrowers must be used in cities of less than 50,000, invested into projects within the United States and must go toward providing more job opportunities for rural Americans. These funds could be utilized to expand broadband if it is used to develop

⁴³⁶ “Program Overview,” *U.S. Department of Agriculture*, accessed November 25, 2019, <https://www.usda.gov/reconnect/program-overview>.

⁴³⁷ *Ibid.*

⁴³⁸ “Community Connect Grants,” *USDA Rural Development*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/community-connect-grants>.

⁴³⁹ “USDA - Rural Utilities Service - Community Connect Grant Program,” *Broadband USA*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/funding-program-details-funding-guide/usda-rural-utilities-service-community-connect-grant-program>.

⁴⁴⁰ “USDA - Rural Utilities Service - Rural Broadband Access Loan and Loan Guarantee Program (Broadband Program),” *Broadband USA*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/funding-program-details-funding-guide/usda-rural-utilities-service-rural-broadband-access-loan-and>.

⁴⁴¹ “Telecommunications Infrastructure Loans & Loan Guarantees,” *USDA Rural Development*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/telecommunications-infrastructure-loans-loan-guarantees>.

⁴⁴² “USDA - Rural Utilities Service - Telecom Infrastructure Loan Program,” *Broadband USA*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/funding-program-details-funding-guide/usda-rural-utilities-service-telecom-infrastructure-loan-0>.

⁴⁴³ “Business & Industry Loan Guarantees,” *USDA Rural Development*, accessed July 6, 2020, <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/business-industry-loan-guarantees>.

rural business. 80 percent of loans will be covered by a loan guarantee for \$5 million and under, 70 percent for between \$5 and \$10 million, and 60 percent for \$10 to \$25 million.⁴⁴⁴

The Rural Economic Development Loan & Grant Program provides loans with no interest to utility companies that lend the money to local businesses for projects that will encourage economic development. These funds are used to establish Revolving Loan Funds (RLF), and once these funding sources are terminated the businesses pay the loans back to the utility companies, which then in turn pay them back to the USDA. Eligible entities include “current Rural Development Electric or Telecommunications Programs borrowers” or nonprofit utilities eligible for that program, or a Rural Utilities service with previous experience with guaranteed loans.⁴⁴⁵ The areas served by these loans must have populations of less than 50,000. Grants have a cap of \$300,000 to establish the RLFs and then entities can receive loans of up to \$1 million.⁴⁴⁶

The Community Facilities Direct Loan & Grant Program and Guaranteed Loan Program can be utilized in developing distance learning and telemedicine. Rural areas with populations of less than 20,000 are eligible for the programs, and the borrowers must be public bodies, community based non-profit corporations, or federally recognized Tribes. In the Guaranteed Loan Program, 90 percent of the loan can be guaranteed by the USDA.⁴⁴⁷ The Distance Learning and Telemedicine Grant makes funding available to most state and local government entities, federally recognized Tribes, nonprofits, for-profit businesses, and consortia of eligible entities, given the funding is used to develop infrastructure to connect rural communities to the world through distance learning and telemedicine. The funding can be used to establish broadband connections, as well as to purchase the technology and technological assistance that a broadband connection necessitates. Grants must be matched at fifteen percent.⁴⁴⁸

Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC)

Partnerships for Opportunity and Workforce and Economic Revitalization (POWER) Awards are federally funded through a congressional initiative that supports innovations in communities impacted by the economic decline in the coal industry in the Appalachian region.⁴⁴⁹ In 2019, areas in Pennsylvania have received a total of over \$4.2 million in grants to improve broadband coverage, ranging from amounts of \$40,000 in Lewisburg to \$2.5 million in Tioga.⁴⁵⁰ Other areas that have received aid include Oil City,

⁴⁴⁴ *Ibid.*

⁴⁴⁵ “Rural Economic Development Loan & Grant Program,” *USDA Rural Development*, accessed July 6, 2020, <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/rural-economic-development-loan-grant-program/pa>.

⁴⁴⁶ *Ibid.*

⁴⁴⁷ “Community Facilities Direct Loan & Grant Program,” *USDA Rural Development*, <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/community-facilities-direct-loan-grant-program>.

⁴⁴⁸ “Distance Learning & Telemedicine Grants,” *USDA Rural Development*, accessed July 6, 2020, <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/distance-learning-telemedicine-grants>.

⁴⁴⁹ “POWER Initiative,” *Appalachian Regional Commission*, accessed November 26, 2019, <https://www.arc.gov/funding/POWER.asp>.

⁴⁵⁰ “POWER Award Summaries by State as of April 2019,” *Appalachian Regional Commission*, accessed November 26, 2019,

Erie, Waynesburg, Altoona, and Youngsville.⁴⁵¹ The funding covers projects like feasibility studies, infrastructure, and deployment.⁴⁵² Additionally, ARC has a Distressed Counties Program which can contribute up to 80 percent of the costs of broadband deployment projects in severely economically distressed counties.⁴⁵³ In FY 2020, Forest County, Pennsylvania, qualifies for aid from this program.⁴⁵⁴

FirstNet Initiative

First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) is an authority created in 2012 with the goal of building and improving a nationwide broadband network for emergency response systems to operate on.⁴⁵⁵ In March of 2017, FirstNet entered an agreement with AT&T in a public-private partnership that would invest in the improvement of emergency response communication systems. The agreement will last for 25 years and FirstNet contributes 20 MHz of spectrum and “success-based payments” of \$6.5 billion. The original funding for FirstNet came from FCC auctions.⁴⁵⁶ AT&T committed to contribute \$40 billion over the course of the 25-year contract to building and deploying and maintaining a dependable broadband network for emergency services. AT&T also gave FirstNet access to its network assets.⁴⁵⁷ Through this partnership, FirstNet has constructed a network made solely to connect first responders. AT&T also amassed a collection of deployables—a portable way to establish a network of Wi-Fi connections for public safety—that are positioned across the country so they can be easily accessed in an emergency. The initiative also invests in innovation and provides developers with the resources necessarily for technological advancement.⁴⁵⁸

<https://www.arc.gov/images/grantsandfunding/POWER2019/ARCPowerAwardSummariesbyStateApril2019.pdf>; and “Appalachian Regional Commission POWER Awards, October 2019,” accessed November 26, 2019, <https://www.arc.gov/images/grantsandfunding/POWER2019/POWERAwardsOctober2019.pdf>.

⁴⁵¹ “Appalachian Regional Commission POWER Awards, February 2019,” accessed November 26, 2019, <https://www.arc.gov/images/grantsandfunding/POWER2019/POWERAwardsFebruary2019.pdf>.

⁴⁵² “POWER Award Summaries by State as of April 2019,” *Appalachian Regional Commission*; “Appalachian Regional Commission POWER Awards, October 2019,” *Appalachian Regional Commission*; “Appalachian Regional Commission POWER Awards, February 2019,” *Appalachian Regional Commission*.

⁴⁵³ “ARC – Distressed Counties,” *Broadband USA*, accessed December 3, 2019,

<https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/funding-program-details-funding-guide/arc-distressed-counties>.

⁴⁵⁴ “ARC-Designated Distressed Counties, Fiscal Year 2020,” *Appalachian Regional Commission*, accessed December 3, 2019,

https://www.arc.gov/program_areas/ARCDesignatedDistressedCountiesFiscalYear2020.asp.

⁴⁵⁵ “FirstNet Partners with AT&T to Build \$46.5 Billion Wireless Broadband Network for America’s First Responders,” *FirstNet*, last modified March 30, 2017, <https://2014-2018.firstnet.gov/news/firstnet-partners-att-build-wireless-broadband-network-americas-first-responders>.

⁴⁵⁶ *Ibid.*

⁴⁵⁷ *Ibid.*

⁴⁵⁸ Charles Murph, “With FirstNet, a New Era of Situational Awareness,” *FirstNet*, last modified January 24, 2019, <https://www.firstnet.gov/newsroom/blog/firstnet-new-era-situational-awareness>.

Broadband Investment Incentive Program

The Broadband Investment Incentive Program is a partnership established between the PA Office of Broadband Initiatives and PennDOT that consists of \$35 million of funding to be used for improving transportation infrastructure and technology. Companies that use the funding will partner with PennDOT and allow the use of their network facilities and services. In this way the program allows for growth for all participants. Eligible companies bid on support from the CAF II Auction service areas, but pledge to reach speeds of 100/20 Mbps by June 2022 as opposed to the FCC's 25/3 Mbps requirements. The companies given funding in 2018 were Tri-Co Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc. (\$15,651,726), Armstrong Telephone Company (\$1,289,291), and Velocity.Net (\$112,198), and they served parts of Potter, Tioga, Lycoming, Bradford, Erie, Crawford, and Mercer Counties.⁴⁵⁹

Healthcare Connect Fund

The Healthcare Connect Fund (HCCF) was created in 2012 by the Federal Communications Commission as a modernization element of the Rural Health Care Program. The HCCF focuses on providing broadband to rural health care providers (HCP) and increase the cost-effectiveness of the FCC's funding.⁴⁶⁰ The fund allows for a "65 percent discount on broadband services, equipment, connections to research and education networks," as well as "HCP-constructed and owned facilities if shown to be the most cost-effective option."⁴⁶¹ The HCP is required to contribute 35 percent of these costs.⁴⁶² The groups eligible for HCCF are "public or not-for-profit hospitals, rural health clinics, community health centers, health centers serving migrants, community mental health centers, local health departments or agencies, post-secondary educational institutions/teaching hospitals/medical schools, or consortia of the above."⁴⁶³ Non-rural HCPs that fit one of the above criteria and are also part of a consortium that has a rural majority can be eligible as well. HCPs of 400 or more beds are also eligible, but with a capped support if the HCP is non-rural. In 2018, the FCC raised the Rural Health Care Program funding cap to \$571 million and allowed it to be annually adjusted with inflation beginning in funding year 2018.⁴⁶⁴

Lifeline

The Lifeline Program is supported by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). The program takes a discount of \$9.25 a month on either broadband or voice services, or a combination of the two. By December 1, 2021, coverage of voice

⁴⁵⁹ "Broadband investment Incentive Program," *Governor Tom Wolf*, accessed December 10, 2019, <https://www.governor.pa.gov/about/broadband/>.

⁴⁶⁰ "Healthcare Connect Fund," *Federal Communications Commission*.

⁴⁶¹ *Ibid.*

⁴⁶² *Ibid.*

⁴⁶³ *Ibid.*

⁴⁶⁴ "Rural Health Care Program," *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/rural-health-care-program>.

support will be completely phased out in an effort to eliminate waste within the program.⁴⁶⁵ Low-income consumers who are either at or below 135 percent of the federal poverty guidelines, or those who participate in federal assistance programs can qualify for Lifeline. Only one person per household can qualify for Lifeline. Low-income consumers on Tribal lands qualify for additional \$25 in Lifeline benefits which amount to a \$34.25 discount each month.⁴⁶⁶ Lifeline is a program under the Universal Service Fund, which is funded by taxes on telecommunications providers.⁴⁶⁷

E-Rate

The E-Rate Program was established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The goal was to provide universal service to schools and in order to accomplish this, the act required telecom providers to contract with schools at a discounted rate. The discount could range from 20 to 90 percent depending on the poverty level of the school, which was determined by the number of students attending a school who receive free school lunches. The program was funded by telecom providers, who instituted a fee for consumers to finance their mandatory contributions. Though the program started with a cap of \$2.25 billion, in 2015 it was raised to \$3.9 billion in the push for modernization of the program.⁴⁶⁸ The update to the program known as the 2014 Modernization Order names one of the goals of the E-Rate program as “ensuring affordable access to high-speed broadband sufficient to support digital learning in schools and robust connectivity for all libraries.”⁴⁶⁹ This order also gave more focus to funding Wi-Fi in schools and phased out funding eligibility for voice and other outdated services designated as legacy services by the order.⁴⁷⁰ In FY2019, voice services have been completely phased out. There are currently two categories of services covered under E-Rate. Category One Services are “data transmissions services and/or Internet access”, and Category Two Services include “internal connections, managed internal broadband services, and basic maintenance of internal connections.”⁴⁷¹ Some services are covered under mixed eligibility, in which a portion of the cost of the service is covered because it falls into a category but other components must be separately financed.⁴⁷²

⁴⁶⁵ “Lifeline Program for Low-Income Consumers,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed December 4, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/lifeline-program-low-income-consumers>.

⁴⁶⁶ “Consumer Guide: Lifeline Support for Affordable Communications,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed December 4, 2019, https://www.fcc.gov/sites/default/files/lifeline_support_for_affordable_communications.pdf.

⁴⁶⁷ “Universal Services,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed December 4, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/universal-service>.

⁴⁶⁸ *Hack Education*, “The History of the Future of E-rate and Affordable Internet Access at Schools,” blog entry by Audrey Watters, March 8, 2017, accessed October 4, 2019, <http://hackeducation.com/2017/03/08/history-of-e-rate>.

⁴⁶⁹ “Summary of the E-Rate Modernization Order,” *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed October 4, 2019, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/summary-e-rate-modernization-order>.

⁴⁷⁰ *Ibid.*

⁴⁷¹ “Eligible Services Overview,” *Universal Service Administrative Company*, accessed October 8, 2019, <https://www.usac.org/sl/applicants/beforeyoubegin/eligible-services/default.aspx>.

⁴⁷² *Ibid.*

Rural Digital Opportunity Fund

On January 30 of 2020, the FCC adopted the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) Report and Order. This funding is a reallocation of previously existing federal funding that was originally dedicated as high-cost are support for ILECs, and redistributes it to competitive bidders in general. The RDOF distributes funds through two phases of a reverse auction system, meaning that providers who can pledge to provide service in return for the least amount of funding will win the bid. Bidding will be evaluated according to four different performance levels the providers commit to. The four tiers of are as follows: Minimum, which is 25/3 Mbps and a monthly usage allowance of 250 GB; Baseline, which is 50/5 Mbps and 250 GB per month; Above-Baseline, which is 100/20 Mbps and 2 TB a month; and Gigabit, which is 1 Gbps/500 Mbps and 2TB a month.⁴⁷³

For Phase I, census blocks must be completely unserved according to the most recent FCC Form 477 at the FCC's minimum speed threshold, 25/3 Mbps. Unlike CAF Phase II funding, which acted as the model for much of RDOF procedure, locations with a monthly cost per-location of between \$40 and \$212.50 and no deployment are eligible for this funding source. The high-cost threshold for Tribal areas is \$30. The second phase will include census blocks that are partially served or those unserved areas that were not covered in the first phase. The fund has a budget of \$20.4 billion for its first decade, with \$16 billion going to Phase I and \$4.4 billion for Phase II.⁴⁷⁴ The auction will open October 22, 2020.⁴⁷⁵

Recipients of this support must provide service at rates that are comparable to urban areas and report the number of anchor institutions who receive new service annually. By the third year of development, the providers must have covered 40 percent of the locations they committed to cover and an additional 20 percent each year following. Participating providers must fulfill reporting requirements that mirror the CAF Phase II requirements.⁴⁷⁶

U.S. Rural Infrastructure Opportunity Fund

The U.S. Rural Infrastructure Opportunity Fund is a public-private partnership between CoBank, Capitol Peak Asset Management, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The fund is to be used to complement existing federal funding mechanisms and support rural infrastructure development. CoBank is the anchor investor and made \$10 billion of balance sheet capacity available.⁴⁷⁷ The fund can invest in: "recruiting new sources of private capital to support rural infrastructure projects, serving as a co-lender for borrowers financing projects where the government's program limits or resource

⁴⁷³ Federal Communications Commission, *Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Report and Order*, accessed April 29, 2020, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-20-5A1.pdf>.

⁴⁷⁴ *Ibid.*

⁴⁷⁵ "Auction 904: Rural Digital Opportunity Fund," *Federal Communications Commission*, accessed April 29, 2020, <https://www.fcc.gov/auction/904>.

⁴⁷⁶ "What is the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund," *Benton Institute for Broadband and Society*, last modified February 14, 2020, <https://www.benton.org/blog/what-rural-digital-opportunity-fund>.

⁴⁷⁷ "The U.S. Rural Infrastructure Opportunity Fund," *CoBank*, accessed December 10, 2019, <https://www.cobank.com/corporate/services/us-rural-infrastructure-opportunity-fund>.

constraints warrant the fund's involvement, and private lending in support of projects capable of meeting market terms."⁴⁷⁸

Community Grant Program

The Foundation for Rural Service created the Community Grant Program is meant "to support local efforts to build and sustain a high quality of life in rural America."⁴⁷⁹ The program offers grants for business and economic development, community development, education, and telecommunications applications. The telecommunications applications require those requesting grants to show how they would implement and promote broadband use for "telehealth, education, government services, safety and security, and efficient energy distribution and use."⁴⁸⁰ The grants can range from \$250 to \$5,000 for each grant request.⁴⁸¹

U.S. Economic Development Administration

The FY 2020 EDA Public Works and Economic Adjustment Assistance Programs including CARES Act Funding provides cooperative grant agreements for entities looking to invest in the economic development and growth in a region. Activities that can be covered include "construction, non-construction, planning, technical assistance, and revolving loan fund projects under EDA's Public Works program and EAA program." Award amounts can range from \$100,000 to \$30 million. Local government entities, private and public institutions of higher education, and nonprofits are eligible for this funding.

Additionally, the EDA has made \$587 million available to areas who received a major disaster declaration in 2018 or suffered tornadoes or flooding in 2019. In Pennsylvania, Tioga, Bradford, Susquehanna, Lycoming, Sullivan, Wyoming, Lackawanna, Montour, Columbia, Schuylkill, and Northampton counties qualify for this funding due to flooding in August of 2018. The Philadelphia regional EDA office was given \$50 million to distribute in that region. These funds can be used to promote economic development and disaster recovery. Projects can include planning and construction of public works resources.

⁴⁷⁸ CoBank, "CoBank Joins USDA in New Public-Private Partnership Focused on Rural Infrastructure Investment," News Release, (July 24, 2014), accessed December 10, 2019, <https://www.cobank.com/-/media/files/news/2014/cobank-usda-investment-fund.pdf?la=en&hash=3B193CD190E897A1D29A2499D6605DD37809F8CB>.

⁴⁷⁹ "2019 Community Grants Program," *Foundation for Rural Service*, accessed December 9, 2019, https://www.ntca.org/sites/default/files/documents/2019-04/2019%20FRS%20Grant%20Flyer_FINAL_0.pdf.

⁴⁸⁰ *Ibid.*

⁴⁸¹ *Ibid.*

U.S. Department of Education

The Department of Education offers many grant programs to schools serving minority or disadvantaged communities. Only schools that meet eligibility standards are able to utilize these funds, which are not designated as broadband funding, but could be used as such if it supports “Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Education Agencies,” “Supporting Effective Instruction,” “Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students,” or “Student Support and Academic Enrichment.” These objectives are the descriptions of Title I, Part A; Title II, Part A; Title III; and Title IV, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965. Additional programs offered by the Department of Education include the Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions, the Native American-Serving Nontribal Institutions Program, the Rural and Low-Income School Program, and the Small, Rural School Achievement Program. With the specific parameters for eligibility, many schools in Pennsylvania would not qualify for the aid from these programs, but they may be utilized by those that do for broadband expansion as it relates to education.

Another Department of Education program is Impact Aid, which provides funding to schools that suffer a loss of tax revenue due to the federal ownership of land within the district. The program has expanded over the years to include schools “with concentrations of children who reside on Indian lands, military bases, low-rent housing properties, and other Federal properties, or have parents in the uniformed services or employed on eligible Federal properties.” Once awarded, the funding is considered general aid, which allows the schools to use the money for any purpose as approved by local stakeholders.

Department of Housing and Urban Development.

The Public Housing Neighborhood Networks (NN) Program is a funding program that allows Public Housing Authorities to build and maintain technology centers within a community to facilitate “long-term economic self-sufficiency.” There are two aspects of funding: the Capital Fund and the Operating Fund. The Capital Fund may cover “the establishment and initial operation of a Neighborhood Networks computer center,” and the Operating Fund is used for “the ongoing costs of operating computer centers in public housing.” Additionally, the Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program is a Community Development Block Grant Program that allows recipients to either invest funding immediately into developing infrastructure and public housing or set aside funds to loan to future projects. State, metropolitan cities, urban counties, and eligible non-entitlement communities are eligible to apply for these loans.

National Science Foundation

The National Science Foundation (NSF) provides funding to research in the fields of science and engineering, which includes improving and expanding broadband infrastructure. There are two programs financed by the NSF that could be used to fund broadband innovation in Pennsylvania: Campus Cyberinfrastructure and Smart and Connected Communities. The Campus Cyberinfrastructure Program “invests in

coordinated campus-level networking and cyberinfrastructure improvements, innovation, and engineering for science applications and distributed research projects.” Broadband infrastructure is one of the aspects that can be funded under this program. Only Institutions of Higher Education and nonprofits are eligible to apply for funding. The available funding for FY 2020 is estimated to be around \$17 million. The Smart and Connected Communities program specifically encourages investment in smart communities and the integration of technology and social causes. Recipients can be: “Libraries, K-12 Schools, Higher Education Institutions, Hospitals, Public Safety Entities, State and Local Governments, Tribal Entities, Commercial/Internet Service Providers, Non-Profit Organizations, Small Businesses, Rural Recipients, Electric Utilities/Co-ops, [and] Financial Institutions.” In FY 2020, \$43 million is expected to be made available for grants.

Tax Incentives and Bonds

Tax credits and or deductions are used in a number of states to encourage broadband deployment. In Pennsylvania, amendments to the Tax Reform Code of 1971, enacted via Act 52 of 2013, created the Pennsylvania mobile telecommunications broadband investment tax credit. The tax credit consists of five percent of the purchase price of qualifying equipment. An Independent Fiscal Office evaluation of program performance reported that it could not “locate data or research to support or refute that an MTBI credit equal to only 5 percent of the equipment purchased (an estimated 3-4 percent of the total project costs) has a significant impact on new investment in Pennsylvania broadband infrastructure.”⁴⁸² The IFO recommended that converting the credit to a competitive grant program targeting unserved and underserved areas would have a greater economic impact. If retained as a tax credit, the IFO recommended that the program should be amended to focus on unserved and underserved areas, and minimum speed requirements should be incorporated. Additionally, the IFO recommended tax credit recipients should be subject to reporting requirements.⁴⁸³

Local government bonds have been authorized in some states to assist in deployment via publicly owned broadband networks. Iowa can issue bonds to fund publicly owned broadband infrastructure networks, and New Hampshire authorizes local governments to issue bonds to fund municipal broadband networks.

The remaining sections of this chapter discuss potential sources of funding for broadband deployment found in JSGC staff research. These sources are not necessarily endorsed by the JSGC or the Advisory Committee. To the extent any of these sources is recommended, they are identified in the “Recommendations” chapter at the beginning of this report.

⁴⁸² *Pennsylvania Mobile Telecommunications Broadband Investment Tax Credit*, (Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Independent Fiscal Office, January 2020), 1.

⁴⁸³ *Ibid.*

Support from Other State Policy Priorities

Many states have allowed funding streams for policy areas related to broadband to be used for broadband, including economic development, housing, transportation, healthcare, and agriculture. Pennsylvania has a number of grant and loan programs, many housed in the Department of Community and Economic Development that could potentially be tapped to help fund broadband deployment.

Business in Our Sites Grants/Loans (BOS)

This program helps communities attract business by helping build an inventory of ready sites. This includes site development, infrastructure, and land and building.

Economic Development Councils

County or regional economic development councils can receive revolving loans from the U.S. Economic Development Administration under the U.S. Department of Commerce. Funds from these activities have been used for broadband expansion in Pennsylvania and are noted under the “Pennsylvania State and Local Initiatives” chapter, *infra*.

First Industries Agriculture and Tourism Guarantee Program

The program, administered by the Commonwealth Financing Authority, offers guarantees for bank loans for projects related to agriculture and tourism within Pennsylvania.

Global Access Program (GAP)

This program encourages innovation use of funds to meet specific international marketing needs of the applicant, which can include website internationalization.

Keystone Communities Program (KCP)

This program encourages public and private partnerships to jointly support local initiatives such as growth and stability of neighborhoods and communities, social and economic diversity, and a strong and secure quality of life.

Local Share Accounts

Local share accounts are funded under the Gaming Act. They exist in Fayette, Luzerne, Monroe, Montgomery, Northampton and Lehigh (joint), Philadelphia, and Washington Counties. Funds can be used for economic development projects, job training, community improvement projects, and public interest projects.

Marketing to Attract Tourists

The primary purpose of this program is to promote overnight stays in Pennsylvania and is directed at international tourism, sports marketing, outdoor recreation and cultural attractions.

Municipal Assistance Program (MAP)

MAP funds can be used for many activities, but of particular interest from a broadband deployment perspective are shared service activities, consolidating or regionalizing services among multiple counties and municipalities, and new or expanded intergovernmental initiatives that promote local government efficiencies and effectiveness.

Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority – Tax Exempt and Taxable Bond Programs

These funds can be used to finance land and building acquisition, building renovation and new construction, machinery and equipment acquisition and installation, designed infrastructure, refinancing, and working capital. The tax-exempt program is limited to manufacturing, nonprofit 501(c)(3) entities, solid waste disposal and wastewater treatment facilities.

Pennsylvania First Program (PA FIRST)

This program is designed to facilitate increased investment and jobs creation within the Commonwealth, and can include machinery and equipment, job training, infrastructure, land and building improvements, environmental assessments and remediation, acquisition of land.

Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority (PIDA)

These funds can be used for land and building acquisition, construction and renovation costs, machinery and equipment purchases, working capital and accounts receivable lines of credit, multi-tenant facility projects and industrial park projects.

Potential Other Sources of Funding for Broadband Expansion

Other potential sources of funding have been identified by individual members of the Advisory Committee, and while they engendered much debate, no consensus could be reached on the advisability of any particular funding avenue. These proposals have strong supporters and equally strong opponents. Accordingly, these proposals are included simply to acknowledge that the Advisory Committee was aware of and discussed them.

- Establish a dedicated Broadband Fund that could be partially funded from the leasing of suitable state-owned facilities by broadband Internet service providers.
- Provide “seed money” from a dedicated Broadband fund to enable local communities to develop “bootstrap” operations such as the Rural Broadband Cooperative in Huntingdon County.
- Impose a broadband tax on ISPs to be allocated for new infrastructure development.
- Impose a monthly service charge on every cell phone in use in Pennsylvania to provide partial reimbursement to ISPs which have invested in “last mile” development.
- Adopt a state universal service fund
- Impose a natural gas severance tax to benefit broadband expansion as well as other statewide infrastructure goals.
- Allot Marcellus Shale impact fees to fund broadband expansion.

Right of way fees, civil penalties, toll road revenue, and legal settlements have all been used in other states to fund broadband initiatives.

E-Rate Broadband Construction Funding

An opportunity also exists to access more federal e-Rate funding for schools and libraries. Under FCC rules issued in 2015, if a state establishes a fund that covers special construction charges (one-time build-out costs) to bring fiber to schools and libraries that need it, the E-rate Program will increase an applicant's discount rate for these charges up to an additional 10% to match the state funding on a one-to-one dollar basis. To date, 24 state have established such matching grant programs.

For example, consider a school district with a 70% E-rate discount that competitively bids a project to bring fiber to their school. The low bid has a one-time special construction charge of \$100,000 for the project. Without a state matching program, the district would have to pay 30% (\$30,000) and the E-rate program pays the other 70% (\$70,000).

Under the FCC’s matching initiative, if Pennsylvania had a fund that paid 10% of the special construction charges, the FCC would then increase the school or library’s E-rate discount by another 10%, thus lowering the out of pocket cost to the district by 20%. In the example above, the district’s discount would increase from 70% - 90%, and as a result they would only have to pay \$10,000 instead of \$30,000. Some state E-rate matching funds pay the full amount of the non-discounted amount of the special construction charges (the

amount that the E-rate discounts won't cover.) This would reduce the local school district or library's construction cost to zero but they would still need to cover the ongoing monthly connectivity charges which are still pretty significant.

If Pennsylvania established an E-rate matching fund, it could cover other broadband expenses but, at a minimum, it would need to include specific language noting that one of the eligible purposes of the fund is to cover the costs of bringing fiber/broadband to schools and libraries.⁴⁸⁴

CARES Act Broadband Funding

The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act⁴⁸⁵ was enacted on March 27, 2020 to provide additional funding for businesses, individuals, and state and local governments. This Act allocates funding specifically to broadband funding programs, as well as providing general relief funds that could potentially be used for broadband projects.

CARES Act provides each state with a Coronavirus Relief Fund. The fund has a total of \$150 billion⁴⁸⁶, which is to be distributed among the states by population proportion, with no state receiving less than \$1.25 million. The funds provided through the Coronavirus Relief Fund must cover expenses between March 1, 2020 and December 30, 2020 and must be expenses that were not included in the most recent budget.⁴⁸⁷ The Coronavirus Relief Fund is given to the states to be distributed and must be spent by December 31, 2020.⁴⁸⁸ Pennsylvania received \$2.6 billion in relief funding, which was deposited in the Covid-19 Response Restricted Account in the Pennsylvania Treasury and then appropriated to a variety of agencies and departments.⁴⁸⁹ One agency recipient was the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency, which received \$150 million for its School Safety and Security Fund, which could be used for "purchasing educational technology for distance learning."⁴⁹⁰

The Department of Community and Economic Development received \$625 million of the Coronavirus Relief Fund appropriation for County Block Grants.⁴⁹¹ Broadband is

⁴⁸⁴ Email to JSGC staff from Glenn Miller, Deputy Secretary and Commissioner for Libraries, Office of Commonwealth Libraries, Pennsylvania Department of Education, dated June 7, 2020.

⁴⁸⁵ Pub. L. No. 116-136, (herein after CARES Act).

⁴⁸⁶ CARES Act, Tit. VI (Tit.VII, relating to Office of Sec'y, Pub. Health and Soc. Servs. Emergency Fund).

⁴⁸⁷ CARES Act, Tit. V (Tit.VI, § 601(a)(1)).

⁴⁸⁸ National Association of Towns and Townships (NATaT), Brief, "CARES Act Broadband & Related Provisions," last modified March 31, 2020, <http://www.natat.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/NATaT-Brief-on-CARES-Act-and-Broadband-Provisions.pdf>.

⁴⁸⁹ This appropriation is found in Title V, Division A of the CARES Act, which adds a new Title VI (Coronavirus Relief Funds) to the Social Security Act. These funds were deposited in the Covid-19 Response Restricted Account in the Pennsylvania Treasury, created under Section 110-C of the act of May 29, 2020 (P.L.186, No.24) which amends the Fiscal Code (the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176)).

⁴⁹⁰ Cassie Miller, "School Safety, County Block Grants and Head Start: How Pa. is Spending That \$2.6B in CARES Act Money | The Numbers Racket," *Pennsylvania Capital-Star*, last modified June 8, 2020, <https://www.penncapital-star.com/covid-19/coronavirus-relief-appropriations-part-1-of-2-the-numbers-racket/>.

⁴⁹¹ *Ibid.*

specifically named as one of the possible uses of the County Relief Block Grant Program. Applications for this program were due June 16, 2020.⁴⁹² Any of these funds remaining in Treasury’s Covid-19 Response Restricted Account at the end of 2020 are to be distributed by the Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED) to the counties.⁴⁹³ House Bill 2786 would appropriate \$50 million of this funding to DCED to establish the Underserved and Unserved Broadband Development Grant Program.⁴⁹⁴

Some of the broadband funding in the CARES Act is distributed across existing broadband funding programs. One example of this is the \$25 million added to the Distance Learning, Telemedicine, and Broadband Program (DLT). This extra funding is distributed according to the original requirements of DLT and is available until expended.⁴⁹⁵ The act also provides \$100 million in additional funds to the pilot program ReConnect Loan and Grant Program with certain stipulations. These funds can only be used in regions where 90 percent of households served do not have access to at least 10/1 Mbps speeds. The deadline for the second window of funding was extended from March 15 to April 15, with \$200 million available in each category of Reconnect funds. These funds will remain available until September 30, 2021.⁴⁹⁶ The Institute of Museum and Library Services was also given an additional \$50 million to distribute in the form of grants to states, tribes, and territories to fund technology and access to internet. The typical matching requirements for such grants were waived and the funds were “designated by the Congress as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.”⁴⁹⁷ This funding is also available until September 30, 2021.⁴⁹⁸

Some of the funding for broadband is made available through newly established sources. The Department of Education was appropriated \$30.75 billion, which is stored in a new Education Stabilization Fund. Approximately \$13.5 billion is in an Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund, which, among other uses, can fund educational technology. These funds are available until September 30, 2021.⁴⁹⁹ The Department of Veterans Affairs received \$2.15 billion that will be used to increase telehealth capabilities of the VA, also available until September 30, 2021.⁵⁰⁰ The Act also gives authority to the Secretary of the VA to enter into short-term agreements or contracts with telecommunications companies to provide temporary, complimentary, or subsidized fixed and mobile broadband services to provide expanded mental health services to isolated veterans through telehealth or VA Video Connect.⁵⁰¹ The FCC was appropriated \$200

⁴⁹² PA DCED, “COVID-19 County Relief Block Grant: Program Guidelines,” last modified June 2020, <https://dced.pa.gov/download/covid-19-county-relief-block-grant-guidelines-2020/?wpdmdl=94990>.

⁴⁹³ *Supra*, note 489.

⁴⁹⁴ House Bill 2786, P.N. 4250, introduced and referred to House Consumer Affairs Committee, August 13, 2020.

⁴⁹⁵ CARES Act, Title VI, §6002, Div. B.

⁴⁹⁶ CARES Act, §11004.

⁴⁹⁷ CARES Act, §18008.

⁴⁹⁸ *Ibid.*

⁴⁹⁹ CARES Act, §18003.

⁵⁰⁰ CARES Act, §20004.

⁵⁰¹ *Ibid.*

million for salaries and expenses as they worked to develop solutions that would expedite telehealth expansion. This funding is being used by a “COVID-19 Telehealth Program” that will “help eligible health care providers purchase telecommunications, broadband connectivity, and devices necessary for providing telehealth services.”⁵⁰²

The CARES Act also encourages the expansion of telehealth, either through funding or new expanded definitions. The Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) received \$180 million for rural health and telehealth services.⁵⁰³ Fifteen million must be used for tribes or tribal organizations. These funds will be available until September 30, 2022.⁵⁰⁴ The Act also expands the definition of telehealth to allow audio-only telehealth to be used by providers, and allows the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to waive statutory requirements of Medicare telehealth. Along with requiring the Secretary to find ways to encourage the use of telehealth, it “allows Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics to serve as a distant site for telehealth during the COVID-19 emergency period.”⁵⁰⁵

A number of other states have decided to use funds received under the CARES Act, for broadband expansion. For example, Alabama has allocated \$100 million in CARES funding to a new public-private partnership named the Alabama Broadband Connectivity (ABC) for Students. It will provide vouchers for families of students currently eligible for free and reduced-price school meals. The vouchers will help cover equipment and service costs for high-speed internet service from the fall through December 31. Providers will contract with the state to provide the service using existing lines and technologies.⁵⁰⁶ Vermont has produced an Emergency Broadband Action Plan that would use some of its \$1.25 billion CARES Act allocation to provide universal broadband service.⁵⁰⁷

Examples of other states’ efforts and initiatives to fund broadband expansion are found in the chapter of this report entitled “Methods Employed in Other States.”

⁵⁰² CARES Act, Tit. VI, Div. B (Tit. V).

⁵⁰³ CARES Act, Tit. VI (Tit.VII, relating to Office of Secretary of Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund).

⁵⁰⁴ *Ibid.*

⁵⁰⁵ NATaT, “CARES Act.” Additional funding for FQHCs and RHCs to support telehealth networks and network resource centers grant programs under the Public Health Services Act in the amount of \$29 million for each fiscal year 2021 through 2025 were also added by Sections 3212 and 3213 of the CARES Act (42 USC § 254c-14)

⁵⁰⁶ “Ivey Allocates \$100 Million for Broadband Connectivity for Students,” *The Outlook*, last modified July 31, 2020, https://www.alexcityoutlook.com/news/ivey-allocates-100-million-for-broadband-connectivity-for-students/article_c96497e0-d353-11ea-9cb3-437cf11137a8.html.

⁵⁰⁷ April Simpson, “Under Social Distancing, Rural Regions Push for More Broadband,” *Stateline*, last modified May 14, 2020, <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2020/05/14/under-social-distancing-rural-regions-push-for-more-broadband>.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE AND LOCAL INITIATIVES

While Pennsylvania ranks 34th in broadband access today among the states, this is not because Pennsylvania citizens were uninterested in the Internet. Pennsylvanians have been working hard over the last 20 years in an attempt to bring the Internet into their communities despite the geographic barriers and low population density that make portions of the state unlikely to be developed by private telecom companies in the near future.⁵⁰⁸

Community Efforts

In the early 2000s, the Borough of Kutztown installed fiber optic cable to create a telecommunication network for its utility systems. Kutztown intended to partner with an ISP to expand this fiber system to bring high speed Internet to the borough's residents. Unable to attract Internet providers, the borough of Kutztown created its own fiber optic cable network in the early 2002 thinking that the community could attract ISPs if the infrastructure was already built.⁵⁰⁹ The efforts to garner corporate interest in Kutztown's fiber network were unsuccessful, possibly because telecom companies saw it necessary to own their infrastructure and the arrangement offered fell too far outside the model they were accustomed to. When no ISP accepted the borough's offer, Kutztown began in 2002 to sell Internet access to its residents through its own service, Homenet. Kutztown also provides wifi hotspots throughout the town to subscribers.

Kutztown's position was fairly unique because the town owned bucket trucks, had rights to pole attachment, and a billing service already in place that made them suited to providing Internet.⁵¹⁰ The borough's network is administered by an advisory committee of residents and while the entity receives many advantages over its private-sector competitors as a result of its connection to local government, there are occasional downsides. To fulfill transparency requirements, Homenet must share information regarding future pricing, products, and plans in public meetings.⁵¹¹ The town received the governor's award for local government excellence for their fiber optic project in 2003, one year before the legislature passed a bill making it more difficult

⁵⁰⁸ "Internet Access Rankings," *US News.com*, accessed July, 17, 2020, <https://www.usnews.com/news/best-states/rankings/infrastructure/internet-access>.

⁵⁰⁹ Lisa Gonzalez, "Gigabit Nation Interview with Frank Caruso of Kutztown, PA," *Muni Networks*, last modified November 1, 2012, <https://muninetworks.org/content/gigabit-nation-interview-frank-caruso-kutztown-pa>.

⁵¹⁰ Matt Stump, "Kutztown, Pa., Muni has lots of Fiber," *Multichannel News*, last modified March 29, 2018, <https://www.multichannel.com/news/kutztown-pa-muni-has-lots-fiber-137617>.

⁵¹¹ *Ibid.*

for future municipalities to provide broadband if a local exchange telecom company is already providing internet service.⁵¹² In 2018 Kutztown was expanding its network to offer voice and video to push further into the telecommunications business.⁵¹³

Not long after Kutztown, Philadelphia once attempted to build its own fiber optic network in 2004 seeking a contract with a private provider to build a city owned broadband network.⁵¹⁴ The move was opposed by the city's telecom providers, who chose not bid on the project and lobbied against it.⁵¹⁵ After a law was passed prohibiting Philadelphia from making its own network, the project was retooled and a partnership with Earthlink was formed.⁵¹⁶ But the plan was ultimately unsuccessful and today the remains of their fledgling broadband network were repurposed for city government use.

There is new evidence that municipalities creating their own broadband networks, like they did in Kutztown, carries financial risks of remaining solvent in the long term.⁵¹⁷ Other states have chosen to continue the experiment with municipalities forming their own broadband services while it has been limited in Pennsylvania since 2004.⁵¹⁸ Under the current system, municipalities submit proposals of what speeds they require, and local exchange telecommunication companies have two months to respond to the proposal. If accepted, the provider has one year to offer services at this speed within a community. While no municipal project have been prevented by these requirements, the current law inhibits competition and does not adequately address situations where a requested speed is met by a local provider but a community's needs in terms of price, quality, or coverage remain unsatisfied. If no telecom companies are providing a service or would be willing to start providing a service within 14 months, a municipality has the option of becoming their own broadband provider.⁵¹⁹

Other cities in Pennsylvania are also looking to build up Internet capacity since merely having the minimum FCC speeds available from a provider may not be enough to secure a community's future in the digital age. Lancaster has expanded on its plans to provide free wifi to the downtown area of the city through a public-private partnership with MAW after Verizon declined the project. If successful, the city will offer fiber supplied Internet service to the entire city. The city plans on paying for the service through refinancing its water bonds and will implement an indefinite 13 percent surcharge on its

⁵¹² *Ibid.*

⁵¹³ Stump, "Kutztown, Pa., Muni has lots of Fiber."

⁵¹⁴ Lisa Gonzalez, "Gigabit Nation Interview."

⁵¹⁵ Tony Abraham, "What Other Cities Should Learn from Philly's Failed Municipal Broadband Effort," *Technical.ly Philadelphia*, last modified March 4, 2015, <https://technical.ly/philly/2015/03/04/cities-learn-phillys-failed-municipal-broadband-effort/>.

⁵¹⁶ *Ibid.*

⁵¹⁷ Christopher Yoo and Timothy Pfenninger, *Municipal Fiber in the United States: An Empirical Assessment of Financial Performance*, (University of PA Law School & Center for Technology, Innovation, and Competition), 1.

⁵¹⁸ Kenra Chamberlain, "Municipal Broadband is Roadblocked or Outlawed in 22 states," *Broadband now.com*, last modified May 13, 2020, <https://broadbandnow.com/report/municipal-broadband-roadblocks/>.

⁵¹⁹ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3014(h).

Internet customers.⁵²⁰ The city has also agreed to loan money to MAW through the city's general revenue funds at a 7 percent annual interest rate.⁵²¹ The project has faced numerous setbacks due to disputes surrounding pole attachments with PPL Electric. In August of 2019 the FCC ruled that PPL had unlawfully denied MAW access to its poles.⁵²²

While municipalities face obstacles in creating their own ISPs, rural communities lacking broadband in Pennsylvania have begun to experiment with other alternative to traditional ISPs. One of Pennsylvania's most recent projects that has received significant publicity is the Tri-County Rural Electric Cooperative (TriCo) in the Northcentral region of the state.

The first leg of the project started with a state grant of 1.5 million from the Redevelopment Assistance Capital Program.⁵²³ The Co-op leveraged additional funds provided by the Pennsylvania Broadband Investment Incentive grant from the governor's office in its application for the 2018 federal CAF 2 auction, the first time that these funds have been made available for electric co-ops.⁵²⁴ Tri-Co was successful in its bid and was awarded an annual sum of 3.2 million dollar for 10 years to fund its broadband deployment.⁵²⁵ In 2019, the PUC designated Tri-Co as an eligible telecom carrier and the Co-op hired vantage point to oversee the project and created a company Trico Connections to organize the Internet side of their business.⁵²⁶

Trico's plan involves building a 100 miles ring of fiber optic cable around Coudersport to serve 1,200 homes and businesses, the first wave of service projected to come online in 2022.⁵²⁷ The project will then be expanded outward from that fiber ring over a 6 year period to encompass 3,250 miles of Fiber-optic cable and potentially

⁵²⁰ Hannah Trostle, "Public-Private Partnership Pursued in Pennsylvania," *Muni Networks*, last modified March 28, 2017, <https://muninetworks.org/content/public-private-partnership-pursued-pennsylvania>.

⁵²¹ *City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania Financial Statements and Required Supplementary Information City*, (MaherDuessel CPA, August 2019), <https://www.cityoflancasterpa.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/COL-Financial-Statements-2018.pdf>.

⁵²² FCC Memorandum Opinion and Order, In the Matter of Maw Communications Inc., Complainant v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Defendant, August 12, 2019 <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-19-771A1.pdf>.

⁵²³ "Tri-county Broadband Project," *Tri-county Rural Electric Cooperative*, accessed July 17, 2020 <http://www.tri-countyrec.com/content/tri-county-broadband-project>.

⁵²⁴ Kevin Randolph, "First Phase of Pennsylvania Broadband Investment Incentive Program Completed," *Pennsylvania Business Report*, last modified September 24, 2018, <https://pennbizreport.com/news/10905-first-phase-of-pennsylvania-broadband-investment-incentive-program-completed/>.

⁵²⁵ Andrea Fox, "Electric Utility to Bring 3,250 Miles of Broadband to Rural Pennsylvania," *Gov1*, last modified January 11, 2019, <https://www.efficientgov.com/community-engagement/articles/electric-utility-to-bring-3250-miles-of-broadband-to-rural-pennsylvania-ZzLxgtV4M8DRD2yM/>.

⁵²⁶ "PUC Approves First-Ever ETC Designation For Federal Broadband Deployment Program in Rural Pennsylvania With Auctioned Funding Support," *Tri-County REC*, last modified April 11, 2019, <http://www.tri-countyrec.com/content/puc-approves-first-ever-etc-designation-federal-broadband-deployment-program-rural>.

⁵²⁷ "Tri-Co Connection Fiber-To-The-Home Service Area," *Tri-Co Connections*, accessed July 20, 2020 <https://www.tricoconnections.com/service-map/>.

broadband to 16,000 co-op members.⁵²⁸ Minimum services offered are projected to double FCC broadband speeds minimum by offering 50 Mbps, 100Mbps, up to a gigabyte of service or 1,000 Mbps.⁵²⁹ Trico has also started to increase community broadband awareness initiatives to improve adoption rate. They are partnered with a retirement community to educate senior citizens on computer technology.⁵³⁰

Another interesting example of local innovation is in Huntingdon County where a group of citizens formed The Rural Broadband Cooperative, a nonprofit member-owned organization in 2019 to tackle the area's lack of broadband connectivity. The technology employed uses a fiber-connected tower connected through fiber-optic cable on a mountain that transmits Internet through radio waves into nearby homes.⁵³¹ To receive this service, homes must be outfitted with antennas pointed with a direct line of sight to the wireless tower.⁵³² This may be a viable solution in other communities, provided members can raise the capital and provided that the geographical features do not limit the tower from reaching enough members.⁵³³ The Co-op offers both a basic and high-speed Internet plan with no monthly data cap.⁵³⁴ In some cases out of range Residents who are willing to put a small tower on their property may still be able to receive broadband. The Rural Broadband Co-op the organization is still in early stages and is limited to a relatively small locale, but the group has some plans for expansion to other mountain tops. There are no paid staff and no grant money was received for this project. Construction and other materials were donated by local resources and the effort was aided significantly by the work of retired rural electric cooperative personnel.

The tower-based technology employed by the Rural Broadband Cooperative is by no means exclusive to a co-operative-based model of service. Centre County is currently adopting a similar system of tower-based broadband service through entering into a public private partnership with the Internet provider Centre Wisp to outfit three of the county's 911 emergency towers with additional telecom equipment.⁵³⁵ The county hopes for a mutually beneficial arrangement where it earns money from tower leases and its residents are offered Internet services. It estimates that the range of the tower will be 17 miles. Despite homes being outfitted with antennas they don't have to worry about weather

⁵²⁸ Andrea Fox, "Electric Utility to Bring 3,250 Miles."

⁵²⁹ "Get to Know Tri-Co Connections: Fiber-to-the-Home Services from Tri-County Rural Electric," *Tri-Co Connections*, accessed July 20, 2020, <https://www.tricoconnections.com/get-to-know-us/>.

⁵³⁰ Jeff Fetzer, "Seniors 2 Seniors Program Bridges Digital, Generation Divide," *Tri-Co Connections*, last modified April 1, 2020, <https://www.tricoconnections.com/news-updates/seniors-2-seniors-program-bridges-digital-generational-divide/>.

⁵³¹ Rural Broadband Cooperative, *Member Packet*, accessed July 20, 2020,

<https://ruralbroadbandcoop.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/RBC-Membership-Packet.pdf>.

⁵³² "FAQs," *Rural Broadband Cooperative*, accessed July 20, 2020, <https://ruralbroadbandcoop.org/faq/>.

⁵³³ Min Xian, "This Rag-Tag Group of DIYers has an Answer for Rural Pa.'s Internet Problem," *WHYY.org*, March 3, 2020, <https://whyy.org/articles/this-rag-tag-group-of-diyers-has-an-answer-for-rural-pa-s-Internet-problem/>.

⁵³⁴ Rural Broadband Cooperative, *Member Packet*.

⁵³⁵ Vincent Corso, "Partnership Provides Broadband Access to Rural Residents in County," *The Centre County Gazette*, last modified October 3, 2019, <http://www.statecollege.com/news/centre-county-gazette/partnership-provides-broadband-access-to-rural-residents-in-county,1481286/>.

affecting their service like a satellite Internet provider. By 2019 Centre Wisp was providing federal broadband speeds to over 100 customers.

Somerset County received a total of \$1.5 million in grants from the US EDA and the Appalachian Regional Commission and plans on matching these funds to expand its fiber network out by 22 miles to four industrial areas in the county. While this project won't bring broadband deep into the areas of the rural county, it will improve the Internet for over a thousand businesses and nearly four thousand households along with schools, hospitals and libraries.⁵³⁶

Many communities in Pennsylvania don't have the same ways to attract interest in a private partnership on their own. Regional Alliances of Counties are a significant ally in helping communities spur broadband development. The Southern Alleghenies Planning and Development Commission is conducting a study that is projected to be completed in June 2020 to be followed by meetings for of public discussion.⁵³⁷ The group received a \$50,000 grant from the Appalachian Regional Commission after each participating county raised \$6,250. If there is enough interest in the area, the Commission hopes to create a nonprofit company that will provide fiber Internet. The Counties that comprise the commission include: Westmoreland, Fayette, Cambria, Somerset, Blair, Bedford, Huntingdon, and Fulton.⁵³⁸

The Northwest Pennsylvania Regional Planning and Development Commission, a nonprofit organization that represents eight counties in the northwest of the state, conducted a study on improving broadband throughout the northwest.⁵³⁹ Part of the effort involved gathering input from stakeholders in a community needs survey. The Development Commission teamed up with the Federal Appalachian Regional Commission and Connected Nation's "Connected Community" program to make the effort possible. The resulting Technology Action Plan recommended numerous courses of action including:

- review of providers in the areas
- and a plan attract public private partnerships,
- undertake campaigns to raise computer literacy throughout the region for citizens and schools

⁵³⁶ Lisa Gonzalez, "Fiber for Key Industrial Areas Coming to Somerset County, Pennsylvania," *Community Networks*, last modified October 6, 2017, <https://muninetworks.org/content/fiber-key-industrial-areas-coming-somerset-county-pennsylvania>.

⁵³⁷ Jeff Himler, "Broadband Connectivity Study, Survey Eyed for Westmoreland, Nearby Counties," *Tribune Review*, last modified January 14, 2020, <https://triblive.com/local/westmoreland/broadband-connectivity-study-survey-eyed-for-westmoreland-nearby-counties/>.

⁵³⁸ *Ibid.*

⁵³⁹ Jessica Denson, "NW Pennsylvania Broadband Initiative: A Plan to Connection Residents, Schools Businesses," *Connected Nation*, last modified January 24, 2018, <http://connectmycommunity.org/nw-pa-initiative/>.

- improving the online presence of local governments and businesses.
- conducting a vertical asset inventory of infrastructure in the region that could be used for broadband deployment.⁵⁴⁰

In addition to recommendations the action plan also included broadband maps for each county to show where various speeds were accessible through the counties and which areas had more than one Internet provider.

The Warren County Commissioners created the Warren County Broadband Task Force in May 2020, which was charged with developing strategies and recommendations that will lead to expanded broadband access in Warren County. These may include hiring a consultant to conduct a feasibility study, developing an overall plan which can be implemented in a public-private partnership or other similar framework, and preparing a grant proposal which can be used to draw down state and federal dollars for the purpose of broadband expansion or any similar proposal which leads to broadband expansion.⁵⁴¹ Youngsville TV (YTV) has proposed a public-private partnership with the county, through a yet to be created broadband authority. The proposal entails YTV entering the FCC reverse auction planned for October 2020, to obtain the federal subsidy. The new authority would provide the financing to build the fiber network and then lease it to YTV, which anticipates the subsidy to cover debt service for the first ten years. The proposal is in the early stages of discussion.⁵⁴²

The Northeastern Pennsylvania Wide Area Network (NEPA WAN) is a partnership between the Luzerne and Northeastern Education Intermediate Units and Frontier Communications. While Frontier provides the Internet service, the school districts built up their own infrastructure to make use of it through towers that provide line of sight wireless to help link buildings to locations to wired infrastructure. Once the system was in place, frontier also began offering broadband service to area residences and businesses. The IU offered E-Fund grants to districts that were allocated over a three-year period to help support the implementation of this program.⁵⁴³

The North Central PA Regional Planning and Development Commission is a nonprofit organization conducting a survey in Cameron, Clearfield, Elk, Jefferson, McKean and Potter to identify unserved areas.⁵⁴⁴ Its internet service was created as a cost

⁵⁴⁰ Connected Nation, *Community Technology Action Plan: Northwest Pennsylvania*, (January 2018), http://connectmycommunity.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/NWPA_Connected_Plan_Final.pdf.

⁵⁴¹ Warren County Commissioners, Resolution No. 3158 Authorizing the Broadband Task Force, May 28, 2020, <https://warrencopa.com/download/3158-authorizing-the-broadband-task-force/>.

⁵⁴² Josh Cotton, "Public-Private Broadband Solution Pitched to Commissioners," *Times Observer*, last modified June 10, 2020, <https://www.timesobserver.com/news/local-news/2020/06/public-private-broadband-solution-pitched-to-commissioners/>.

⁵⁴³ "Education Technology Programs and Services," *North Eastern Intermediary Unity 19*, accessed July 20, 2020, <http://www.iu19.org/departments/educational-technology/programs-and-services/>.

⁵⁴⁴ Joellen Wankel, "North Central Requests Residents' Help Identifying Area Broadband Issues," *The Bradford Era*, last modified March 6, 2020, http://www.bradfordera.com/bradford/north-central-requests-residents-help-identifying-area-broadband-issues/article_4edf5325-30bf-5519-8efc-dfc4442fdd66.html.

effective way for important community services such as health care, law enforcement, and education to access the Internet. North Central currently offers broadband Internet connections in each of the six counties through a series of towers, and has been in operation for over 20 years.⁵⁴⁵

Indiana County invested \$18 million in an emergency communication system which could be used to connect residents to the Internet if Internet providers could be enticed to pay for last mile connections. Thus far no providers have taken up the county's offer. Indiana County will continue to invest in broadband deployment by spending \$60,000 for increased mobile access in tourist destination Blue Spruce Park.⁵⁴⁶

Susquehanna Economic Development Association – Council of Governments (SEDA-COG) initiated a survey of businesses and residents in Clinton, Lycoming, Northumberland, and Union Counties area regarding their current broadband connections in June 2019.⁵⁴⁷ This project received a \$10 million allocation to the Northumberland County Industrial Development Authority to support a multicounty broadband deployment project.⁵⁴⁸

SEDA-COG also received a \$300,000 state budget allocation in June 2019 to further assist its broadband expansion efforts by funding a low interest rate loan to make broadband available to businesses and residents in Juniata, Mifflin, and Perry Counties.⁵⁴⁹

The Keystone Initiative for Network Based Education and Research (KINBER) is a non-profit organization and Pennsylvania's only statewide research, education, and community network. KINBER provides network-based connectivity and services to over 135 organizations and programming to many more, including higher education, K12, healthcare, communities, libraries, public media, museums, government, non-profit organizations, as well as commercial organizations consistent with its mission.⁵⁵⁰ In April 2020, KINBER announced a new partnership with ClearFiber Communications, funded by a \$200,000 state grant to expand their fiber network through Greene and Washington

⁵⁴⁵ Ed Matts, "Information Technology," *North Central*, accessed April 22 2020, <https://web.archive.org/web/20180802075648/http://www.ncentral.com/information-technology/>.

⁵⁴⁶Kris Mamula, "Indiana County Tries to Keep Up, but Lack of Internet Access Stymies Schools, Businesses," *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, last modified October 20, 2019, <https://newsinteractive.post-gazette.com/indiana-county-pennsylvania-broadband-internet-access-schools-businesses/>.

⁵⁴⁷Justin Strawser, "SEDA-COG Hires Company for Broadband Study," *The Daily Item*, last modified June 29, 2019, https://www.dailyitem.com/news/local_news/seda-cog-hires-company-for-broadband-study/article_9685f051-0fb5-5fa4-9e69-d4c9e044ddc6.html. SEGA-COG represents 11 central Pennsylvania counties – Centre, Clinton, Columbia, Juniata, Lycoming, Mifflin, Montour, Northumberland, Perry, Snyder, and Union. The study study – Clinton, Lycoming, Northumberland and Union Counties. "SEDA – Council of Governments," *SEDA COG*, accessed August 17, 2020, <https://seda-cog.org/>.

⁵⁴⁸ The act of July 1, 2020 (P.L. 262, No. 36), known as the Capital Budget Project Itemization Act of 2019-2020, §6(a)(68)(v).

⁵⁴⁹"Loan Fund Established to Expand Broadband in 3 Counties," *The Daily Item*, last modified July 7, 2019, https://www.dailyitem.com/business/loan-fund-established-to-expand-broadband-in-3-counties/article_307ea14a-df55-5422-a670-56871e6fd852.html.

⁵⁵⁰ Keystone Initiative for Network Based Education and Research, "About," <https://kinber.org/about/>.

counties, with the goal of bringing service to more than 2,000 unserved and underserved homes.⁵⁵¹

In May 2020, it was announced that Comcast would begin a network expansion project to extend broadband services to nearly 1,200 Sullivan County addresses, including those in the boroughs of Eagles Mere and Laporte and Shrewsbury and Laporte Townships. New services are expected to be available by the end of 2020, and a full range of services would be available in summer 2021.⁵⁵²

At the same time, Comcast announced a similar expansion project in rural Cambria and Clearfield counting with the expectation of reaching 3,900 rural addresses in those counties.⁵⁵³

State Level Efforts

Restore PA Plan

Governor Wolf proposed the Restore PA Plan as an initiative to improve infrastructure in Pennsylvania over the next four years. The plan will draw funding from a severance tax on natural gas and is expected to garner \$4.5 billion that can be used toward the plan.⁵⁵⁴ The plan is intended to fund multiple infrastructure needs Commonwealth-wide, including storm preparedness and disaster recovery (flood control); combating blight (demolition and redevelopment); green infrastructure (new environmental projects and recreational opportunities); contaminant remediation and brownfield cleanup; transportation capital projects (local roads and bridges upgrades, transit development); natural gas downstream manufacturing, business development and energy infrastructure; and high-speed internet access. The plan creates a Pennsylvania Broadband Development Program which will oversee loans and grants distributed under the plan. Those who can apply for a loan or grant are as follows: “For-profit and nonprofit entities, commonwealth agencies and political subdivisions, and rural electric cooperatives.”⁵⁵⁵ There are two types of funding that these groups can apply for: grants/incentives for build out and technical

⁵⁵¹ Keystone Initiative for Network Based Education and Research, News/Press Releases, “KINBER Expands Broadband Access in Southwest Pa Through Partnership,” April 28, 2020, <https://kinber.org/press-release/kinber-expands-broadband-access-in-southwest-pa/>

⁵⁵² Coy Gobble, “Xfinity bringing state of the art Internet services to parts of Sullivan County,” *The Daily Review*, last modified June 3, 2020, https://www.thedailyreview.com/news/local/xfinity-bringing-state-of-the-art-Internet-services-to-parts-of-sullivan-county/article_55cd69b5-c201-5840-a664-a7d1ce977322.html.

⁵⁵³ J. Benemati, “Comcast Plans to Expand Broadband Access in Coalport Area,” *The Progress*, last modified May 26, 2020, http://www.theprogressnews.com/news/local/comcast-plans-to-expand-broadband-access-in-coalport-area/article_bd98d7c9-a1c8-5a96-a594-95332c98fcc8.html.

⁵⁵⁴ “Restore PA,” *Pennsylvania Municipal League*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://www.pml.org/advocacy/restore-pa/>.

⁵⁵⁵ “Restore Pennsylvania: Providing High-Speed Internet Access,” *Restore PA*, accessed December 3, 2019, <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/20190506-Restore-Pennsylvania-Broadband-Initiative.pdf>.

assistance for planning/feasibility studies.⁵⁵⁶ The Restore PA Plan was introduced in the 2019-2020 session of the PA General Assembly as HB 1585 and SB 725 and each bill is in each chamber’s respective Environmental Resources and Energy Committee.⁵⁵⁷

Office of Enterprise Wireless Management

The Office of Enterprise Wireless Management (OEWM) was created in the Pennsylvania Department of General Services to oversee the Commonwealth’s efforts to monetize excess and underutilized capacity on Commonwealth-owned wireless assets to support the expansion of digital telecommunications. The OEWM website provides access to both a Commonwealth land and building inventory and a list of Commonwealth assets. Many of these assets may be vertical assets such as towers, buildings, and property, including rights-of-way, that may be suitable for the buildout of 4G and 5G networks, that can be leased at fair market value for the expansion of digital infrastructure.⁵⁵⁸ OEWM has engaged Agile Network as its program manager to market preexisting space on Commonwealth-owned towers and telecommunication assets and to streamline the process of locating broadband equipment on those assets. This project is intended to both expanded digital and fixed wireless services and to create a revenue stream for the Commonwealth.⁵⁵⁹

Regulatory Reform

The PUC regulates the provision of “protected services” by incumbent local exchange carriers (ILEC) to achieve the following ends:

- Ensure that customers pay only reasonable charges for protected services which shall be available on a nondiscriminatory basis.
- Ensure that rates for protected services do not subsidize the competitive ventures of telecommunications carriers.
- Provide diversity in the supply of existing and future telecommunications services and products in telecommunications markets throughout this Commonwealth by ensuring that rates, terms and conditions for protected services are reasonable and do not impede the development of competition.⁵⁶⁰

⁵⁵⁶ *Ibid.*

⁵⁵⁷ Senate Bill 725, Printer’s No. 902, introduced and referred to Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee June 6, 2019; House Bill 1585, Printer’s No. 2033, introduced and referred to House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee June 6, 2019.

⁵⁵⁸ “Office of Enterprise Wireless Management,” *Pennsylvania Department of General Services*, accessed July 5, 2020, <https://www.dgs.pa.gov/wireless/Pages/default.aspx>.

⁵⁵⁹ “Pennsylvania to Rent Space to Wireless Providers, Generate Revenue for the Commonwealth,” *Pennsylvania Department of General Services*, last modified October 29, 2019, <https://www.dgs.pa.gov/wireless/Pages/News.aspx>.

⁵⁶⁰ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3011(3)-(5).

- A “protected service” is defined as the following telecommunications services provided by a local exchange telecommunications company unless the commission has determined the service to be competitive:
 - Service provided to residential consumers or business consumers that is necessary to complete a local exchange call.
 - Touch-tone service.
 - Switched access service.
 - Special access service.
 - Ordering, installation, restoration and disconnection of these services.⁵⁶¹

The Public Utility Code has a process in which an ILEC can petition the PUC to be reclassified as competitive in the delivery of protected services, which has the effect of lessening the regulatory requirements that the ILEC must comply with. In 2015, Verizon petitioned the PUC to be declared as competitive for all retail services in select geographic areas and for a waiver of regulations for competitive services. On March 4, 2015, the reclassification order was granted for basic stand-alone telephone service for 153 Verizon wire centers. A wire center is a carrier’s network facility in a local area that connects subscriber lines in a local loop.⁵⁶² A five-year waiver of specific sections of Chapters 63 and Chapter 64 was granted pending the PUC’s review these regulations initiation of the rulemaking process to determine if these regulations should be revised for both noncompetitive and competitive services.⁵⁶³

In July 2018, the PUC issued an Advance Notice of Rulemaking, which opened the door for public comment on any proposed changes to the competition regulations. Specifically, the PUC sought input in the areas of:

- Whether to make waivers that were previously granted to Verizon permanent in any wire center currently classified as competitive or that may be classified as competitive in the future;
- Whether there are any obsolete or outdated regulations in noncompetitive wire centers that should be modified or eliminated;
- Whether to create separate chapters in our regulations for competitive versus noncompetitive wire centers; and

⁵⁶¹ 66 Pa.C.S. § 3012.

⁵⁶² Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Reclassification Order of March 4, 2015, www.puc.pa.gov/pcdocs/1348740.docx; Tentative Implementation and Opinion Order, May 19, 2015, www.puc.pa.gov/pcdocs/1363272.docx.

⁵⁶³ *Ibid.*

- Whether there are any reasonable alternative regulations or regulatory structures or schemes, other than what is being proposed in the Advance Notice, that the Commission should consider.⁵⁶⁴

The temporary waivers under the 2015 order were set to expire on March 4, 2020. As the PUC's rulemaking process had not yet been complete, an extension was granted to December 31, 2022.⁵⁶⁵ A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking continuing the process was adopted by the PUC on August 27, 2020.

The Advisory Committee has not formed a recommendation on the subject of deregulation, but would like to caution that any repeal of regulations involving safety, adequacy, reliability, and privacy of telecommunications services and the ordering, installation, suspension, termination and restoration should be justified by the affected carriers through a demonstration that there is a substantial nexus between the costs of compliance with the repealed regulation and the proposed investment in deploying broadband service in every wire center throughout the Commonwealth. To the extent a waiver or a repeal of PUC regulations is contemplated because it has been determined that regulatory compliance costs are a present and major barrier to investing in and deploying of broadband networks and facilities throughout the Commonwealth, annual reports identifying the financial savings related to the regulatory relief and how the cost-savings was spent on the deployment on higher-speed broadband services in un/underserved areas of the Commonwealth would provide accountability from carriers benefiting from the deregulation.

Recent Legislative Enactments and Legislation

Enactments:

Two separate amendments to the Public School Code of 1949, enacted during the summer of 2019, have given school districts and intermediate units more flexibility in providing distance learning. Act 18 of 2019 created the Keystone Telepresence Education Grant Program to enable intermediate units to purchase telepresence equipment and related support services to add in providing education to homebound students. These are students who are unable to attend school for an extended period of time for a serious medical condition.⁵⁶⁶ Act 64 of 2019 provided for flexible instruction days to all public and private schools to provide remote education when school buildings are prevented from opening due to a disease epidemic, hazardous weather condition, law enforcement emergency,

⁵⁶⁴ Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, "PUC Seeks Comment on Potential Changes to Telecommunications Regulations," Press Release, (July 12, 2018), http://www.puc.pa.gov/about_puc/press_releases.aspx?ShowPR=4059.

⁵⁶⁵ Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Tentative Order issued February 6, 2020; Final Order issued February 27, 2020, http://www.puc.pa.gov/about_puc/consolidated_case_view.aspx?Docket=L-2018-3001391.

⁵⁶⁶ Act of June 28, 2019, P.L.146, No. 18, adding Article XV-J, § 1501-J et seq; 24 P.S. § 15-1501-J et seq.

inoperability of buses or other equipment, damage to a school building, or other temporary circumstance rendering any portion of a school building un fit or unsafe for use.⁵⁶⁷

Act No. 1A was enacted on May 29, 2020, providing appropriations from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and public schools, and to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal and State Funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments, including the following line item: The following federal amounts are appropriated to supplement the sum appropriated for Statewide Public Safety Radio Network: “Broadband Network Planning” in the amount of \$4,050,000 for the Municipal Police Officers’ Education and Training Commission.⁵⁶⁸

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Act 24 of 2020 was enacted to protect Pennsylvania’s seniors living in a long-term care nursing facility, a personal care home, or an assisting living residence. The bill proposes a \$500,000 appropriation from Pennsylvania’s allotment of \$3.9 billion from the federal government in response to the pandemic. After receiving proposals, the Commonwealth Financing Authority will contract with health collaborative administrators to operate, manage, and administer the program in each of the developed regions to protect residents residing in these facilities from COVID-19. Pursuant to the Act, the appropriated block grant may be used for “broadband Internet deployment with priority given to unserved or underserved areas.”⁵⁶⁹

Pending Legislation:

The following is a partial list of legislation introduced in the Pennsylvania General Assembly during the 2019-2020 legislative session addressing broadband expansion. Please note that the legislative session ends on November 30, 2020, and all legislation pending at that time will “die.” These proposals may be reintroduced in 2021, but will have new bill and printer’s numbers and will start the legislative process anew.

Senate Bill 1118 and House Bill 2438 propose to amend Title 68 (Real and Personal Property) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes to provide for broadband services. To address the significant lack of access to high-speed Internet in rural Pennsylvania, both Senate Bill 1118 and House Bill 2438 propose to allow a “broadband service supplier” to use its existing infrastructures to deploy fiber lines for broadband. “Broadband service supplier” is defined as “an electricity, telecommunications, cable operator or Internet supplier or affiliate that constructs, owns or installs new broadband facilities to provide broadband services, at wholesale or retail, using existing electric infrastructures, including, but not limited to, poles and conduit, within an easement. The term includes third parties with which electric cooperative corporation contracts, licenses or otherwise enters into agreements with for the installation, service, or maintenance of broadband infrastructure and provision of broadband services on behalf of the electric cooperative corporation or its

⁵⁶⁷ Act of July 2, 2019, P.L.396, No. 64, adding § 1506; 24 P.S. § 15-1506.

⁵⁶⁸ Act of May 29, 2020, P.L. __, No. 1A, § 229.

⁵⁶⁹ Act of May 29, 2020, P.L. 186, No. 24, amending the act of April 9, 1929, P.L.343, No. 176, known as the Fiscal Code; 72 P.S. § 131-C(7).

affiliate.” Specifically, this proposed legislation eliminates the necessity of broadband service suppliers to approach individual property owners to expand existing easements to allow attachment of fiber lines to existing electric poles.⁵⁷⁰

House Bill 2348 proposes to amend 64 Pa.C.S. (relating to Public Authorities and Quasi-Public Corporations) to convert the Mobile Telecommunications Broadband Investment Tax Credit (limited to \$5 million per year available to mobile telecommunication providers to invest in broadband equipment) into a competitive grant that targets the unserved and underserved areas of Pennsylvania. This legislation would repeal the tax credit and create the Unserved High-Speed Funding Program, which would be administered by the Commonwealth Financing Authority.⁵⁷¹

House Bill 2637 proposes establishing an emergency lifeline broadband benefit to qualified low-income families and individuals during a disaster emergency. Known as the Emergency Lifeline Broadband Benefit Act, this proposed bill states a household would be eligible for Tier I or Tier II service, “if the household income is at or below 200% of the poverty line established by the Federal Office of Management and Budget.” In addition, the bill states a broadband Internet access Provider may apply to the Public Utility Commission for reimbursement in the amount of \$50 per month for each eligible household receiving Tier I service (“a [minimum] download speed of 100 megabits per second an upload speed of 10 megabits per second and latency that is sufficiently low to allow real-time, interactive application with no data caps or additional fees and \$30 per month for each eligible house receiving Tier II service (“a [minimum] download speed of 25 megabits per second, an upload speed of 25 megabits per second and latency that is sufficiently low to allow real-time, interactive applications with no data caps or additional fees”).⁵⁷²

Senate Bill 835 dedicates state funding to address unserved rural areas in the Commonwealth. To ensure rural residents have reliable high-speed Internet service, the bill dedicates no less than \$5,000,000 to The Unserved High-Speed Broadband Funding Pilot Program. The proposed program would be administered by The Commonwealth Financing Authority. The eligibility requirements would include a nongovernment entity demonstrating the ability to construct and administer the service to unserved areas only (minimum speeds of at least 25 megabits per second downstream and 3 megabits per second upstream) and committing to using a minimum of 25% of the entity’s private capital to finance the proposed project.⁵⁷³

Governor Wolf’s Restore PA proposal which would fund infrastructure development (including broadband expansion) through funds raised via a tax on natural

⁵⁷⁰ Senate Bill 1118, P.N. 1801, received second consideration in the Senate on June 29, 2020. House Bill 2438 passed the House (202-0) June 10, 2020, and was referred to the Senate where it received second consideration on June 29, 2020.

⁵⁷¹ House Bill 2348, P.N. 3454, passed the House (202-0) June 10, 2020, and was referred to the Senate Communications and Technology Committee on June 22, 2020.

⁵⁷² House Bill 2637, P.N. 4035, introduced and referred to the House Consumer Affairs Committee, June 29, 2020.

⁵⁷³ Senate Bill 835, P.N. 1800, received second consideration and was re-referred to the Senate Appropriations Committee June 23, 2020.

gas extraction, was introduced in the 2019-2020 session of the PA General Assembly as House Bill 1585 and Senate Bill 725 and each bill is in each chamber's respective Environmental Resources and Energy Committee.⁵⁷⁴ The proposal is explained in further depth at pp. 121-122, *infra*.

Both the Senate and the House of Representatives have proposed legislation to bring high speed Internet access to all Commonwealth residents in underserved and unserved areas. Senate Bill 470 and House Bill 305 are mirror bills and are referred to as The State-Owned Assets and Mobile Broadband Services Act. Pursuant to the bills, both an underserved area and an unserved area are defined as “an area within the Commonwealth that is demonstrated to not have access to fixed broadband services or mobile broadband service.”⁵⁷⁵

House Bill 1400 would ease the deployment of “small cell” wireless Internet facilities through uniform regulation of small wireless antenna siting. The bill maintains local government authority over zoning and land use, but limits the reasons why access to right of ways and new utility poles may be denied.⁵⁷⁶

House Bill 2055 proposes an amendment to the Municipal Authorities Act to enable municipal authorities to deploy a publicly owned broadband Internet network infrastructure to underserved areas as defined by the Federal Communications Commission.⁵⁷⁷

Senate Bill 1112 is intended to streamline regulations for telecommunications carriers. The bill calls for the PUC to rescind its provisions regarding competitive and noncompetitive ILECs, restrict the PUC's ability to issue new regulations for telecommunications carriers and require the PUC to review and rescind “restrictive” regulations every three years.⁵⁷⁸

Senate Bills 1000 and 1050 add an appropriation from the General Fund of \$4,050,000 of federal funds in addition to amounts appropriated for the Statewide Public Safety Radio Network for broadband network planning for the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Commission.⁵⁷⁹

⁵⁷⁴ Senate Bill 725, Printer's No. 902, introduced and referred to Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee June 6, 2019; House Bill 1585, Printer's No. 2033, introduced and referred to House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee June 6, 2019.

⁵⁷⁵ Senate Bill 470, P.N. 1186, received second consideration in the Senate and was rereferred to the Senate Appropriations Committee on September 25, 2019. House Bill 305, P.N. 2574 passed the House (192-0) on June 20, 2019; it received second consideration in the Senate September 25, 2019, was referred and reported out of House Appropriations October 28, 2019, and laid on the table February 3, 2020.

⁵⁷⁶ House Bill 1400, P.N. 2072, introduced and referred to House Consumer Affairs Committee June 11, 2019.

⁵⁷⁷ House Bill 2055, P.N. 2907, introduced and referred to the House Local Government Committee, November 19, 2019.

⁵⁷⁸ Senate Bill 1112, P.N. 1666, introduced and referred to Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee April 30, 2020.

⁵⁷⁹ Senate Bill 1000, P.N. 1562, introduced and referred to Senate Appropriations Committee March 9, 2020; Senate Bill 1050, P.N. 1522, received second consideration and was re-committee to Senate Appropriations April 7, 2020.

Telehealth Proposals

Senate Bill 857 of 2019 was passed by the General Assembly April 21, 2020 and would have required insurance coverage for telemedicine services. While he expressed support for inclusion of language in the bill to require health insurers to reimburse health care providers for telemedicine during the Covid-19 emergency at the same rate as in-person services, Governor Wolf vetoed the bill because of its delayed implementation of the coverage provisions and because the legislation “arbitrarily restricts the use of telemedicine for certain doctor-patient interactions. As amended, this bill interferes with women’s health care and the critical decision-making between patients and their physicians.”⁵⁸⁰

House Bill 15 of 2019,⁵⁸¹ House Bill 872 of 2019,⁵⁸² and House Bill 2454 of 2020⁵⁸³ All would authorize licensed health care providers to provide services via telemedicine technologies that they are licensed to provide in-person. Services that would be covered under an insurance policy if they were delivered in-person cannot be denied coverage solely because they were delivered via telemedicine. Specific parity of reimbursement rates is not established in the first two bills, but HB 2454 provides for rate parity for telemedicine services provided during the Governor’s proclamation of disaster emergency.

The Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards approved the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact (PSYPACT) in 2015 to facilitate telehealth and temporary in-person, face-to-face practice of psychology across jurisdictional boundaries. Pennsylvania enacted the compact in May 2020 for licensed psychologists.⁵⁸⁴

Distance Learning Proposals

House Bill 1897 would require school districts to create cyber education plans.⁵⁸⁵

House Bill 2596 amends the Public School Code of 1949 to add an article entitled “Supplemental Online Course Initiative.” The bill is intended to increase online learning resources for school entities by requiring the PA Department of Education to establish a central repository of online courses accessible to all public schools, nonpublic schools, home education programs, and the general public.⁵⁸⁶

House Bill 2705 adds a new online instruction article to the Public School Code of 1949 to (1) create grant program to ensure that students living in acute poverty can get a computer and access to the internet every year if needed; (2) requires all school districts to design every lesson plan for possible online learning so that schools are ready to go with

⁵⁸⁰ Governor’s Veto Message, Veto No.4, April 29, 2020.

⁵⁸¹ House Bill 15, P.N. 711, introduced and referred to House Insurance Committee March 5, 2019.

⁵⁸² House Bill 872, P.N. 1200, introduced and referred to House Insurance Committee April 5, 2019.

⁵⁸³ House Bill 2454, P.N. 3638, introduced and referred to House Insurance Committee April 27, 2020.

⁵⁸⁴ Act of May 8, 2020 (P.L. 124, No.19), known as the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact Act; 35 P.S. § 7671 et seq.

⁵⁸⁵ House Bill 1897, P.N. 2636, introduced and referred to House Education Committee September 30, 2019.

⁵⁸⁶ House Bill 2596, P.N. 3930, introduced and referred to House Education Committee June 15, 2020.

more flexibility in any emergency situation; (3) develops an annual program that assesses every student's online learning technology needs before a problem arises; (4) establishes training requirements for students, teachers, and parents so that everyone knows how to use and learn through online learning; and (5) directs all school internet service providers to develop a program to provide students living in acute poverty access to the internet for schoolwork at no cost.⁵⁸⁷

Senate Bills 1250, 1251, and 1252 comprise a legislative package to address online learning, assessment, and student supports. SB 1250 requires that lessons provided through online or distance learning are recorded and stored electronically for access by students at a later time. The bill also provides that if more than ten percent of students attending a school within a school entity are English as a Second Language students and whose first spoken language is the same language, a computer-based simultaneous translation program must be provided with the online or distance learning.⁵⁸⁸

SB 1251 establishes an Assessment Testing Select Committee to study, make findings and recommendations regarding the requirement for and administration of federal testing requirements. The committee report is due by September 14, 2020.⁵⁸⁹

SB 1252 The bill would ensure that all school children are afforded the opportunity to continue education efforts during mandatory school closures when they lack access to the tools and resources necessary to fully participate in online learning through assistance of volunteer teaching corps.⁵⁹⁰

⁵⁸⁷ House Bill 2705, P.N. 4154, introduced and referred to House Education Committee July 22, 2020.

⁵⁸⁸ Senate Bill 1250, P.N. 1874, introduced and referred to Senate Education Committee August 11, 2020.

⁵⁸⁹ Senate Bill 1251, P.N. 1875, introduced and referred to Senate Education Committee August 11, 2020.

⁵⁹⁰ Senate Bill 1252, P.N. 1876, introduced and referred to Senate Education Committee August 11, 2020.

METHODS EMPLOYED IN OTHER STATES

Broadband deployment is not only a problem for a select few states who cannot raise funds to encourage ISPs to expand through or for states low population densities, it is a critical nationwide lack of infrastructure that is felt throughout every state in the country. Many foresaw the need for a coordinated response to the lagging rural expansion to broadband and over the last decade every state has formed some form of broadband advisory council or task force.⁵⁹¹ States are divided over who should be leading the charge to expand broadband with the most frequent collaborates being the state economic departments, information technology offices, and even public utility commissions. Although most states have organized to meet these challenges there are still many that do not have a state-wide response plan or designated ongoing funding sources to pay for increased adoption and expansion. Other organizations or programs designed to aid in broadband development have been abandoned. The following list of states were all identified as having a state-wide plan to tackle broadband.

Alabama

Alabama started its first Broadband initiative in 2008 through executive order. The Alabama Department of Economic and Community affairs portion of this initiative was the Connecting Alabama Project. Goals of the initiative to create maps of the unserved areas, develop a strategy for deployment, coordinate with other state and regional efforts.

By 2012 the state of Alabama released their first strategic plan and by 2019 the plan had been updated to focus on 5 core initiatives:

- Improving mapping and planning,
- Preparing communities for broadband,
- Providing funding to broadband,
- Enhancing broadband at rural hospitals,
- Updating state policy to encourage broadband development.

⁵⁹¹ “State Broadband Task Forces, Commissions or Authorities and Other Broadband Resources” *National Conference of State Legislatures.org*, last modified June, 2020, <https://www.ncsl.org/research/telecommunications-and-information-technology/state-broadband-task-forces-commissions.aspx>.

In 2015 Alabama established its state office of broadband development, and moved the office to the ADCEA in 2017⁵⁹². In 2018⁵⁹³ the governor created the Alabama broadband accessibility fund Internet providers can apply to ADCEA for grants to expand broadband access to areas under minimum service speeds of 10/1 mbps in rural areas where there is no current internet provider offering that speed. All funds must be spent in rural areas defined as regions with a population under 25,000 citizens and 40 percent of the total fund is to be used in unincorporated regions. City and local governments are ineligible for this grant.

The fund will only pay developers up to 20 percent of the cost of the total project cost and the total grant cap is either \$750,000 or \$1.4 million depending on the speed provided. Currently \$7.4 million has been appropriated by the Alabama state legislature for this fund. In the 2018-19 program year, ADCEA held workshops to help internet providers improve their application to federal funding sources. While the state received 22 applications, only six were approved and \$2 million of the fund was depleted.⁵⁹⁴ The state is soliciting comments on how to encourage more interest in the program.

In 2019 the state minimum speed definition was amended to reach 25/3 mbps, the percentage of project cost was raised by 10 percent to cover a total of 35percent. Changed certain restrictions to allow state funding to be more easily used with federal funds. Projects must be completed within 2 years or funds will be revoked and redistributed. Can also now fund certain middle mile projects. Altered the grant program to more rural healthcare providers and schools, public safety and economic development sites.⁵⁹⁵

California

After a California Taskforce report its state legislature established a broadband council in 2010.⁵⁹⁶ The mission of the nonprofit broadband council was to assist providers in the state with acquiring federal funds, foster communication between state agencies to participate in the FCC National Broadband plan, encouraging state agencies to work together produce the right information so that they can receive federal and private funds, telling them about actions needed to implement Broadband task force report recommendations. The council also recommends legislation and policy and is headed by a twelve member council through the Department of Technologies office of Broadband and Digital literacy program.

⁵⁹² Kay Ivery, *Executive Order Number 704: Moving Office of Broadband Development to ADECA*, Governor's Office of Alabama, April 26, 2017, <https://governor.alabama.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/EO-2-Broadband.pdf>.

⁵⁹³ *Alabama Broadband Accessibility Act 2018-2019 Program Year Report*, (ADECA, March 28, 2019), <https://adeca.alabama.gov/Divisions/energy/broadband/Pages/default.aspx>.

⁵⁹⁴ ADECA, *Broadband Alabama Strategy*, (ADECA, May 2019), <https://adeca.alabama.gov/Divisions/energy/broadband/Pages/default.aspx>.

⁵⁹⁵ Alabama Broadband Accessibility Act, 327, May 30 2019, <https://adeca.alabama.gov/Divisions/energy/broadband/Documents/Alabama%20Broadband%20Accessibility%20Act.pdf>.

⁵⁹⁶ SB 1462 (Chapter 338, Statutes of 2010) California.

California's forward thinking approach to advancing broadband deployment has been unrivaled among the other states. In 2007, the Cali Public Utilities Commission created the Cali Advanced Services Fund which authorized \$100 million grants to telephone companies to deploy broadband infrastructure to unserved and underserved areas of the state.⁵⁹⁷ By 2014 it had awarded 57 Million dollars. Its goal is to help the state reach 98 percent broadband access.

When CASF was first implement, it was originally funded by a .25 percent end user surcharge in telecommunication services which has increased to .56 percent by 2018.⁵⁹⁸ Over a 10 year period it awarded over \$236 million dollars to 65 infrastructure projects that had the potential to bring service to over 17,000 households and improve service in 109,000 slow serve households (6/1 mbps).⁵⁹⁹ While the original infrastructure grant focused on only covering up to 40percent facility costs of a projects cost, over time the number of sub accounts within the program grew to increase the program's flexibility.

In 2010 the Consortia Grant Account was introduced to fund other aspects of deployment such as access, deployment, and adoption in specific regions of the state.⁶⁰⁰ A revolving loan account was created in 2010 to cover financing needs not met by the infrastructure fund, although the account was closed in 2017.⁶⁰¹

In 2013 The Broadband Public Housing account was created to expand broadband access and adoption in public housing communities. By 2018 it had awarded \$9.4 million to over 330 infrastructure projects and served 22,000 housing units at a cost of \$495 per unit. Funds spent on improving digital literacy and adoption were somewhat less successful at \$4.7 million to 130 adoption projects due to the limited availability time and interest people to attend training classes.⁶⁰² The account will be phased out in 2020 since most public housing has at least one internet provider and did not meet the definition of unserved.⁶⁰³

⁵⁹⁷ California PUC, *Interim Opinion Implementing California Advanced Services Fund*, Rulemaking 06-06-028, June 29, 2006, http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/FINAL_DECISION/76947.htm.

⁵⁹⁸ "Surcharge Rates," *CA PUC*, last modified February 26, 2019, <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=1124>.

⁵⁹⁹ *2018 Annual Report California Advanced Services Fund*, (CPUC, April 2019), https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/Utilities_and_Industries/Communications_-_Telecommunications_and_Broadband/2018%20Annual%20Report%20California%20Advanced%20Services%20Fund_April%202020.pdf.

⁶⁰⁰ "California Advanced Services Fund Background and History," *CA PUC*, accessed April 20, 2020, <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=6442457932>.

⁶⁰¹ *California Advanced Services Fund Annual Report*, (CA PUC, April 2014), 4-5, https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/Utilities_and_Industries/Communications_-_Telecommunications_and_Broadband/Reports_and_Presentations/CASFAnnualReport2013.pdf.

⁶⁰² "Public Housing Account Funding Overview," *CA PUC*, accessed April 15, 2020, <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=908>.

⁶⁰³ *2018 Annual Report California Advanced Services Fund*, (CA PUC, 2018), 42.

In 2017 the broadband adoption account was created to assist communities in offering public or after-school broadband access. Examples include projects that teach digital literacy or public education and public places providing free broadband access such communities with seniors, low-incomes, or with socioeconomic barriers.⁶⁰⁴ By 2018 it had awarded \$3.9 million.

The California Broadband council made changes to state surplus policy to try and get electronics in the hands of people who need them the most. Oakland Tech held tech fairs where people could receive computers and sign up for low cost internet. A cornerstone of California's efforts to bring the internet to all corners of the state was the creation of the California Emerging Technologies Fund in 2007 using the money provided by a court settlement.⁶⁰⁵

In 2019 the FCC awarded nearly \$14 million funds to a satellite provider who would be able to bring 25/3 service to 18,800 households in California over a 10 year period.⁶⁰⁶ As of 2019 California has offered internet speeds of 10/1 to 97.8 percent of urban households in California and 71.5percent in rural areas.⁶⁰⁷ Speeds of 25/3 are only available to half the rural areas of the state.

Finally, like other jurisdictions, the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) effectuated expanded rural broadband deployment by attaching conditions to its regulatory approval of the Sprint/T-Mobile merger, which promised increased rural broadband as a state benefit of the merger. The CPUC required the surviving carrier, as a condition of merger approval, to increase rural broadband deployment at specific levels to specific populations, at faster speeds, and at more affordable rates, while also requiring the verification of deployment and coverage through an independent 3rd-party.⁶⁰⁸

Colorado

Colorado's Governor's Office of Information Technology (OIT) is currently inactive. Established in 2009, when Colorado was awarded a grant from the NTIA. By 2011, OIT had created a searchable database of speeds in GIS with the assistance of

⁶⁰⁴ *Ibid.*, 43.

⁶⁰⁵ *Catalyst for Action*, (California Emerging Technology Fund, 2017), accessed April 14, 2020 http://www.cetfund.org/files/CETF_2017decadeAR_LP10_forweb.pdf.

⁶⁰⁶ Elaine Ingallis, "FCC Authorizes \$14M Broadband Funding for Rural California," *Government Technology*, last modified December 23, 2019, <https://www.govtech.com/network/FCC-Authorizes-14M-Broadband-Funding-for-Rural-California.html>.

⁶⁰⁷ CA PUC, California Advanced Services Fund Program Fact Sheet, last modified July 24, 2020 [https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/Utilities_and_Industries/Communications_-_Telecommunications_and_Broadband/Service_Provider_Information/California_Advanced_Services_Fund_\(CASF\)_Program/Fact%20Sheet%20.pdf](https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/Utilities_and_Industries/Communications_-_Telecommunications_and_Broadband/Service_Provider_Information/California_Advanced_Services_Fund_(CASF)_Program/Fact%20Sheet%20.pdf).

⁶⁰⁸ In the Matter of the Joint Application of Sprint Communications Company L.P. (U5112) and T Mobile USA, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, For Approval of Transfer of Control of Sprint Communications Company L.P. Pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 854(a), Application 18 07 011 (Order entered April 27, 2020), <https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M335/K378/335378035.PDF>.

providers and had two teams one focusing on mapping and one on coordinating a state broadband strategy. Since, Colorado has one of the lowest rural population densities in the nation approaching 6.8 people per square miles in some regions.⁶⁰⁹ Statewide, close to 85,000 household or 14 percent the state had did not achieve broadband speeds.⁶¹⁰ The Colorado state government has estimated that in remote regions 40 percent of the state lacks broadband access. In 2017 only 77 percent of rural households had broadband⁶¹¹

Grants from Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies started in 2016.⁶¹² Since 2016 it has awarded over \$19.6 million across 29 projects to bring broadband service to addresses serving 17,479 citizens. The grants require a project to have a two year completion time and private and nonprofit organizations must match 25 percent of the projects total cost to receive the grant.⁶¹³ The Grants are approved by Broadband Deployment Board. In 2018, a law changed funding stream of this grant program to be supported by a high cost support mechanism to a 2.6 percent surcharge on phone bills of Colorado telephone users. Since the PUC decided the land lines no longer needed to be subsidized it began to use the surcharge for broadband deployment. One limitation of this approach is that as landlines are abandoned and mobile phones increasingly use more of the bill goes for data instead of voice, the funding pool decreases.

Additionally, Colorado's Department of Local Affairs gave out \$20 million to over 40 projects and 50/50 match to local government funds to build up middle mile lines. Funding came from oil and gas royalties. Currently there are five rural electric co-ops in Colorado that are in the broadband business.⁶¹⁴

Delaware

Delaware is coordinating their broadband program through the state's Department of Technology and Information. The program started with a \$3 million grant awarded by NTIA.⁶¹⁵ The state has partnered with the University of Delaware to create local planning teams centering their efforts on local governments, small businesses, and agriculture.⁶¹⁶ Duties include identifying best practices for their community, looking at issues inhibiting

⁶⁰⁹ Community Resource Centers, *Bridging the Digital Divide, Working Document*, (January 2014), 5 https://crcamerica.org/wp-content/uploads/Bridging_the_Digital_Divide_Jan-2014.pdf.

⁶¹⁰ Tamara Chuang, "As Colorado Nears 100% Broadband Access, Funds for Rural Support Shrink," *The Colorado Sun*, last modified July 23, 2019, <https://coloradosun.com/2019/07/23/as-colorado-nears-100-broadband-access-funds-for-rural-support-shrink/>.

⁶¹¹ *Ibid.*

⁶¹² "How the Fund Works," *Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies*, accessed April 22, 2020, <https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/dora-broadband-fund/how-fund-works>.

⁶¹³ "Who Qualifies for a Broadband Fund Grant," *Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies*, accessed April 22, 2020, <https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/dora-broadband-fund/who-qualifies>.

⁶¹⁴ Chuang, "As Colorado Nears 100% Broadband Access."

⁶¹⁵ "Delaware Department of Technology and Information," *Broadband USA*, accessed August 17, 2020, <https://www2.ntia.doc.gov/grantee/delaware-department-of-technology-and-information>.

⁶¹⁶ "Broadband Planning in Delaware," University of Delaware, accessed April 20, 2020 <https://web.archive.org/web/20161030032907/https://sites.udel.edu/broadbandplanning/>.

broadband deployment and use, and what potential projects could expand deployment in these communities.⁶¹⁷ Efforts are authorized by the Broadband data improvement act.⁶¹⁸

The state's broadband development plan focuses on three stages.⁶¹⁹ First, the state installed fiber backbone that ran north to south along the western portion of the state.⁶²⁰ This led to 350 miles of new fiber optic infrastructure expanded coverage further east. Phase II Involved a rural broadband Pilot program where Delaware decided to pursue a tower based strategy instead of high cost fiber.⁶²¹

In 2019, Delaware entered its third phase with a public-private partnership (PPP) with Maryland based company Bloosurf to install routers on 14 internet towers across the state. The state will cover \$2 million of cover capital costs to deploy routers on 14 internet towers across the state. So far the project has upgraded an internet tower in Seaford and four other towers, which have currently connected 61 customers to broadband services. Ten towers remain to be overhauled.⁶²² The deal will result in Bloosurf covering 84 percent of the unserved area Delaware has targeted. The deal is expected to bring service to 127,000 homes and businesses. It will result in a minimum speeds of 15mbps in rural areas and pass through farmlands and make precision agriculture technology more feasible, potentially reaching over 2,000 farms. A 50 percent discount will be provided for low income families.⁶²³ Areas close to towers could get 50Mbps at \$150 a month, and 10mbps for \$55 a month. The State will retain ownership of the routers for seven years starting when the project is completed in 2021.

Maine

Maine has adopted a community centric approach to broadband deployment. The ConnectMe Authority (renamed Connect Maine in 2020) was established in 2006.⁶²⁴ The Authority is a public instrumentality of the State of Maine. Its planning efforts are aimed at helping communities organize themselves to attract interest from the private sector. They establish definitions for unserved and underserved areas, collect information and

⁶¹⁷ "Planning Teams," *University of Delaware*, accessed April 20, 2020, <https://web.archive.org/web/20161030033652/http://sites.udel.edu/broadbandplanning/planning-teams>.

⁶¹⁸ "State Broadband Initiative," *Broadband USA*, accessed April 24, 2020 <https://www2.ntia.doc.gov/SBDD>.

⁶¹⁹ "Delaware Rural Broadband Presentation," *Delaware Department of Technology & Information*, accessed April 20, 2020, <https://delaware.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Cascade/index.html?appid=cb13ad9a14b3473db083adc5a4f209ca&folderid=cfb6819c10d445b6b3b301bb79898a38>.

⁶²⁰ Delaware Institute of Technology, *Delaware Dept. of Tech. and Info., Statewide Information Technology Strategic Plan : 2016-2019*, <https://webfiles.dti.delaware.gov/pdfs/strategicplan/Delaware-Statewide-IT-Strategic-Plan.pdf>

⁶²¹ *Ibid.*

⁶²² "Current IT Projects," *Delaware Dept. of Tech & Info*, accessed April 21, 2020, <https://dti.delaware.gov/digital-innovation/current-it-projects/>.

⁶²³ Dani Bozzini, "Delaware Expanding Broadband in Rural Counties," *WMDT*, last modified May 30, 2019, <https://www.wmdt.com/2019/05/delaware-expanding-broadband-in-rural-counties/>.

⁶²⁴ Advanced Technology Infrastructure Act

distribute information, promote broadband service, support investment in broadband, award grants.

Each year the Board is required to designate all geographic areas of the state that meet the Maine definition of unserved and are eligible for ConnectMaine grants. They also vote on their definition of unserved after a 30 day comment period. Currently Maine matches the FCC standard of 25/3 mbps.

The federal government helped put in a Fiber backbone so the majority of the deployment needs are last mile projects. The ConnectME program offers two types of grants Planning and Infrastructure.⁶²⁵ In 2018, the State's action plan proposes the state providing 25 percent of the costs for a project to expand infrastructure. A total of 150 Million has been asked for by the program, but funds have not yet been appropriated by Maine state legislature as of 2020.

Maryland

The Maryland Office of Rural Broadband was created in the Department of Housing and Community Development by executive order in June 2017, to expand broadband capabilities statewide in underserved, rural areas of Maryland. The office administers two grant programs. The first, for broadband expansion pilot projects, offers grants of up to \$200,000 to local jurisdictions for 50% of the construction costs related to an ISP extending service to unserved households. The ISP would partner with the local jurisdiction and use their existing network to provide service. A 100% match is required. The second, the Broadband Infrastructure Network Buildout Program offers grants of between \$1 million and \$3 million to local jurisdictions or their ISP partner to construct new broadband networks to service unserved, rural households. The grant may pay for up to 50% of the capital construction costs associated with providing service to unserved, rural homes and businesses. A 100% match is required, with some exceptions.⁶²⁶ In August 2019, the governor of Maryland announced a first installment of \$9.9 million as part of a five-year, \$100 million initiative expected to benefit 225,000 Maryland residents.⁶²⁷

Massachusetts

The Massachusetts Broadband Institute was enacted in 2008 as a new division in the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative. IT was authorized to spend up to \$40 million to aid in broadband deployment.⁶²⁸ The State also used American Reinvestment and

⁶²⁵ "ConnectMaine Grants," *Maine*, accessed May 6, 2020, <https://www.maine.gov/connectme/grants>.

⁶²⁶ Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development, Office of Rural Broadband, <https://dhcd.maryland.gov/RuralBroadband/Pages/default.aspx>.

⁶²⁷ The Associated Press, "Maryland to invest nearly \$10M in rural broadband expansion," August 20, 2019, <https://wtop.com/maryland/2019/08/maryland-to-invest-nearly-10m-in-rural-broadband-expansion/>

⁶²⁸ State and Federal Legislation, *Massachusetts Broadband Institute*, "An Act Establish and Funding the MBI", accessed May 6, 2020, <https://broadband.masstech.org/sites/mbi/files/documents/who-we-are/broadband-act-signed-8408.pdf>.

Recovery Act funds in this effort.⁶²⁹ The broadband institute’s approach focuses on a regional scale of broadband deployment because they can pool their resources to organize more effectively than on a community based level. One of their main goals is seeking to engage in public partnerships and help private partners to leverage additional federal funds.

One of Massachusetts’ successful programs was the completion of a 1200 mile fiber network in 2014 that extends across the western and northern Massachusetts. The program helped reach over 400,000 households and is operated by a private partnership with Axia who acts as a broadband wholesaler.⁶³⁰ Many of the State’s initiatives focus on Last Mile programs for unserved towns, and both municipalities and ISPs are eligible for funding. An extension grant program for partially served towns by providing grants to already existing cable providers in communities.⁶³¹

Minnesota

The Minnesota Governor’s task-force on Broadband was established by executive order in 2011 and was renewed on 2019.⁶³² It is charged with identifying unmet needs and promoting promising strategies. The state’s Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED). They work with providers to create detailed maps of broadband availability and administer the Broadband infrastructure grant program.

Minnesota’s border to border development grant program was created by the state legislature in 2014.⁶³³ It is administered by DEED and holds competitive grant rounds that will award over \$20 million each year to new and existing providers. As of 2018 the program had invested over \$85 million in state broadband development and matched \$110 million in local and private resources.⁶³⁴ By 2018, The program has helped over 5,000 businesses, 3,400 households, and 300 community anchors to meet or surpass internet access of 25/3 Mbps.⁶³⁵ The state currently estimates that 90 percent of households meet its current goal, an increase of 70 percent in eight years.⁶³⁶ One of the governor’s goals is for this grant fund to be renewed by the Governor every two years and was renewed for two years in 2019.⁶³⁷ The Minnesota Rural Broadband Coalition is lobbying to make the

⁶²⁹ “State and Federal Legislation,” *Massachusetts Broadband Institute*, accessed May 6, 2020, <https://broadband.masstech.org/about-mbi/state-and-federal-legislation>.

⁶³⁰ “MassBroadband 123 Network Operations,” *Massachusetts Broadband Institute*, accessed May 6, 2020, <https://broadband.masstech.org/middle-mile-program/massbroadband-123-operations>.

⁶³¹ “Last Mile Programs,” *Massachusetts Broadband Institute*, accessed May 6, 2020, <https://broadband.masstech.org/last-mile-programs>.

⁶³² “Broadband Task Force,” *Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development*, accessed April 23, 2020, <https://mn.gov/deed/programs-services/broadband/task-force/>.

⁶³³ “Broadband Grant Program,” *Minnesota Employment and Economic Development*, accessed April 23, 2020, <https://mn.gov/deed/programs-services/broadband/grant-program/>.

⁶³⁴ *Ibid.*

⁶³⁵ *Ibid.*

⁶³⁶ *Ibid.*

⁶³⁷ “Minnesota broadband Model: Expanding Access to Broadband Statewide,” *Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development*, accessed May 7, 2020, https://mn.gov/deed/assets/bbtf-model-infographic_tcm1045-354987.pdf.

funding stable and renewed funding source.⁶³⁸ By 2026 the state statutory speed goal will rise to 100M/20 Mbps.⁶³⁹

Mississippi

Mississippi's Broadband Enabling Act was enacted in January 2019, and removed a pre-existing legal impediment to rural electric cooperatives' ability to offer broadband internet service to their members. By June 2020, nine co-ops had begun building fiber networks and another three are in the planning stage.⁶⁴⁰

Nebraska

Several government organizations are working together to coordinate their approach to broadband deployment in Nebraska. Legislatively enacted Rural Broadband Taskforce created in 2018 delivers a biennial report.⁶⁴¹ Working to help Nebraska providers be competitive in federal grants, and to expand education to state citizens about the scope and importance of the issue. The state definition of broadband speed is 25/3 mbps and have declared their intention to work toward making achievement of that minimum speed for every rural household.⁶⁴²

The Nebraska Broadband initiative is inter-agency project was funded by Public Service Commission, via a federal grant through the NTIA from 2010-2015. The state has a planning team that meets four times a year. Mapping is also a major part of their initiative.

The Nebraska legislature created an internet enhancement fund in 2002.⁶⁴³ The fund is administered by the PSC who uses a seven-member advisory board to oversee distributing the grant program and to advise the legislature on necessary changes to the program⁶⁴⁴. The fund is a source of grants to assist broadband deployment in areas that do not meet the state's definition of served. Money from public organizations in the state that lease unused fiber optic cables, sometimes called dark fiber, is used to replenish the fund.⁶⁴⁵ The state also transfers money into the fund with the latest appropriation occurring in 2018.⁶⁴⁶ Typical awards are \$50,000, though companies must have a 25 percent match to

⁶³⁸ Minnesota Rural Broadband Coalition, *Fact Sheet 2020*, accessed May 7, 2020, <http://mnruralbroadbandcoalition.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Rural-Broadband-Coalition-2020-Fact-Sheet.pdf>.

⁶³⁹ *Ibid.*

⁶⁴⁰ Jeff Pressgrove, "Mississippi's 'Broadband Revolution' Picks up Speed," *Government Technology*, last modified June 10, 2020, <https://www.governing.com/now/Mississippi-Broadband-Revolution-Picks-Up-Speed.html>.

⁶⁴¹ Nebraska Legislative Bill 994 Approved by the Governor April 17, 2018, creating the Rural Broadband Task Force, <https://nebraskalegislature.gov/FloorDocs/105/PDF/Slip/LB994.pdf>.

⁶⁴² Nebraska Revised Statute 86-110,1 <https://nebraskalegislature.gov/laws/statutes.php?statute=86-1101>.

⁶⁴³ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 86-2306.

⁶⁴⁴ 291 Neb. Admin. Code, ch. 5, § 006.

⁶⁴⁵ Nebraska Public Service Commission, *Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund Grant Pre-Applications Accepted Beginning September 1*, Press Release, (August 30, 2016), https://psc.nebraska.gov/sites/psc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/160831_NIEF_pre-app_Press_Release.pdf.

⁶⁴⁶ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 86-579.

eligible telecommunications providers who either are building infrastructure or are enhancing internet service.⁶⁴⁷

New York

Starting in 2012, the Connect NY Broadband Grant program awarded \$25 million to 18 projects.⁶⁴⁸ From 2011-14 approximately \$22.2 million was awarded in grants to 12 recipients through the state's Regional Economic Development Council. REDC provided funds to applicants whose goals meet those outlined by the group's strategic plans, for four rounds. Current state definitions for broadband speed are higher than the federal level with the underserved defined as having access to speeds below 100mbps and unserved to speeds below 25Mbps.⁶⁴⁹

Despite millions of dollars of public and private investment, in 2015 close to a third of New York residents in rural areas were considered unserved. During 2016 the state renewed its push in broadband deployment beginning the New NY Broadband program, which is the main office for the state's broadband response. The program is carried out by the Empire State Development Corporation, a public authority of New York State which contains the state Department of Economic Developments.⁶⁵⁰ The program allocated \$500 million to broadband deployment, gained from bank settlements.⁶⁵¹ The goal is to attain a download speed of 100mbps throughout the majority of New York State.

The second round of the program awarded \$212 million in an attempt to ensure that 98 percent of the state had internet access. The third and final round awarded \$230.3 million and was focused on last mile connections. The state was also able to leverage additional federal funds from the FCC's CAF. While the program promised an unprecedented sum towards connecting the states rural areas to broadband, there was difficulty in communicating to residents how the state was defining broadband, which areas would be served more, and claiming the state would fully achieve connectivity by 2018 despite the massive undertaking of the project. The requirements of phase 3 aimed to include a 50 percent match of state funds for capital costs. Projects had to provide speeds of at least 100mbps except in designated remote areas of the state. ISPs must provide documentation explaining how their technology will meet the performance goal of the region. Armstrong Telecommunications, a Butler, Pennsylvania telecommunications provider was one of the ISP's receiving grant money from this program to construct broadband in western New York. As reported earlier, Armstrong also received CAPF II and PennDOT funding for broadband expansion efforts in Erie, Mercer and Crawford Counties.

⁶⁴⁷ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 86-580.

⁶⁴⁸ "Prior Broadband Investments," *New York State Broadband Program Office*, accessed May 4, 2020, <https://nysbroadband.ny.gov/ConnectNY2012>.

⁶⁴⁹ "Frequently Asked Questions," *New York State Broadband Program Office*, accessed May 4, 2020, <https://nysbroadband.ny.gov/frequently-asked-questions>.

⁶⁵⁰ New York State Broadband Program Office, *New NY Broadband Program: Phase 3 Request for Proposal Guidelines*, March 30, 2017, https://nysbroadband.ny.gov/sites/default/files/rfp_guidelines_phase_3.pdf.

⁶⁵¹ N.Y. S 2004-C/A 3004-C.

In addition to its ambitious funding plan, New York State has passed several laws aiming to ease broadband deployment. Examples are a sales tax exemption for equipment to receive or transmit broadband.⁶⁵² To help the state increase its pool of applicants to the FCC, the state allows telephone providers to apply for federal grants without Public Service Commission approval.⁶⁵³ Finally a right of way law allows broadband lines to be buried along roads or in forested state lands after approval is gained from the appropriate departments and a public hearing is held.⁶⁵⁴

The state plans to complete its obligation to bringing broadband service to rural areas through the satellite provider Hughesnet for approximately 75,000 residents.⁶⁵⁵ The deal reached with the state provides free installation and equipment to new customers. Hughesnet will offer a special service with a monthly limit of 100 Gbs available only to residents in certain census blocks of the state. Outside those areas Hughesnet offers 20gb data plans and installation and receivers cost \$500. While the normal speed is a download speed of 25mbps, customers who exceed their data plan will see reduced download speeds drop to 1-3 mbps.⁶⁵⁶

Taking grant money from the FCC meant that broadband had to be technology neutral when considering their decisions, but New York State residents with prior experience with satellite worry about the quality of service being received, especially during unfavorable weather conditions. Satellite also comes with approximately half a second of latency which occurs when information travels the 22,000 miles between a customer's home and the satellite limiting its usefulness in real time stock trading, using a virtual private network for security, playing online multiplayer games, and video conferencing.

The state also had difficulty with some of its awardees with Frontier subsidiaries receiving a level of complaints beyond usual for a DSL provider.⁶⁵⁷ The state public service commission approved a merger between Charter Communications and Time Warner Cable under the condition it would help build out internet infrastructure in rural counties to expand service to 145,000 unserved users, and offer statewide low-income internet service, but terms of the agreement are currently in dispute. The project completion dates from the New NY broadband program are in 2021, and it is unknown how the state will continue to support broadband expansion after that time.

⁶⁵² N.Y. TAX 1115 [a] [12-a].

⁶⁵³ N.Y. PBS 101.

⁶⁵⁴ N.Y. ENV 9-2103.

⁶⁵⁵ Marie French, "Cuomo's Broadband Coverage Program will Miss 2018 Deadline," *Politico*, December 20, 2018, <https://www.politico.com/states/new-york/albany/story/2018/12/20/cuomos-broadband-coverage-program-will-miss-2018-deadline-756108>.

⁶⁵⁶ "New NY State Broadband Program Frequently Asked Questions," *Hughesnet*, accessed May 4, 2020 <https://www.hughesnet.com/node/102201>.

⁶⁵⁷ Jon Brodtkin, "Frontier Network Outages get Worse in NY, Triggering State Investigation," *Ars Technica*, last modified August 12, 2019, <https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2019/08/frontier-network-outages-get-worse-in-ny-triggering-state-investigation/>.

Tennessee

The state of Tennessee's broadband response is headed by their Department of Economic & Community Development. The state reported that overall 27 percent of the state did not have internet subscriptions, while 600,000 have no access to wired connections capable of downloading 25 mbps.⁶⁵⁸ 55 percent of households with income under \$20,000 had no internet subscriptions. In 2017 the state lifted restrictions on rural electric co-ops from providing broadband service, but current law prevents Chattanooga Electric Power Board from expanding its broadband services outside its city limits.⁶⁵⁹

One of the state's primary deployment mechanism is the Tennessee Broadband accessibility fund, established by the Legislature, which started in 2018.⁶⁶⁰ It prioritizes funds to projects that would provide a minimum of 10/1 mbps speed to locations that do not have those speeds, but areas under the 25/3 are also eligible for the fund. The program prioritizes serving the greatest number of locations over speed of service. Only fixed, terrestrial connections are eligible for the grant and middle mile projects are not currently being considered. To ensure oversight grantees report on a quarterly and yearly basis. This fund is replenished by the Legislature, and gifts from the DECD. Eligible participants include political subdivisions and their entities, corporations, and electric cooperatives.

To determine which counties should be considered for grants TDECD lists broadband ready counties and cities on their site, with a demonstrated community support and a documented needs. Becoming a broadband ready community involves adopting recommended policies to streamline the application and permit process, creating a single point of contact, implement a 30 day limit for applying or denying applications, keeping application fees under \$100, and electronic document filing. There are no seasonal moratoriums on issuing permits. Showing preference among communications providers or utilities on matters of granting access to public rights-of way, infrastructures and poles is also prohibited.⁶⁶¹

Vermont

As early as 2011 Vermont had a broadband focused Telecommunication plan outlined the states need to invest in expand it fiber and mobile wireless network, despite several limitations facing the state. It was projected that newly served communities were unlikely to have high adoption rate and the low population density would be a deterrent to private investment. Geographic barriers and costs of constructing middle-mile

⁶⁵⁸ TNECD, *Broadband By the Numbers*, accessed May 20, 2020,

https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/ecd/documents/broadband/Broadband_ROI_One-Sheet.pdf.

⁶⁵⁹ Andy Sher, "Tennessee Governor Proposes Rural Broadband Initiative," *Government Technology*, last modified January 27, 2017, <https://www.govtech.com/network/Tennessee-Governor-Proposes-Rural-Broadband-Initiative.html>.

⁶⁶⁰ Tennessee Senate Bill 1215, <https://publications.tnsosfiles.com/acts/110/pub/pc0228.pdf>.

⁶⁶¹Board of Commissioners of Rutherford County, *Resolution of the Board of Commissioners of Rutherford County, Tennessee in Support of Rutherford County being Designated a "Broadband Ready Community*, May 16, 2019, <http://rcsharepoint.rutherfordcountyttn.go>.

infrastructure were also noted. In response to these issues the state. The state draws on its universal service fund for broadband investment.

The Department of Public Service is an executive branch department responsible for overseeing the state's broadband expansion efforts through the division of telecommunications and connectivity. An eight member telecommunications and connectivity advisory board held its first meeting in 2015 makes recommendations to the Commissioner of Public Service.

Virginia

Virginia's Office of Telework Promotion and Broadband Assistance located within the Information Technologies Agency was created by statute 2006 and is set to expire in 2021.⁶⁶² Although the office was primarily focused on telecommuting, it also assisted localities in bringing affordable broadband expansion to unserved areas of the state was a point of coordination for broadband-related services, and advised the secretary on broadband issues. Reviewing the Agency's website indicates that it has shifted away from this purpose in recent years. In 2018, the position of Commonwealth Broadband Chief Advisor was created in the office of the Secretary of Commerce and Trade, to serve as a single point of contact on broadband policy matters.⁶⁶³ In 2020, the office of the Secretary of Technology was repealed and the Information Technologies Agency was moved under the jurisdiction of the newly created Secretary of Administration.⁶⁶⁴

The state's Center for Innovative Technology is another player in the state's broadband response. CIT's broadband program created the state's first maps, hosts a broadband advisory council for the governor, and offers consulting services for local communities.⁶⁶⁵

The Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development plays a major role in the state's broadband expansion. The department offers "community development block grants" for both planning and community improvement purposes. As part of their community economic development fund in 2020 a limited amount of funding for infrastructure which includes broadband is set aside within Job Creation and Retention initiative. In the Comprehensive community development projects are eligible for up to \$1.25-\$1.4 million depending on the number of significant activities undertaken and an additional \$250,000 is provided for CCD projects focusing on broadband, provided they partner with an existing broadband provider, and demonstrate that the project area is unserved 10/1 mbps or less for service areas that have less than 10 percent overlap with other providers.

⁶⁶² Va. Code § 2.2-225.1, repealed by Chapter 738, approved April 6, 2020.

⁶⁶³ Va. Code § 2.2-205.2.

⁶⁶⁴ Va. Code § 2.2-203.

⁶⁶⁵ "CIT Residential & Business Broadband," *Connecticut Institute of Technology*, accessed April 18, 2020 <https://www.cit.org/broadband.html>.

The DHCD also administers the Virginia Telecom Initiative (VATI) which announced in January of 2020 that it would fund 12 projects. The program matches funds from local/private organizations. The State claims that going forward the appropriation of \$19 million in 2019 would be provided annually with an additional \$16 million being proposed in 2020. The program is aimed at last mile services in unserved areas. Selection is determined through a competitive process with cost, need, community benefits and capacity. How much is awarded depend on the needs of the process. Reviewing 2020 grantees showed that many of the projects received amounts near \$300,000, \$800,000 or \$2 million.

Wisconsin

The Wisconsin broadband office within the state Public Service Commission, leads the state's broadband response. The office is in charge of mapping initiatives, administers programs which promotes the work communities have done to streamlined local rules to become broadband ready and those that are have shown committed to increasing telecommuting. The offices most important function is to run their grant program.

To tackle the state's lack of connectivity, the Wisconsin's Broadband expansion grant was created by state legislation in 2013.⁶⁶⁶ By 2019 Wisconsin had awarded over \$20.1 million to 138 grant projects in the grant program, but the state still was behind the national average for internet access with 8.7 percent of the population without internet.⁶⁶⁷ Until recently, the grant fund was filled only with federal E-rate and Universal Service funds, but in 2020 Governor Evers announced the state had appropriated an additional to \$24 million of general purpose revenue to the grants to try and achieve 25/3Mbps for all Wisconsin homes and businesses by 2025. State speeds are set in statute in the 2019 budget. The grant is not exclusively fiber-based although to date it has been used on more fiber projects than any other type of technology and the second highest has been fixed wireless systems providing internet through an antenna.

To be eligible for the grant, an applicant must be a for-profit or nonprofit organization, telecom utility, or a public entity with a partnership with one of the previous groups. It should be noted that municipally-owned telecom providers are available to receive the grant and are assessed no differently by application process that a privately owned telecom provider. Under Wisconsin law, no distinction is made between competitive local exchange carriers and incumbent local exchange carriers.

The second criterion is a requirement on the project going toward underserved areas, places with fewer than two providers providing speeds of 25/3Mbps. Definitions currently do not include satellite broadband or mobile radio service. While the state uses FCC data for its mapping process, they take account the limitations involved with Form 477 being attached to a census block. Because of this applicants proposing projects in

⁶⁶⁶ Chris Hubbuch, "Wisconsin Devotes More than \$45M for Broadband Expansion," *Governing*, last modified March 24, 2020, <https://www.governing.com/finance/Wisconsin-Devotes-More-Than-45M-for-Broadband-Expansion.html>.

⁶⁶⁷ *Ibid.*

served areas of the state's broadband map who can prove that their service area is underserved by providing supporting documentation are considered.⁶⁶⁸ The state's definition of unserved is an area that does not have at least one provider that offers 5mbps download and 600 upload on a fixed wireless or wired service.

The state Public Service Commission selects the projects to be funded with a review panel. Applications chosen are based on merit based and on how well they fulfill seven priorities listed in state statute.⁶⁶⁹ Grantees have a 2-year period to finish a project before funds revert to the state although this deadline can be extended with good reason.⁶⁷⁰

Another more specialized grant offered by the state is the TEACH infrastructure program in the Department of Administration.⁶⁷¹ These grants help schools and libraries in rural areas develop online curriculum. The state also launched a discount internet finder on the Office of Broadband website that helps citizens of Wisconsin find the best deals on broadband. Similar to the federal lifeline program, the state used \$5.3 million of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families to subsidize internet for low income families. The state will reimburse the internet service providers who are a part of this program.

The state is dedicated to focusing its resources across multiple agencies on addressing lack of internet in specific areas to help the resources build off each other and leveraging federal funds to try and meet its goal of universal broadband access by 2025.

State Legislative Enactments and Developments in 2020

Alaska

In 2020, Alaska increased funding to school districts that qualify for the discounted rate for Internet services under the federal universal services program to an amount that allows the district to reach 25 Mbps download speed.⁶⁷²

Arizona

Arizona passed a law in 2020 that authorizes the formation of electric cooperatives to provide broadband service.⁶⁷³

⁶⁶⁸ Wisconsin Broadband Office, *Broadband Expansion Program: Frequently Asked Questions*, p 7, accessed April 23, 2020.

<https://psc.wi.gov/Documents/broadband/Frequently%20Asked%20Questions%20regarding%20the%20Broadband%20Expansion%20Grant%20Program%20FY20.pdf>.

⁶⁶⁹ Wis. Stat. § 196.504 (2013 through Act 380)..

⁶⁷⁰ Wisconsin Broadband Office, *Broadband Expansion Program*, 11.

⁶⁷¹ Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, *Wisconsin Broadband Plan, 2019*, accessed May 3, 2020, <https://psc.wi.gov/Documents/broadband/Wisconsin%20Broadband%20Plan%202019.pdf>.

⁶⁷² Alaska Senate Bill 74, Chapter 5, signed by the governor March 25, 2020.

⁶⁷³ Arizona Senate Bill 1460, Chapter 84, signed by the governor, June 5, 2020.

Arkansas

Funds were appropriated fund to the University of Arkansas for the Medical Sciences Institute for Digital Health in 2020 for rural broadband grants, to assist entities in accessing federal funding for further rural broadband deployment.⁶⁷⁴

Florida

The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity was designated as the lead state agency to facilitate expansion of broadband service, and created the Florida Office of Broadband within the department in a statute enacted in 2020. Enactment also provided for the expenditure of State Transportation Trust Fund monies to develop broadband infrastructure projects within or adjacent to multi-use transportation corridors.⁶⁷⁵

Indiana

Indiana amended its Rural Telephone Cooperative Act to rename it as the Rural Communications Cooperative Act, to allow the formation of nonprofit cooperative corporations for the purposes of providing telecommunications service and information service, including video service, broadband service, and VOIP service.⁶⁷⁶

Kansas

Legislation enacted in Kansas in 2020 authorizes the Secretary of Transportation, working jointly with the Office of Broadband Development within the Department of Commerce, to make grants for construction projects that expand and improve broadband service in Kansas. The bill requires grants made by the Secretary to reimburse grant recipients for up to 50 percent of actual construction costs in expanding and improving broadband service. The statute established the Broadband Infrastructure Construction Grant Fund, to be used to provide grants for the expansion of broadband service in Kansas. The grant program is scheduled to receive transfers from the State Highway Fund of \$5 million July 1, 2020, 2021, and 2022. On July 1, 2023, and each July 1 thereafter, through July 1, 2030, transfers will increase to \$10 million. Annually, unused funds revert back to the State Highway Fund.⁶⁷⁷

Kentucky

Kentucky created the Broadband Deployment Fund in the in the State Treasury, to be administered by the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority. The fund is dedicated solely to provide grant funds to governmental agencies and private sector entities to construct

⁶⁷⁴ Arkansas Senate Bill 42, Act 139, signed by the governor April 20, 2020....

⁶⁷⁵ Florida House Bill 969, Chapter 2020-26, signed by the governor June 9, 2020.

⁶⁷⁶ Indiana Senate Bill 343, Public Law 81, signed by the governor March 18, 2020.

⁶⁷⁷ Kansas Senate Bill 173, signed by the governor April 2, 2020.

infrastructure for the deployment of broadband service to households and businesses in underserved or unserved areas of Kentucky.⁶⁷⁸

Maryland

Maryland enacted the Rural Broadband for the Eastern Shore Act of 2020, to empower the members of Choptank Electric Cooperative to regulate themselves and provide economically efficient broadband Internet service as a member-regulated electric cooperative.⁶⁷⁹

Michigan

Michigan passed legislation in 2020 to improve pole attachment access. The law states that a member-regulated cooperative electric utility must provide a video service provider, broadband provider, wireless provider, or any telecommunication provider with nondiscriminatory access to its poles upon just and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions for their attachments.⁶⁸⁰

Missouri

Missouri enacted legislation in 2020 to grant neighborhood improvement districts and community improvement districts the power to partner with telecommunications companies or broadband service providers in order to construct or improve telecommunications facilities. Additionally, the statute imposed forfeiture conditions on grant funding for rural broadband access when the recipient fails to achieve its promised goals. Finally, the legislation extended the state broadband Internet grant program for unserved and underserved areas of the state will until June 30, 2027.⁶⁸¹

New Mexico

Three entities in New Mexico were the recipients of \$23 million in USDA Reconnect pilot program grants to expand broadband service to unserved and underserved areas in rural New Mexico. The projects are expected to add 817 miles of fiber to provide service to over 2,200 households.⁶⁸²

Oklahoma

The Rural Broadband Expansion Council was created by legislation in 2020. It directed to conduct a study of rural broadband access in Oklahoma. The study is to included and analysis of geographic areas in the state, create a mapping system, provide an

⁶⁷⁸ Kentucky House Bill 362, Act 72, signed by the governor March 30, 2020.

⁶⁷⁹ Maryland House Bill 999, Chapter 606, became law without the governor's signature May 8, 2020.

⁶⁸⁰ Michigan House Bill 5266, Public Act 61, signed by the governor March 10, 2020.

⁶⁸¹ Missouri House Bill 1768, signed by the governor July 2, 2020.

⁶⁸² Scott Turner, "USDA to Invest \$23M in New Mexico's Broadband," *Albuquerque Journal*, last modified May 6, 2020, <https://www.abqjournal.com/1451763/usda-to-spend-23m-to-expand-broadband-in-nm.html>.

analysis of financial viability, make recommendations, and submit an annual report by January 31.⁶⁸³

South Dakota

The legislature appropriated \$5 million for rural broadband expansion across South Dakota. Any unused funding will revert back to the state general fund on June 30, 2021.⁶⁸⁴

Virginia

Virginia enacted several pieces of legislation in the spring of 2020 to expand broadband access. These include provision for the use of easements of electric and communication facilities to provide or expand broadband;⁶⁸⁵ the creation of a pilot program by which electric utilities can lease access to third-party wholesalers to provide broadband connectivity;⁶⁸⁶ and the authorization of any 501(c)(4) social welfare organization to obtain a land use permit from the Department of Transportation to use rights-of-way to operate a wholesale open-access fiber network.⁶⁸⁷

West Virginia

Management and regulation of “vertical real estate,” defined as any structure suitable for mounting communications equipment was one topic of legislative enactment in West Virginia in 2020.⁶⁸⁸

West Virginia also established the Middle-mile fiber Broadband Infrastructure Expansion Program to allow regulated electric utilities to construct middle-mile fiber broadband assets within the power supply zone utilizing existing and new electric utility distribution assets.⁶⁸⁹

Wisconsin

Wisconsin imposes a tax on the real property and tangible personal property of telephone companies. A March 2020 enactment provides an exemption from this tax for any “qualified broadband service property” which includes any tangible personal property used to provide Internet access service to the rural or underserved areas that are at least a

⁶⁸³ Oklahoma House Bill 4018, Chapter 165 and Senate Bill 1002, Chapter 167, vetoed by the governor with the veto overridden May 22, 2020.

⁶⁸⁴ South Dakota House Bill 1189, signed by the governor March 27, 2020.

⁶⁸⁵ Virginia House Bill 831, Chapter 1132 and Senate Bill 794, Chapter 1131, signed by the governor April 10, 2020.

⁶⁸⁶ Virginia House Bill 1280, Chapter 752, signed by the governor April 6, 2020.

⁶⁸⁷ Virginia House Bill 1271, Chapter 1026 and Senate Bill 792, Chapter 1027, signed by the governor April 10, 2020.

⁶⁸⁸ West Virginia House Bill 4015, Act 36, signed by the governor March 25, 2020.

⁶⁸⁹ West Virginia House Bill 4619, Act 37, signed by the governor March 25, 2020.

download speed of 25 megabits per second and an upload speed of 3 megabits per second.⁶⁹⁰

| Table 3 | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| States with Broadband Plans and Dedicated Funding | | | | |
| State | Program | Structure | Authorization | Funding Source |
| Alabama | Broadband Initiative Office | Dept. of Economic and Community Affairs | 2015 Executive Order 9 Established 2017 Executive Order 704 reorganized | State Legislature Appropriation Alabama Broadband Accessibility Fund ⁶⁹¹ |
| California | California Advanced Services Fund | Public Utilities Commission Executive Office | PUC: Rulemaking 06-06-028 ⁶⁹² Altered by subsequent Legislative Action ⁶⁹³ | California Advanced Services Fund is a PUC funded grant program Teleconnect Fund |
| Colorado | Broadband Deployment Board | Dept. of Regulatory Agencies | General Assembly HB14-1328 ⁶⁹⁴ | Phone Bill Surcharge Colorado High Cost Support Mechanism Broadband Deployment Fund |
| Delaware | DTI Broadband Grant Review Committee | Dept. of Technology and Information | General Assembly 147 HB 96 | Multiple-year State Legislative Appropriations ⁶⁹⁵ Phase II initiative ⁶⁹⁶ |

⁶⁹⁰ Wisconsin Assembly Bill 244, Act 128, signed by the governor March 3, 2020.

⁶⁹¹ Alabama Department of Community and Economic Affairs, Broadband Alabama, <https://adeca.alabama.gov/Divisions/energy/broadband/Pages/default.aspx>

⁶⁹² Order Instituting Rulemaking into the Review of the California High Cost Fund B Program, Decision 07-12-054 December 20, 2007, http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/FINAL_DECISION/76947.htm

⁶⁹³ California Assembly Bill No. 1665, Chapter 851, signed by the governor, October 15, 2017.

⁶⁹⁴ 2014 Chapter 173 (Colo. Rev. Stat. §40-15-509.5).

⁶⁹⁵ Delaware General Assembly 147 HB 96.

⁶⁹⁶ "Delaware Broadband Grant Opportunity," *Delaware.gov*, accessed August 18, 2020, https://dti.delaware.gov/information/vendors_broadband.shtml.

| Table 3 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| States with Broadband Plans and Dedicated Funding | | | | |
| State | Program | Structure | Authorization | Funding Source |
| Maine | ConnectME Authority | Dept. of Economic and Community Development ⁶⁹⁷ | State Legislature ⁶⁹⁸ | Telecom Surcharge ⁶⁹⁹ |
| Massachusetts | Mass Broadband Institute | Massachusetts Technology Collaborative | State Legislation ⁷⁰⁰ | up to \$40 million in bonds for infrastructure authorized |
| Minnesota | Office of Broadband Development | Dept. of Employment and Economic Development | State Legislation ⁷⁰¹ | State legislature ⁷⁰² (Currently unfunded) |
| Nebraska | Nebraska Information Technology Commission | Nebraska Public Service Commission | Originally Executive Order, then through State legislative action ⁷⁰³ | Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund ⁷⁰⁴⁷⁰⁵ Nebraska Universal Service Fund ⁷⁰⁶ |
| New York | NYS Broadband Program Office | Empire State Development | New NY Broadband Program ⁷⁰⁷ | Funded by court settlements from banking industry |

⁶⁹⁷ Advanced Technology Infrastructure Act

⁶⁹⁸ Maine PL 2015, c. 284, § 4.

⁶⁹⁹ Maine PL 2019, c. 343, Pt. SSSS, § 3.

⁷⁰⁰ Broadband Act on August 4, 2008. Chapter 231 of the Acts of 2008,.

⁷⁰¹ Office of Broadband Development established,

<https://www.revisor.mn.gov/laws/2013/0/Session+Law/Chapter/85/#laws.3.13.0>

⁷⁰² 2019 Minnesota Statute, CHAPTER 116J. Employment and Economic Development.

⁷⁰³ Nebraska Information Technology Commission, State Government Council Charter,

https://nitc.nebraska.gov/state_gov_council/documents/charter.pdf

⁷⁰⁴ Nebraska Rev. Statute, 86-579.

⁷⁰⁵ Nebraska.gov, *Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund Program Description and Grant Application Guidelines*, accessed August 18, 2020,

https://psc.nebraska.gov/sites/psc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/NIEF_App_Guidelines.pdf.

⁷⁰⁶ Nebraska Revised Statute 86-324.

⁷⁰⁷ “The New NY Broadband Program,” *New York State*, accessed August 18, 2020,

<https://nysbroadband.ny.gov/about>.

| Table 3 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| States with Broadband Plans and Dedicated Funding | | | | |
| State | Program | Structure | Authorization | Funding Source |
| Tennessee | Tennessee Broadband Initiative | Dept. of Economic and Community Development | TN Broadband Accessibility Act ⁷⁰⁸ | Broadband Accessibility Grant ⁷⁰⁹ |
| Vermont | Division for Telecommunications and Connectivity | Dept. of Public Services | State Legislature ⁷¹⁰ | Connectivity Fund inside of the Vermont Universal Service Fund ^{711 712} |
| Virginia | Multi Agency Effort Commonwealth Connect - | -- | -- | Tobacco Commission Broadband program DHCD administered VATI program |
| Wisconsin | Broadband Office | Public Service Commission | Broadband Expansion Grant Program ⁷¹³ | Universal Service Fund Nonprofit Grant Program ⁷¹⁴ |

⁷⁰⁸ Tennessee Code § 4-3-708 et seq.

⁷⁰⁹ “Tennessee Broadband Accessibility Grant,” *Tennessee Department of Economic & Community Development*, accessed August 18, 2020, <https://www.tn.gov/ecd/rural-development/tennessee-broadband-grant-initiative/tnecd-broadband-accessibility-grant.html>.

⁷¹⁰ Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 30, §201 et seq.

⁷¹¹ 30 V.S.A. § 7516.

⁷¹² “Vermont Universal Service Fund,” *State of Vermont Department of Public Service*, accessed August 18, 2020, <https://publicservice.vermont.gov/telecom/vusf>.

⁷¹³ Wisc. Stat. § 196-504.

⁷¹⁴ “USF Nonprofit Grant Program,” *PSC of Wisconsin*, accessed August 18, 2020, <https://psc.wi.gov/Pages/Programs/NonProfitGrants.aspx>.

DATA AND MAPPING

The Federal Communications Commission's reports on broadband deployment contain a large amount of useful data regarding the availability of broadband service, as reported by Internet service providers (ISPs). These providers are self-evidently the primary source of where their networks can be found. However, that data can be aggregated and interpreted in ways that can be misinterpreted or misconstrued. An area of major contention is the reliability of the maps created based on that data that are used to identify where broadband service can be found.

The FCC's maps represent the supply side of broadband service. That is to say, they represent where Internet service purportedly can be found. The FCC maps identify areas where service is available on the basis of census blocks, an artificial boundary delineation created by the U.S. Census Bureau every 10 years. Boundaries can include roads, streams, railroad tracks, property lines, municipal limits and short line-of-sight extensions of roads. In urban areas, they are generally small, and resemble a city block bounded on all sides by streets. In rural areas, they can be large, irregular, and bounded by features such as roads, streams, transmission lines and in remote areas, can encompass hundreds of square miles. Even some of Pennsylvania's geographically smaller counties include dozens of census blocks.⁷¹⁵ If even one provider has service available in a census block, the entire block is identified as having broadband service. That one provider may be located in a block where lines do not reach more remote areas, or the distance from the physical location to the provider is so attenuated, that it leads to quality and reliability issues.

The National Association of Counties coordinated a test of cellular and fixed wireless download speeds in 2,391 counties⁷¹⁶, approximately 78 percent of the total nationwide. The test was conducted from March 1, 2019 to February 6, 2020. The report indicated that 65 percent of counties tested were experiencing cellular and fixed wireless download speeds of less than the FCC standard. Average cellular connections were below

⁷¹⁵ U.S. Census Bureau, "What are census blocks?" <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/blogs/random-samplings/2011/07/what-are-census-blocks.html>, accessed February 11, 2020.

⁷¹⁶ The United States has 3,142 counties and county equivalents in the 50 states and District of Columbia. Another 100 county equivalents can be found in the U.S. Territories. United States Census Bureau, Terms and Definitions. "Counties are the primary legal divisions of most states. Most counties are functioning governmental units, whose powers and functions vary from state to state. In Louisiana, these primary divisions are known as parishes. In Alaska, the county equivalents consist of legally organized boroughs, municipalities, and "census areas" delineated for statistical purposes by the State of Alaska and the Census Bureau (since 1980). In four states (Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia), one or more cities are independent of any county organization and thus constitute primary divisions of their states; the Census Bureau refers to these places as 'independent cities' and treats them as the equivalents of counties for statistical purposes. The District of Columbia has no primary divisions and the jurisdiction is treated as the equivalent of a county. In Puerto Rico, "municipios" are the primary divisions and treated as county equivalents for statistical purposes." <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/guidance-geographies/terms-and-definitions.html>.

the FCC standards in 76 percent of the counties, while fixed wireless connections were below the FCC standards in 59.6 percent of the counties. Across the board, the smallest counties, with populations of 1-50,000, had the poorest performances, with an average of more than three-fourths of the counties receiving downloads at less than the FCC standard.⁷¹⁷

Like many states, Georgia determined that the FCC maps did not provide enough specificity as to where unserved and underserved areas of the state were to be found. Beginning in May 2019, as part of a broader project established in 2018 and entitled the Georgia Broadband Deployment Initiative, the state and ISP providers worked together to produce a map that identified individual homes and businesses that do not have Internet access. The new map, launched July 1, 2020, is intended to help guide investment in expanding broadband access to the more remote corners of Georgia.⁷¹⁸ The map covers all 159 counties in the state, which, according to the U.S. Census Bureau's July 1, 2019 estimates, has a population 10.6 million, including 3.7 million households. In comparison, Pennsylvania's July 1, 2019 population estimate is 12.8 million, including 5.7 million households.⁷¹⁹

On March 23, 2020, the federal Broadband Deployment Accuracy and Technological Availability (DATA) Act was signed by President Trump. The bill is intended to improve the accuracy and availability of broadband data collected by the FCC. The act will:

- Require the FCC to collect granular service availability data from wired, fixed wireless, and satellite broadband providers.
- Set strong parameters for service availability data collected from mobile broadband providers to ensure accuracy.
- Permit the FCC to consider whether to collect verified coverage data from state, local, and tribal governments, as well as from other entities.
- Create a process for consumers; state, local, and tribal governments; and other groups to challenge FCC maps with their own data, and require the FCC to determine how to structure that process without making it overly burdensome on challengers.
- Establish a crowdsourcing process that will allow the public to participate in data collection.

⁷¹⁷ National Association of Counties, "Understanding the True State of Connectivity in America," February 2020. <https://www.naco.org/sites/default/files/documents/Understanding-the-True-State-of-Connectivity-in-America.pdf>.

⁷¹⁸ Ry Marcattilio-McCracken, "Georgia Launches Trailblazing Broadband Availability Map," Institute for Local Self-Reliance, July 9, 2020, <https://ilsr.org/georgia-launches-trailblazing-internet-access-map/>; *see also* Georgia Department of Community Affairs, Georgia Broadband Deployment Initiative website, <https://broadband.georgia.gov/>.

⁷¹⁹ U.S. Census Bureau, Quick Facts, <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/GA,PA,US/PST045219>.

- Strengthen enforcement against providers that knowingly or recklessly submit materially inaccurate broadband data.
- Require the FCC to use the newly-created maps when making new awards of broadband funding.⁷²⁰

Many challenges have arisen to the FCC’s coverage maps. This, in large part, has been because of the reliance on provider generated information and the use of census blocks.

In 2019, the Center for Rural Pennsylvania sponsored a study by Pennsylvania State University to evaluate broadband availability and access in rural Pennsylvania. That study evaluated 11 million speed tests performed in Pennsylvania in 2018 and found that that median speeds in most areas of the state did not meet FCC requirements. In no county were the FCC required speeds received by more than 50 percent of the populace.⁷²¹ Additionally, speeds were found to be substantially slower in rural counties as opposed to urban counties. In reviewing data from prior tests conducted between 2014 and 2017, the authors also found that the difference between the FCC reported availability and the speed tests was growing substantially in rural areas, but not in urban areas, further exacerbating the “digital divide.”⁷²²

However, at the time of the release of this report, the FCC’s data and mapping are what is available, and is being used. For example, the Penn State Extension office has released a map intended to help potential bidders in the Rural Development Opportunity FCC auction. Using FCC census block level data, the map represents blocks that have been deemed eligible for assistance from the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund auction and their respective ‘best service available,’ as listed by the FCC. The map also includes reserve prices at the census block group level, as well as the number of eligible sites within the block group. Existing structure, transmission lines, substation, and tower data are also included. PSU Extension expects to update the map as needed for future auctions.⁷²³ Similarly, PSU Extension has released regional maps displaying broadband availability for public school districts in the Northeast, Northwest, Southeast and Southwest regionals of the Commonwealth. These maps do not contain as much detailed financial and support information as provided in the earlier map, and are limited to public school districts and households within those districts.⁷²⁴

⁷²⁰ “Bill to Improve Broadband Data Maps Signed Into Law,” Press Release, Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, March 23, 2020, <https://www.commerce.senate.gov/2020/3/bill-to-improve-broadband-data-maps-signed-into-law>.

⁷²¹ Sascha D. Meinrath et al., “Broadband Availability and Access in Rural Pennsylvania,” The Center for Rural Pennsylvania, June 2019, at 8.

⁷²² *Ibid.*

⁷²³ The Pennsylvania State University, State Extension Office, Pennsylvania Broadband Map, <https://extension.psu.edu/pennsylvania-broadband-map>.

⁷²⁴ The Pennsylvania State University, State Extension Office, Pennsylvania Office of Rural Health, “Mapping Project Identifies Broadband Accessibility for Pennsylvania School Districts,” <https://www.porh.psu.edu/mapping-project-identifies-broadband-accessibility-for-pennsylvania-school-districts/>.

For purposes of this study, the FCC data forms the basis for attempting to determine where unserved and underserved areas of the Commonwealth exist. The remainder of this chapter evaluates coverage and availability on the basis of the FCC’s 2020 report, representing the state of the field as of December 31, 2018. That report (and the FCC maps show) that 100 percent of Pennsylvania has access to the minimum FCC standard for mobile broadband service, and 95.4 percent of the state has access to the minimum FCC standard for fixed broadband service in the aggregate.⁷²⁵ By drilling down by one layer, the table below indicates that the FCC data for statewide, fixed broadband service in rural areas reveals coverage at 84.8 percent, not the nearly 100 percent presumed at first glance.

| Table 4 | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Percent of Population with Broadband Access By Speed and Type Pennsylvania, 2018 | | | |
| Speed/Type | Urban | Rural | Statewide Aggregate |
| Fixed 25 Mbps/3 Mbps | 98.2% | 84.8% | 95.4% |
| Mobile LTE 5 Mbps/1 Mbps | 100% | 99.8% | 100% |
| Source: FCC 2020 Report, Appendix 1, p.3. | | | |

Drilling down another layer, to the county level, the divide between rural and urban broadband service availability becomes more evident. The Center for Rural Pennsylvania identifies a rural county as one that has a population density that is less than 284 persons per square mile.⁷²⁶ The CRP identifies 48 of Pennsylvania’s 67 counties as rural under this definition. The table below provides the FCC 2018 data on the speed and type of broadband access in these counties.

⁷²⁵ “Inquiry Concerning Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Capability to All Americans in a Reasonable and Timely Fashion,” 2020 Broadband Deployment Report (FCC 2020 Report), Federal Communications Commission, FCC 20-50, Appendix 1, p.3, adopted April 20, 2020, released April 24, 2020, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-20-50A2.pdf>.

⁷²⁶ Center for Rural Pennsylvania, “Rural/Urban PA,” https://www.rural.palegislature.us/rural_urban.html.

| Table 5 | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Percent of Population with Broadband Access | | | |
| By Speed and Type | | | |
| Pennsylvania Rural Counties, 2018 | | | |
| County | Speed/Type | Urban | Rural |
| Adams | Fixed | 98.1% | 90.9 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.7 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 98.1 | 90.7 |
| Armstrong | Fixed | 99.3 | 90.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.7 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.3 | 90.5 |
| Bedford | Fixed | 96.8 | 70.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.5 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 96.8 | 70.2 |
| Blair | Fixed | 89.0 | 74.8 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.3 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 89.0 | 74.5 |
| Bradford | Fixed | 99.4 | 56.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.4 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.4 | 56.5 |
| Butler | Fixed | 97.8 | 94.2 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 97.8 | 94.2 |
| Cambria | Fixed | 94.3 | 91.2 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 94.3 | 91.2 |
| Cameron | Fixed | 99.2 | 88.0 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 80.4 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.2 | 75.7 |
| Carbon | Fixed | 96.7 | 98.1 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 96.7 | 98.1 |
| Centre | Fixed | 99.4 | 79.9 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.9 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.4 | 79.8 |
| Clarion | Fixed | 93.3 | 81.8 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.5 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 93.3 | 81.3 |
| Clearfield | Fixed | 86.7 | 75.1 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 86.7 | 75.1 |
| Clinton | Fixed | 96.7 | 85.0 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.9 |

| Table 5 | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Percent of Population with Broadband Access | | | |
| By Speed and Type | | | |
| Pennsylvania Rural Counties, 2018 | | | |
| County | Speed/Type | Urban | Rural |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 96.7 | 85.0 |
| Columbia | Fixed | 87.5 | 66.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 87.5 | 66.6 |
| Crawford | Fixed | 95.1 | 68.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 95.1 | 68.6 |
| Elk | Fixed | 99.6 | 93.0 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.4 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.6 | 92.5 |
| Fayette | Fixed | 89.7 | 86.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 89.7 | 86.6 |
| Forest | Fixed | - | 72.2 |
| | Mobile | - | 99.8 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | - | 72.2 |
| Franklin | Fixed | 98.2 | 82.9 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.6 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 98.2 | 82.9 |
| Fulton | Fixed | - | 44.3 |
| | Mobile | - | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | - | 44.3 |
| Greene | Fixed | 87.7 | 75.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 95.7 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 87.7 | 73.8 |
| Huntingdon | Fixed | 97.1 | 75.9 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 98.7 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 97.1 | 75.3 |
| Indiana | Fixed | 99.6 | 71.2 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.6 | 71.2 |
| Jefferson | Fixed | 96.4 | 83.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.7 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 96.4 | 83.4 |
| Juniata | Fixed | 98.0 | 81.8 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.9 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 98.0 | 81.8 |
| Lawrence | Fixed | 97.2 | 93.8 |

| Table 5 | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Percent of Population with Broadband Access | | | |
| By Speed and Type | | | |
| Pennsylvania Rural Counties, 2018 | | | |
| County | Speed/Type | Urban | Rural |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 97.2 | 93.8 |
| Lycoming | Fixed | 99.5 | 77.5 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.2 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.5 | 76.9 |
| McKean | Fixed | 97.3 | 79.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.8 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 97.3 | 79.7 |
| Mercer | Fixed | 98.0 | 82.5 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 98.0 | 82.5 |
| Mifflin | Fixed | 99.8 | 88.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.3 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.8 | 88.0 |
| Monroe | Fixed | 99.7 | 97.8 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.7 | 97.8 |
| Montour | Fixed | 91.8 | 54.8 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 91.8 | 54.8 |
| Northumberland | Fixed | 92.2 | 72.1 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 92.2 | 72.1 |
| Perry | Fixed | 100 | 85.5 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 100 | 85.5 |
| Pike | Fixed | 100 | 98.4 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 100 | 98.4 |
| Potter | Fixed | - | 69.2 |
| | Mobile | - | 99.6 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | - | 69.2 |
| Schuylkill | Fixed | 95.4 | 87.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 95.4 | 87.7 |
| Snyder | Fixed | 84.2 | 63.4 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 84.2 | 63.4 |

| Table 5 | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Percent of Population with Broadband Access By Speed and Type Pennsylvania Rural Counties, 2018 | | | |
| County | Speed/Type | Urban | Rural |
| Somerset | Fixed | 99.6 | 77.2 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.6 | 77.2 |
| Sullivan | Fixed | - | 33.1 |
| | Mobile | - | 97.5 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | - | 33.1 |
| Susquehanna | Fixed | 21.2 | 58.9 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 21.2 | 58.9 |
| Tioga | Fixed | 100 | 84.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.6 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 100 | 84.2 |
| Union | Fixed | 68.4 | 75.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 68.4 | 75.6 |
| Venango | Fixed | 99.7 | 83.7 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 99.7 | 83.7 |
| Warren | Fixed | 94.1 | 54.6 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.4 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 94.1 | 54.6 |
| Washington | Fixed | 97.9 | 85.4 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 100 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 97.9 | 85.4 |
| Wayne | Fixed | 95.4 | 60.4 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.9 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 95.4 | 60.4 |
| Wyoming | Fixed | 97.4 | 87.3 |
| | Mobile | 100 | 99.8 |
| | Fixed and Mobile | 97.4 | 86.2 |
| Source: FCC 2020 Report, Appendix 5, pp. 158-160. | | | |

As the above table indicates, Forest, Fulton, Potter and Sullivan Counties have no urban areas within the counties. Excluding those counties, urban fixed coverage in the remaining 40 rural counties ranges between 20 and 100 percent, with all counties reporting mobile coverage at 100 percent. Thirty-two counties' fixed urban coverage ranges between 90 and 100 percent. Six counties, Blair, Clearfield, Columbia, Fayette, Greene, and

Snyder, report fixed urban coverage in the 80 to 89.9 percent range. The two counties with the lowest rates of fixed urban coverage are Susquehanna at 21.2 percent and Union at 68.4 percent.

Rural coverage reflects a wider disparity of available service, both fixed and mobile. Rural fixed coverage ranges much more widely, in the following distribution:

| Table 6 Percent of Fixed Rural Broadband Coverage in Rural Counties in Pennsylvania | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Range in Percentage | Number of Counties |
| 90-100 | 9 |
| 80-89.9 | 15 |
| 70-79.9 | 13 |
| 60-69.9 | 5 |
| 50-59.9 | 4 |
| 40-49.9 | 1 |
| 30-39.9 | 1 |

Rural mobile coverage is 100 percent available in 23 counties of the 48 rural counties. Another 24 counties are in the 90 to 99.9 percent range. Cameron County is the only rural county to have mobile coverage below 90 percent, at 80.4 percent.

It is important to note that these figures represent where Internet service providers have indicated that service is available to consumers; they do not reflect the number of consumers who actually access these ISPs.

UNSERVED AND UNDERSERVED AREAS

Concurrent with the passage Senate Resolution 47 (2019), the General Assembly also passed Senate Resolution 48 (2019), directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee (LBFC) to conduct an analysis to determine if incumbent local exchange carriers (ILEC) were in compliance with the mandates found in Chapter 30 of the Public Utility Code, which provides for an alternative form of regulation of telecommunications services. Chapter 30 required ILECs to deploy broadband availability across the Commonwealth at the Pennsylvania statutorily mandated broadband speeds of 1.544 Mbps download/128 Kbps upload. The LBFC found that the ILECs met their mandates in a timely manner.⁷²⁷

While the LBFC report clearly shows that statutorily minimum broadband speeds are being made available statewide and that ILECs are complying with state law, concerns about the adequacy of these minimum speeds is part of the impetus behind this report. There is much concern that Pennsylvania's current speeds are not fast enough to adequately provide high-speed Internet services to the Commonwealth's residents. Hence, part of the debate as to which communities are unserved or underserved revolves around the question of what is an adequate minimum speed to fully access the Internet.

“Unserved” and “underserved” are critical terms to be defined in examining rural broadband deployment in Pennsylvania. This chapter attempts to provide context for these definitions. In terms of pure technology, there are no unserved communities in Pennsylvania. Satellite broadband service is available throughout the state. Affordability, severe weather and satellite location can all impact the quality of the service, and at the speeds offered through most of the state (25 and 35 Mbps), advanced Internet service (multiple users on multiple devices using multiple platforms) is generally not an option. Wired service is generally considered more dependable, in that it can offer much higher speeds and has fewer quality drawbacks. Accordingly, in order to analyze “underserved” areas, this chapter focuses on terrestrial, wired residential service. It is important to note that this analysis does not look at “take rates,” discussed earlier in this report.

Joint State Government Commission staff reviewed the maximum download speeds offered by various ISPs for residential use in Pennsylvania's 44 rural counties, by zip code. These results are set forth in Appendix C. Residential services in urban counties and business services in rural counties will be analyzed in a future report. Each zip code in each county was reviewed to determine if at least one carrier offered broadband Internet in the community and at what advertised speeds. It is important to distinguish between

⁷²⁷ Pennsylvania Legislative Budget and Finance Committee, “Pennsylvania ILEC Broadband Deployment Mandates,” June 2020, <http://lbfc.legis.state.pa.us/Resources/Documents/Reports/668.pdf>.

“broadband” and “Internet” service. Virtually every community in rural Pennsylvania has some form of Internet access available, though not always at federal broadband speeds.

To meet the definition of broadband under the FCC, a minimum download speed of 25 Mbps is required. High use, defined as basic functions (email, browsing, basic video, VoIP, and Internet radio) plus more than one high-demand application (streaming HD video, multi-party video conferencing, online gaming, and telecommuting) running at the same time requires advanced service, which is defined as more than 25 Mbps. The FCC guidelines suggest that high use by more than 2 users or devices at a time requires advanced service. The average family household in the United States in 2019 was 3.14 persons. During a time of widespread quarantine and stay-at-home orders such as experienced during the Covid-19 pandemic of 2020, with parents attempting to telework and children engaged in distance learning, demand for advanced service is daunting.

Mobile carriers generally top-out at speeds of 10 Mbps, capable of supporting light or medium service levels, as defined by the FCC. However, this level of service supports a limited number of users on a limited number of devices. There are two satellite providers in Pennsylvania, Viasat and Hughesnet. Viasat maximum speeds range between 35 Mbps to 100 Mbps, and Hughesnet is at a universal 25 Mbps statewide. Satellite providers can claim 100 percent broadband coverage across the Commonwealth. However, as discussed earlier in this report, satellite services have reliability issues that are affected by both weather and terrain. Accordingly, this analysis looks exclusively at wired service to determine maximum speed availability.

The FCC created a Household Broadband Guide (reproduced at Appendix B) on the next page) that provides guidelines for minimum download speeds for light, moderate and high household Internet use. The FCC has produced a Consumer Guide (also reproduced at Appendix B) to identify the Internet speeds needed to perform various functions. At Pennsylvania’s statutory minimum speeds, activities such as online classes, telecommuting, file downloading, watching videos, video teleconference, and gaming are not supported.

APPENDICES

| | |
|---|-----|
| Appendix A: 2019 Senate Resolution 47 | 169 |
| Appendix B: Consumer Guide on Household Broadband | 177 |
| Appendix C: | 183 |

Table A

Communities with No Fixed Wireless Service above 25 Mbps/3Mbps

Table B

Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds

Table C

Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband Providers Serving
Less than 50% of the Geographic Area, By Fastest Speed Available

Table D

Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband
at Minimum Federal Speeds By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

SENATE RESOLUTION

No. 47 Session of
2019

INTRODUCED BY PHILLIPS-HILL, YAW, GORDNER, HUTCHINSON, COSTA,
BAKER, AUMENT, STEFANO, WHITE, BROWNE, HAYWOOD AND
BARTOLOTTA, APRIL 29, 2019

SENATOR PHILLIPS-HILL, COMMUNICATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY, AS
AMENDED, JUNE 12, 2019

A RESOLUTION

1 Establishing a legislative task force on the delivery of high-
2 speed broadband services and directing the Joint State
3 Government Commission to establish an advisory committee to
4 conduct a study on the delivery of high-speed broadband
5 services in unserved areas and underserved areas of this
6 Commonwealth and to report its findings and recommendations
7 to the Senate.

8 WHEREAS, Effective economic development today requires
9 unprecedented levels of collaboration and communication among
10 State and local government, business, education, health care,
11 tourism and community leaders; and

12 WHEREAS, High-speed Internet access has become an essential
13 element of economic vitality; and

14 WHEREAS, High-speed broadband availability increases
15 individual worker productivity, breaks down the traditional
16 geographic barriers to jobs and careers in high-paying fields
17 and connects Pennsylvania businesses to international markets
18 around the world; and

19 WHEREAS, Small towns and rural communities across this

1 Commonwealth are the cradle of the best of American ingenuity,
2 potential and values; and

3 WHEREAS, Without sufficient access to broadband and a high
4 level of use of available technology, these small towns and
5 rural communities and their residents will remain
6 technologically and economically isolated and competitively
7 disadvantaged; and

8 WHEREAS, The availability of high-speed broadband in
9 Pennsylvania is continuing to increase across multiple
10 technological platforms, but certain locations and communities
11 are either underserved, having insufficient broadband speeds to
12 fully leverage the benefits of the technology, or are unserved
13 altogether; and

14 WHEREAS, TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS HAVE ENABLED NUMEROUS <--
15 COMPETITIVE PROVIDERS TO ENTER THE VOICE AND BROADBAND
16 MARKETPLACE USING MULTIPLE TECHNOLOGIES, AND MOST CONSUMERS HAVE
17 THE ABILITY TO CHOOSE AND PURCHASE SERVICES FROM REGULATED AND
18 UNREGULATED PROVIDERS; AND

19 WHEREAS, TODAY, TRADITIONAL LANDLINE VOICE PROVIDERS HAVE
20 LESS THAN 12% OF THE TOTAL VOICE SUBSCRIPTIONS IN THIS
21 COMMONWEALTH BUT ARE STILL REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN A NETWORK THAT
22 CAN PROVIDE VOICE SERVICE TO EVERY CUSTOMER IN THE PROVIDER'S
23 SERVICE TERRITORY; AND

24 WHEREAS, WHILE REGULATION OF TRADITIONAL LANDLINE VOICE
25 SERVICES HAS REMAINED RELATIVELY UNCHANGED IN THIS COMMONWEALTH
26 DESPITE THESE DRAMATIC CHANGES IN THE INDUSTRY, THE TECHNOLOGIES
27 THAT CONSUMERS USE TO COMMUNICATE HAVE FUNDAMENTALLY ALTERED THE
28 MARKETPLACE AND CONTINUE TO DO SO; AND

29 WHEREAS, MODERNIZATION OF REGULATIONS AND STATUTES HAS
30 ALREADY BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN MANY STATES AS CONSUMERS HAVE

20190SR0047PN0951

- 2 -

1 TRANSITIONED FROM LANDLINE VOICE SERVICES TO PRODUCTS OFFERED BY
2 UNREGULATED OR LIGHTLY REGULATED ENTITIES; AND

3 WHEREAS, THE PROVISION OF BROADBAND SERVICE ACROSS THIS
4 COMMONWEALTH MUST RECOGNIZE THAT BECAUSE OF TECHNOLOGICAL AND
5 COMPETITIVE DEVELOPMENTS, THE APPROPRIATE METHOD TO SUPPORT AND
6 INCENTIVIZE FURTHER EXPANSION OF BROADBAND SHOULD BE TECHNOLOGY
7 AND POLICY NEUTRAL; AND

8 WHEREAS, Eliminating unserved areas and underserved areas in
9 this Commonwealth will provide educational, economic, health,
10 governance and public safety benefits to all residents; and

11 WHEREAS, The basic requirements for successfully expanding
12 the benefits of high-speed broadband to all residents of this
13 Commonwealth are:

14 (1) access to computers, whether privately owned or
15 leased or provided at public locations as a public benefit;

16 (2) access to reliable broadband services at affordable
17 prices and at speeds required for current and future
18 applications; and

19 (3) knowledge to effectively use those computers and the
20 Internet;

21 and

22 WHEREAS, High-speed broadband infrastructure:

23 (1) allows communities to engage the world with their
24 goods and services;

25 (2) allows industries which are reliant upon traditional
26 manufacturing to use the Internet to expand their markets and
27 make their operations even more efficient;

28 (3) promotes the use of agricultural technology to help
29 farmers:

30 (i) maintain online field, mapping, water

1 management, livestock and accounting records; and
2 (ii) develop machinery that can operate virtually on
3 its own; and
4 (4) allows professionals in rural communities to work or
5 run businesses from their homes;
6 and

7 WHEREAS, High-speed broadband brings educational
8 opportunities, improved health care, more effective government
9 services and a better quality of life to all residents of this
10 Commonwealth; and

11 WHEREAS, Companies selling technology-intensive products and
12 services, or companies with technologically advanced operations,
13 generally provide faster growth in employment and income than
14 companies without such capabilities; and

15 WHEREAS, Studies show that as much as 85% of the growth in
16 per capita income over the past 150 years has resulted from
17 technological change; and

18 WHEREAS, Technology-intensive private sector jobs on average
19 pay wages which are 85% to 95% higher than wages paid for
20 private sector jobs that are not technology-intensive; and

21 WHEREAS, The President and Congress, in the effort to make
22 broadband or high-speed access to the Internet available to all
23 Americans, based on the belief that every American needs to have
24 access to broadband to have the doors of economic and social
25 opportunity open to them, required the Federal Communications
26 Commission to:

27 (1) develop a forward-looking national broadband plan to
28 ensure that all Americans have access to broadband
29 capability;

30 (2) contribute to efforts of the United States

1 Department of Commerce and the United States Department of
2 Agriculture to award \$7.2 billion in grants, loans and loan
3 guarantees to hasten the introduction of the facilities
4 needed to provide broadband and educate consumers to use this
5 infrastructure; and

6 (3) collect and report far more detailed and
7 comprehensive information on the status of broadband
8 deployment, adoption and use, including how broadband service
9 in the United States compares to broadband service in other
10 countries;

11 and

12 WHEREAS, The Commonwealth's efforts to secure the
13 availability of high-speed broadband throughout urban, suburban
14 and rural areas of this Commonwealth has been fragmented,
15 resulting in a lack of coordination among multiple State
16 agencies and commissions overseeing various broadband-related
17 programs, projects and Federal and State funding; therefore be
18 it

19 RESOLVED, That the Senate establish a legislative task force
20 on the delivery of high-speed broadband services; and be it
21 further

22 RESOLVED, That the task force be comprised of the chairperson
23 and minority chairperson of the Communications and Technology
24 Committee of the Senate or a designee of the chairperson or
25 minority chairperson; and be it further

26 RESOLVED, That the Senate direct the Joint State Government
27 Commission to assist the task force and conduct a study on the
28 delivery of high-speed broadband services in unserved areas and
29 underserved areas of this Commonwealth; and be it further

30 RESOLVED, That the Joint State Government Commission, as part

20190SR0047PN0951

- 5 -

1 of its study, establish an advisory committee consisting of
2 approximately 25 members from across this Commonwealth,
3 including:

- 4 (1) the Secretary of Agriculture or a designee;
- 5 (2) the Secretary of Community and Economic Development
6 or a designee;
- 7 (3) the Deputy Secretary for Technology and Innovation
8 in the Department of Community and Economic Development or a
9 designee;
- 10 (4) the Secretary of Education or a designee;
- 11 (5) the Secretary of Health or a designee;
- 12 (6) the Secretary of Labor and Industry or a designee;
- 13 (7) the Secretary of Policy and Planning or a designee;
- 14 (8) the executive director of the Pennsylvania Office of
15 Broadband Initiatives or a designee;
- 16 (9) the executive director of the Governor's Center for
17 Local Government Services of the Pennsylvania Municipal
18 League or a designee;
- 19 (10) the chairperson of the Pennsylvania Public Utility
20 Commission or a designee;
- 21 (11) the vice chairperson of the Pennsylvania Public
22 Utility Commission or a designee;
- 23 (12) the Small Business Advocate or a designee;
- 24 (13) the Consumer Advocate or a designee;
- 25 (14) the director of the Center for Rural Pennsylvania
26 or a designee;
- 27 (15) representatives of broadband service providers and
28 any related cable, wireless or other technology industries or
29 associations within this Commonwealth; and
- 30 (16) representatives of other departments, agencies,

1 boards, commissions or entities that the Joint State
2 Government Commission deems appropriate in conducting the
3 study under this resolution;

4 and be it further

5 RESOLVED, That the Joint State Government Commission develop
6 reports in collaboration with the advisory committee which, at a
7 minimum, include the following:

8 (1) background information which addresses the matters
9 set forth in this resolution;

10 (2) recommendations to: <--

11 (i) TO improve the delivery of high-speed broadband <--
12 services to unserved areas and underserved areas of this
13 Commonwealth; and <--

14 (ii) TO extend the benefits of advanced high-speed <--
15 broadband technology to every community in this

16 ~~Commonwealth through collaborative partnerships with~~ <--
17 ~~governmental and private sector stakeholders; and~~

18 COMMONWEALTH THROUGH: <--

19 (A) COLLABORATIVE PARTNERSHIPS WITH
20 GOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE SECTOR STAKEHOLDERS; AND

21 (B) OTHER MEANS OF EXTENDING THE BENEFITS OF
22 ADVANCED HIGH-SPEED BROADBAND TECHNOLOGY IN THIS
23 COMMONWEALTH; AND

24 (III) FOR MECHANISMS AND POSSIBLE PROGRAMS FOR
25 FUNDING THE EXPANSION OF BROADBAND AVAILABILITY,
26 INCLUDING HARMONIZATION OF FUNDING OPTIONS WITH ANY
27 EXISTING FEDERAL OR OTHER STATE PROGRAMS; AND

28 (3) proposed legislation which relates to the proposed
29 recommendations and specifically addresses the delivery of
30 high-speed broadband services to rural high-cost areas of

20190SR0047PN0951

- 7 -

Consumer Guide on Household Broadband



Household Broadband Guide

Use the chart below to compare minimum download speed (Mbps) needs for light, moderate and high household use with one, two, three or four devices at a time (such as a laptop, tablet or game console).

You can also compare typical online activities with the minimum Mbps needed for adequate performance for each application by using our [Broadband Speed Guide](#).

For more information on broadband speeds, see our [Measuring Broadband America report](#).

These numbers are rough guidelines and are not based on surveys or experiments conducted by the FCC. You should use your best judgment when choosing your broadband service.

| | Light Use (Basic functions: email, browsing, basic video, VoIP, Internet radio) | Moderate Use (Basic functions plus one high-demand application: streaming HD video, multiparty video conferencing, online gaming, telecommuting) | High Use (Basic functions plus more than one high-demand application running at the same time) |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 user on 1 device | Basic | Basic | Medium |
| 2 users or devices at a time | Basic | Medium | Medium/Advanced |
| 3 users or devices at a time | Medium | Medium | Advanced |
| 4 users or devices at a time | Medium | Advanced | Advanced |

Basic Service = 3 to 8 Mbps*

Medium Service = 12 to 25 Mbps



Advanced Service = More than 25 Mbps

*Mbps (Megabits per second) is the standard measure of broadband speed. It refers to the speed with which information packets are downloaded from, or uploaded to, the internet.

Consumer Help Center

For more information on consumer issues, visit the FCC's Consumer Help Center at www.fcc.gov/consumers.

Alternate formats

To request this article in an alternate format - braille, large print, Word or text document or audio - write or call us at the address or phone number at the bottom of the page, or send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov.

Last Reviewed 02/05/20





Broadband Speed Guide

Compare typical online activities with the minimum download speed (Megabits per second, or Mbps) needed for adequate performance for each application. Additional speed may enhance performance. Speeds are based on running one activity at a time.

For household broadband needs, use our [Household Broadband Guide](#) to compare minimum Mbps needs for light, moderate and high household use with one, two, three or four devices at a time (such as a laptop, tablet or game console).

For more information on broadband speeds, see our [Measuring Broadband America report](#).

These numbers are rough guidelines and are not based on surveys or experiments conducted by the FCC. You should use your best judgment when choosing your broadband service.

| Activity | Minimum Download Speed (Mbps) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| General Usage | |
| General Browsing and Email | 1 |
| Streaming Online Radio | Less than 0.5 |
| VoIP Calls | Less than 0.5 |
| Student | 5 - 25 |
| Telecommuting | 5 - 25 |
| File Downloading | 10 |
| Social Media | 1 |
| Watching Video | |
| Streaming Standard Definition Video | 3 - 4 |
| Streaming High Definition (HD) Video | 5 - 8 |
| Streaming Ultra HD 4K Video | 25 |



Video Conferencing

| | |
|--|-----|
| Standard Personal Video Call (e.g., Skype) | 1 |
| HD Personal Video Call (e.g., Skype) | 1.5 |
| HD Video Teleconferencing | 6 |

Gaming

| | |
|---|---|
| Game Console Connecting to the Internet | 3 |
| Online Multiplayer | 4 |

Consumer Help Center

For more information on consumer issues, visit the FCC's Consumer Help Center at www.fcc.gov/consumers.

Alternate formats

To request this article in an alternate format - braille, large print, Word or text document or audio - write or call us at the address or phone number at the bottom of the page, or send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov.

Last Reviewed 02/05/20



APPENDIX C

The following tables identify communities in Pennsylvania that may be considered “unserved” or “underserved” in terms of various criteria. Data used in these tables is drawn by Commission staff from the BroadbandNow⁷²⁸ website, which uses the FCC 2020 Broadband Deployment Report as its source. Accordingly, this data identifies speeds available through December 31, 2018, and there may be additional service that has been implemented since that date.

Table A contains the communities that have no wired Internet service providers who provide 25 Mbps or higher speed service in the geographic area. Fastest wired Internet speeds are listed for each community. Fifteen communities are listed as “NONE,” meaning they have no wired Internet service available although wireless service in the form of satellite or mobile service is available. Six of those rural communities are in Greene County, and four are in Union County. Cameron, Clearfield, Elk, Lycoming, and Mercer Counties have one community each that has no wired Internet service available.

Defining “underserved” in terms of Internet service is more challenging. Tables B, C, and D attempt to define underserved in terms of competition. Table B identifies those communities in which only one provider offers broadband service speeds of 25 Mbps or higher. Based on the geographic portion of the area covered, nine of these communities could also be considered underserved on the basis of broadband availability, as higher speeds are available in less than 10 percent of the geographic area.

Table C contains a listing of communities that have more than one broadband provider, but none that covers more than 50 percent of the community. Fastest broadband speeds are listed for each community. Some of the fastest speeds are only available in very small sections of the community, as identified in the column “Area Covered.”

The communities in Table D have multiple wired providers of broadband, but only one wired provider that provides coverage to more than 50 percent of the geographic area of the community. This table shows the fastest speed available to the largest portion of the community. There are other providers that exceed the FCC minimum speeds, some offering up to 1,000 Mbps download, but they only provide coverage in a small area of the community, including some covering less than 5 percent of the geographic area.

⁷²⁸ BroadbandNow is a website that helps consumers find and compare Internet service providers in their area. They gather data from public and private datasets, including the FCC annual broadband deployment reports, and manually collect tens of thousands of data points from providers, with the goal of building the most accurate Internet service database online. Accessed multiple times during the July and August 2020. <https://broadbandnow.com/about>

Table A
Communities with No Fixed Wireless Service above 25 Mbps/3Mbps

| County | Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Bradford | East Smithfield | 18817 | Fixed Wireless | 15 Mbps | 100% |
| Cameron | Sinmahoning | 15861 | NONE | | |
| Cambria | Elmora | 15737 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 100% |
| | Blandburg | 16619 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 3.2% |
| | Flinton | 16640 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 11.3% |
| Centre | Madisonburg | 16852 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 20.5% |
| | Woodward | 16882 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 98.0% |
| Clearfield | Glen Hope | 16645 | NONE | | |
| | Irvona | 16656 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 3.9% |
| | Pottersdale | 16871 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 43.5% |
| Clinton | Westport | 17778 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 77.5% |
| Crawford | Spartansburg | 16434 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 84.1% |
| Elk | Benezett | 15821 | NONE | | |
| | De Young | 16728 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 4.0% |
| Greene | Aleppo | 15310 | NONE | | |
| | Brave | 15316 | NONE | | |
| | Graysville | 15337 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 3.8% |
| | New Freeport | 15352 | NONE | | |
| | Ninevah | 15353 | NONE | | |
| | Spraggs | 15362 | NONE | | |
| Indiana | Chambersville | 15723 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 32.6% |
| | Rochester Mills | 15771 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 76.5% |
| | | | | | |
| Lycoming | Cammal | 17723 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 100% |
| | Lairdsville | 17742 | NONE | | |
| McKean | Crosby | 16724 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Mercer | Carlton | 16311 | NONE | | |
| Potter | Genesee | 16941 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 30.4% |
| Schuylkill | Pitman | 17964 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 91.9% |
| Snyder | Port Trevorton | 17864 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 85.5% |
| Somerset | Addison | 15411 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 95.6% |
| | Fort Hill | 15540 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 95.9% |

Table A
Communities with No Fixed Wireless Service above 25 Mbps/3Mbps

| County | Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Tioga | Sabinsville | 16943 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 88.7% |
| Union | Harleton | 17829 | NONE | | |
| | Laurelton | 17835 | NONE | | |
| | Sevengel | 17880 | NONE | | |
| | Weikert | 17885 | NONE | | |
| Warren | Chandler Valley | 16312 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 100% |
| | Bear Lake | 16402 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 79.5% |
| | Garland | 16416 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 81.8% |
| | Spring Creek | 16436 | DSL | 15 Mbps | 79.8% |

**Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds**

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Armstrong County | | | | |
| North Apollo | 15673 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.6% |
| Spring Church | 15686 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.6% |
| Adrian | 16210 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.0% |
| Codogan | 16212 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Distant | 16223 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Ford Cliff | 16228 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| McGrann | 16236 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 74.4% |
| Manorville | 16238 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Oak Ridge | 16245 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.1% |
| Sagamore | 16250 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 63.2% |
| Seminole | 16253 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Templeton | 16259 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.4% |
| Yatesboro | 16263 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.2% |
| Bedford County | | | | |
| Wood | 16694 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.7% |
| Blair County | | | | |
| Altoona | 16601 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.4% |
| Altoona | 16602 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.7% |
| Bellwood | 16617 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.6% |
| Hollidaysburg | 16648 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.5% |
| Newry | 16665 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 66.9% |
| Bradford County | | | | |
| Le Raysville | 18829 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 96.4% |
| Stevensville | 18845 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Warren Center | 18851 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 94.1% |
| Cambria County | | | | |
| Northern Cambria | 15714 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.4% |
| Emeigh | 15738 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.0% |
| Johnstown | 15901 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.5% |
| Johnstown | 15902 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.7% |
| Beaverdale | 15921 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Belsano | 15922 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |

**Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds**

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Cassandra | 15925 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Dunlo | 15930 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Parkhill | 15945 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Revloc | 15948 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.6% |
| Saint Michael | 15951 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.5% |
| Twin Rocks | 15960 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.4% |
| Wilmore | 15962 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 54.4% |
| Chest Springs | 16624 | Fixed Wireless | 25 Mbps | 40.2% |
| Cresson | 16630 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Hastings | 16646 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.0% |
| Cameron County | | | | |
| Driftwood | 15832 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 30.2% |
| Emporium | 15834 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 82.8% |
| Carbon County | | | | |
| Bowmanstown | 18030 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Palmerton | 18071 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.9% |
| Ashfield | 18212 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Jim Thorpe | 18229 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.0% |
| Junedale | 18230 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 62.9% |
| Lansford | 18232 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Summit Hill | 18250 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.9% |
| Tresckow | 18254 | Cable | 350 Mbps | 24.9% |
| Centre County | | | | |
| Sandy Ridge | 16677 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.6% |
| Aaronsburg | 16820 | Fixed Wireless | 70 Mbps | 5.3% |
| Boalsburg | 16827 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.8% |
| Centre Hall | 16828 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.9% |
| Clarence | 16829 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 84.7% |
| Coburn | 16832 | Fixed Wireless | 70 Mbps | 5.7% |
| Fleming | 16835 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 42.0% |
| Lemont | 16851 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Milesburg | 16853 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.3% |
| Milheim | 16854 | Fixed Wireless | 70 Mbps | 24.0% |

**Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds**

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Moshannon | 16859 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 85.1% |
| Philipsburg | 16866 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.6% |
| Pine Grove Mills | 16868 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.9% |
| Rebersburg | 16872 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 4.5% |
| Clarion County | | | | |
| Callensburg | 16213 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 26.5% |
| Hawthorn | 16230 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 51.0% |
| Knox | 16232 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 83.5% |
| Kassuth | 16331 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Tylersburg | 16361 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 47.2% |
| Clearfield County | | | | |
| Burnside | 15721 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 71.4% |
| Luthersburg | 15848 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 59.9 % |
| Rockton | 15856 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.9% |
| Troutville | 15866 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Brisbin | 16620 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 83.3% |
| Coalport | 16627 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 13.9% |
| Houtzdale | 16651 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.5% |
| Madera | 16661 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.7% |
| Osceola Mills | 16666 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.3% |
| Ramey | 16671 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.5% |
| Smithmill | 16680 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.6% |
| Allport | 16821 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.4% |
| Clearfield | 16830 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.4% |
| Curwensville | 16833 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 83.7% |
| Drifting | 16834 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.7% |
| Frenchville | 16836 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 72.2% |
| Glen Richey | 16837 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Grampian | 16838 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 66.5% |
| Grassflat | 16839 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.8% |
| Hawk Run | 16840 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.9% |
| Hyde | 16843 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.3% |
| Mineral Springs | 16855 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.8% |

| Table B | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds | | | | |
| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
| New Millport | 16861 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 5.1% |
| Olanta | 16863 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 63.5% |
| Clinton County | | | | |
| Avis | 17721 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Lock Haven | 17745 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.0% |
| McElhatten | 17748 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 70.7% |
| North Bend | 17760 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.6% |
| Woolrich | 17779 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.4% |
| Columbia County | | | | |
| Wilburton | 17888 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 31.6% |
| Aristes | 17920 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 22.7% |
| Mifflinville | 18631 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 47.5% |
| Crawford County | | | | |
| Adamsville | 16110 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 47.1% |
| Atlantic | 16111 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 30.8% |
| Hartztown | 16131 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 43.1% |
| Centerville | 16404 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 25.3% |
| Springboro | 16435 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 39.6% |
| Venango | 16440 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 49.6% |
| Elk County | | | | |
| Brockport | 15823 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.0% |
| Brynesdale | 15827 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 72.7% |
| Force | 15841 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.7% |
| Johnsonburg | 15845 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.3% |
| Saint Marys | 15857 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.7% |
| Weedville | 15868 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 68.0% |
| Wilcox | 15870 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 65.2% |
| James City | 16734 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Forest County | | | | |
| Clarington | 15828 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 12.2% |
| Cooksburg | 16217 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 25.7% |
| East Hickory | 16321 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 44.7% |
| Warfordsburg | 17267 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 95.7% |

| Table B | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds | | | | |
| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
| Fulton County | | | | |
| Burnt Cabins | 17215 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 99.4% |
| Harrisonville | 17228 | DSL | 40 Mbps | 99.9% |
| Greene County | | | | |
| Bobtown | 15315 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.1% |
| Crucible | 15325 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 63.8% |
| Dilliner | 15327 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.7% |
| Gerards Fort | 15334 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 51.3% |
| Greensboro | 15338 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 80.6% |
| Holbrook | 15341 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 7.4% |
| Jefferson | 15344 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.9% |
| Mather | 15346 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Nemacolin | 15351 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.5% |
| Rogersville | 15359 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.9% |
| Sycamore | 15364 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 14.4% |
| Huntingdon County | | | | |
| Alexandria | 16611 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.3% |
| Dudley | 16634 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.1% |
| Petersburg | 16669 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 45.2% |
| Neelyton | 17239 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 99.5% |
| Shade Gap | 17255 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 99.0% |
| Indiana County | | | | |
| Alverda | 15710 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 76.3% |
| Arcadia | 15712 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Black Lick | 15716 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.5% |
| Clume | 15727 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.8% |
| Clymer | 15728 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 81.7% |
| Commodore | 15729 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.8% |
| Coral | 15731 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.4% |
| Dixonville | 15734 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.1% |
| Earnest | 15739 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 71.9% |
| Gipsy | 15741 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Glen Campbell | 15742 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 50.8% |

**Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds**

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Heilwood | 15745 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.6% |
| Hillsdale | 15746 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.3% |
| Home | 15747 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 56.8% |
| Josephine | 15750 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Kent | 15752 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Lucernemines | 15754 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| McIntyre | 15756 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 65.7% |
| Marion Center | 15759 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 47.7% |
| Mentcle | 15761 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.1% |
| Rossiter | 15772 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 58.5% |
| Shelocta | 15774 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 62.7% |
| Starford | 15777 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.4% |
| West Lebanon | 15783 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.7% |
| Dilltown | 15929 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.7% |
| Robinson | 15949 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.4% |
| Beyer | 16211 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 81.7% |
| Plumville | 16246 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.6% |
| Smicksburg | 16256 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 4.3% |
| Jefferson County | | | | |
| Anita | 15711 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Big Run | 15715 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Coolspring | 15730 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| DeLancey | 15733 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 27.8% |
| Hamilton | 15744 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.8% |
| Olweburg | 15764 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.8% |
| Punxsutawney | 15767 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.2% |
| Ringgold | 15770 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.3% |
| Sprankle Mills | 15776 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 28.9% |
| Timblin | 15778 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 85.7% |
| Valier | 15780 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.7% |
| Walston | 15781 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.3% |
| Worthville | 15784 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Knoxdale | 15847 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 85.5% |

| Table B | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds | | | | |
| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
| Stump Creek | 15863 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Sykesville | 15865 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.5% |
| Juniata County | | | | |
| East Waterford | 17021 | DSL | 40 Mbps | 98.3% |
| Lawrence County | | | | |
| Bessemer | 16112 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.9% |
| Edinburg | 16116 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.9% |
| Enon Valley | 16120 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 37.1% |
| Hillsville | 16132 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| New Bedford | 16140 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Villa Maria | 16155 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Lycoming County | | | | |
| Cedar Run | 17727 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 5.6% |
| Hughesville | 17737 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 85.5% |
| Jersey Mills | 17739 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 12.5% |
| Linden | 17744 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.9% |
| Montgomery | 17752 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.1% |
| Picture Rocks | 17762 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.9% |
| Trout Run | 17771 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 29.0% |
| Waterville | 17776 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 55.9% |
| Allenwood | 17810 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 41.0% |
| McKean County | | | | |
| Custer City | 16725 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 63.9% |
| Derrick City | 16727 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 64.9% |
| Duke Center | 16729 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.2% |
| East Smethport | 16730 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 71.1% |
| Gifford | 16732 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 69.3% |
| Hazel Hurst | 16733 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Rew | 16744 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Rixford | 16745 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.5% |
| Turtlepoint | 16750 | Cable | 200 Mbps | 91.4% |
| Mercer County | | | | |
| Clark | 16113 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 100% |

| Table B | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds | | | | |
| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
| Farrell | 16121 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 100% |
| Hermitage | 16148 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Wheatland | 16161 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 100% |
| Mifflin County | | | | |
| Allensville | 17002 | DSL | 40 Mbps | 97.1% |
| Newton Hamilton | 17075 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 61.8% |
| Monroe County | | | | |
| Kunkletown | 18058 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Buck Hill Falls | 18323 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Cresco | 18326 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.5% |
| Delaware Water Gap | 18327 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Henryville | 18332 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Kresgeville | 18333 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Marshalls Creek | 18335 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Mountainhome | 18342 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Scotrun | 18355 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Shawnee on Delaware | 18356 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.5% |
| Skytop | 18357 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Swiftwater | 18370 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Tobyhanna | 18466 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Montour County | | | | |
| Danville | 17821 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 76.5% |
| Washingtonville | 17884 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 14.8% |
| Northumberland County | | | | |
| Dewart | 17730 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 61.5% |
| McEwensville | 17749 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 41.8% |
| Sunbury | 17801 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.7% |
| Elysburg | 17824 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.5% |
| Marion Heights | 17832 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 7.0% |
| Kulpmont | 17834 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.1% |
| Locust Gap | 17840 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 54.0% |
| Montandon | 17850 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 64.3% |
| Mount Carmel | 17851 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.1% |

Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Northumberland | 17857 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.9% |
| Paxinos | 17860 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 77.2% |
| Potts Grove | 17865 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.8% |
| Riverside | 17868 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 63.7% |
| Pike County | | | | |
| Matamoras | 18336 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Milrift | 18340 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Paupack | 18451 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Rowland | 18457 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Tafton | 18464 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Potter County | | | | |
| Austin | 16720 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 74.5% |
| Roulette | 16746 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.9% |
| Harrison Valley | 16927 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 56.8% |
| Mills | 16937 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 66.3% |
| Schuylkill County | | | | |
| Ashland | 17921 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.2% |
| Auburn | 17922 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.1% |
| Brockton | 17925 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Cressona | 17929 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.7% |
| Frackville | 17931 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 83.9% |
| Freidensburg | 17933 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Girardville | 17935 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.1% |
| Gordon | 17936 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 12.1% |
| Lavelle | 17943 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.3% |
| Llewellyn | 17944 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 60.5% |
| Locustdale | 17945 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 20.0% |
| Lost Creek | 17946 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.1% |
| Mahanoy City | 17948 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.7% |
| Mahanoy Plane | 17949 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.2% |
| Mary D | 17952 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.3% |
| Middleport | 17953 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.1% |
| Minersville | 17954 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.4% |

**Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds**

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| New Philadelphia | 17959 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.2% |
| Port Carbon | 17965 | Cable | 100 Mbps | 95.6% |
| Saint Clair | 17970 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.7% |
| Schuylkill Haven | 17972 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.5% |
| Shenandoah | 17976 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.6% |
| Summit Station | 17979 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.0% |
| Andreas | 18211 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.5% |
| Coaldale | 18218 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Kelayres | 18231 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 29.9% |
| Port Clinton | 19549 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.7% |
| Snyder County | | | | |
| Freeburg | 17827 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 41.4% |
| Paxtonville | 17861 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 60.1% |
| Penns Creek | 17862 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 33.3% |
| Selinsgrove | 17870 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.1% |
| Shamokin Dam | 17876 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.5% |
| Somerset | | | | |
| Somerset | 15510 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Acosta | 15520 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Boynton | 15532 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 78.2% |
| Friedens | 15541 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.5% |
| Gray | 15544 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 63.6% |
| Jennerstown | 15547 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 72.2% |
| Meyersdale | 15552 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 70.4% |
| Salisbury | 15558 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.1% |
| Shanksville | 15560 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.9% |
| Sipesville | 15561 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.8% |
| Springs | 15562 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Stoystown | 15563 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.6% |
| Wellersburg | 15564 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.0% |
| Cairnbrook | 15924 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.0% |
| Central City | 15926 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.0% |
| Jerome | 15937 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.5% |

| Table B | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds | | | | |
| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
| Seanor | 15953 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.2% |
| Sullivan County | | | | |
| Shunk | 17768 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 98.1% |
| Laporte | 18626 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 95.0% |
| Susquehanna County | | | | |
| Herrick Center | 18430 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Thompson | 18465 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Lawton | 18828 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 94.6% |
| South Gibson | 18842 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Tioga County | | | | |
| Gaines | 16921 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 61.1% |
| Union County | | | | |
| Utica | 16362 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 48.7% |
| Lewisburg | 17837 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 70.8% |
| Millmont | 17845 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 8.6% |
| New Berlin | 17855 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 73.6% |
| New Columbia | 17856 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.4% |
| West Milton | 17886 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 81.3% |
| White Deer | 17887 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 15.8% |
| Winfield | 17889 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.5% |
| Venango County | | | | |
| Rouseville | 16344 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 50.9% |
| Warren | | | | |
| Tiona | 16352 | Cable | 100 Mbps | 100% |
| Columbus | 16405 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 50.8% |
| Washington County | | | | |
| Elrama | 15038 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.3% |
| Joffre | 15053 | Cable | 25 Mbps | 100% |
| Langeloth | 15054 | Cable | 25 Mbps | 87.2% |
| Wayne County | | | | |
| Equinunk | 18417 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 13.2% |
| Hamlin | 18427 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Lake Como | 18437 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 66.4% |

Table B
Communities with One Provider that Offers FCC Fixed Broadband Speeds

| Community | Zip Code | Provider Type | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Lakewood | 18439 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 92.6% |
| Milanville | 18443 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 72.5% |
| Pleasant Mount | 18453 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 95.4% |
| Poyntelle | 18454 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Preston Park | 18455 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Prompton | 18456 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| South Sterling | 18460 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Starrucca | 18462 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Tyler Hill | 17469 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 75.1% |
| White Mills | 18473 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.4% |

Table C
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband Providers Serving Less than 50%
of the Geographic Area,
By Fastest Speed Available

| County | Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Cambria | Nicktown | 15762 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 49.2% |
| | Fallentimber | 16639 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 1.5% |
| Centre | Spring Mills | 16875 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 21.9% |
| Clarion | Sligo | 16255 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 49.6% |
| | Strattonville | 16258 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 49.0% |
| | Tylersburg | 16361 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 47.2% |
| Clearfield | La Jose | 15753 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 5.9% |
| | West Decatur | 16878 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 48.1% |
| Crawford | Conneautville | 16406 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 11.5% |
| | Venango | 16440 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 49.6% |
| Indiana | Cherry Tree | 15724 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 49.4% |
| | Creekside | 15732 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 21.5% |
| Jefferson | Brockway | 15824 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 21.2% |
| Lawrence | New Washington | 16142 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 40.5% |
| Lycoming | Unityville | 17774 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 9.6% |
| Mercer | Clarks Mills | 16114 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 38.3% |
| Northumberland | Turbotville | 17772 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 20.6% |
| Potter | Ulysses | 16948 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 39.5% |
| | Cross Fork | 17729 | Cable Fiber | 125 Mbps 1,000 Mbps | 8.2% 8.2% |
| Snyder | Beaver Springs | 17812 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 47.5% |
| | McClure | 17841 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 435.3% |
| | Mount Pleasant Mills | 17853 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 13.3% |
| Somerset | Confluence | 15424 | Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 9.1% |
| | Fairhope | 15538 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 13.2% |
| | Garrett | 15542 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 48.5% |
| | Rockwood | 15557 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 45.1% |
| Tioga | Knoxville | 16928 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 26.9% |
| | Westfield | 16950 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 6.7% |
| Union | Cranberry | 16319 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 44.1% |

Table C
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband Providers Serving Less than 50%
of the Geographic Area,
By Fastest Speed Available

| County | Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | Kennerdell | 16374 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 39.0% |
| Warren | Irvine | 16329 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 32.2% |
| | Grand Valley | 16420 | Cable/Fiber | 1,000 Mbps | 12.9% |
| Washington | Bulger | 15019 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 43.1% |
| | Avelin | 15312 | Fiber | 400 Mbps | 44.5% |
| | Claysville | 15323 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 46.6% |
| | Prosperity | 15329 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 35.5% |
| | West Finley | 15377 | Cable | 100 Mbps | 2.5% |
| | West Middletown | 15379 | Cable | 100 Mbps | 23.5% |
| Wayne | Starlight | 18461 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 49.3% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Armstrong County | | | | |
| Elderton | 15736 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.9% |
| Parker | 16049 | DSL | 80 Mbps | 79.3% |
| Kittanning | 16201 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.5% |
| Cowansville | 16218 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 85.6% |
| Dayton | 16222 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 54.6% |
| Ford City | 16226 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.7% |
| NuMine | 16244 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Worthington | 16262 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 77.4% |
| Bedford County | | | | |
| Breezewood | 15533 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 88.8% |
| Buffalo Mills | 15534 | DSL | 40 Mbps | 93.9% |
| Clearville | 15535 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 97.9% |
| Saxton | 16678 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.2% |
| Blair County | | | | |
| Duncansville | 16635 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.3% |
| Tyrone | 16686 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 71.6% |
| Bradford County | | | | |
| Columbia Cross Roads | 16914 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 73.2% |
| Gillett | 16925 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.9% |
| Granville Summit | 16926 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 95.5% |
| Milan | 18831 | Fixed Wireless | 50 Mbps | 66.9% |
| New Albany | 18833 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 94.7% |
| Rome | 18837 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 92.2% |
| Sugar Run | 18846 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 97.1% |
| Ulster | 18850 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 70.2% |
| Wyalusing | 18853 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 94.6% |
| Wysox | 18854 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 97.1% |
| Cambria | | | | |
| Carrolltown | 15722 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.0% |
| Marsteller | 15760 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.7% |
| Saint Benedict | 15773 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.9% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Spangler | 15775 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.3% |
| Johnstown | 15904 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.0% |
| Johnstown | 15905 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.4% |
| Johnstown | 15906 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.3% |
| Johnstown | 15909 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 75.1% |
| Colver | 15927 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.2% |
| Ebensburg | 15930 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.6% |
| Elton | 15934 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.1% |
| Lilly | 15938 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.8% |
| Loretto | 15940 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 74.4% |
| Mineral Point | 15942 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.1% |
| Nanty Glo | 15943 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.2% |
| Portage | 15946 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.1% |
| Salix | 15952 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Sidman | 15955 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.9% |
| South Fork | 15956 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Summerhill | 15958 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.6% |
| Dysart | 16636 | Fixed Wireless | 25 Mbps | 58.4% |
| Gallitzin | 16646 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.0% |
| Patton | 16668 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.8% |
| Carbon County | | | | |
| Albrightsville | 18210 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.8% |
| Leighton | 18235 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Parryville | 18244 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 74.6% |
| Weatherly | 18255 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.9% |
| Lake Harmony | 18624 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 68.4% |
| Centre County | | | | |
| Julian | 16844 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.9% |
| Pennsylvania Furnace | 16865 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.4% |
| Port Matilda | 16870 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.1% |
| Snow Shoe | 16874 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.0% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Clarion County | | | | |
| Clarion | 16214 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 78.1% |
| Fairmount City | 16224 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 66.4% |
| Mayport | 16240 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 56.3% |
| New Bethlehem | 16242 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 64.5% |
| Rimersburg | 16248 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 72.4% |
| Shippenville | 16254 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.1% |
| Marble | 16334 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 76.0% |
| Clearfield County | | | | |
| Mahaffey | 15757 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 50.9% |
| Du Bois | 15801 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 77.8% |
| Penfield | 15849 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 71.0% |
| Beccaria | 16616 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Westover | 16692 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 75.7% |
| Bigler | 16825 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 80.8% |
| Karthaus | 16845 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 60.0% |
| Kylertown | 16847 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.8% |
| Lanse | 16849 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.7% |
| Munson | 16860 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.5% |
| Wallaceton | 16876 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.6% |
| Winburne | 16879 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.5% |
| Woodland | 16881 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 67.2% |
| Clinton County | | | | |
| Loganton | 17747 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 99.1% |
| Renovo | 17764 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.7% |
| Columbia County | | | | |
| Benton | 17814 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 86.7% |
| Bloomsburg | 17815 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 77.5% |
| Millville | 18746 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 59.0% |
| Stillwater | 17878 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 96.7% |
| Berwick | 18603 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.7% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Elk County | | | | |
| Kersey | 15846 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.1% |
| Ridgway | 15853 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.4% |
| Forest County | | | | |
| Crystal Springs | 15536 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 93.0% |
| Fulton County | | | | |
| Big Cove Tannery | 17212 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 57.0% |
| Fort Littleton | 17223 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 92.7% |
| Needmore | 17238 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 88.2% |
| Greene County | | | | |
| Carmichaels | 15320 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.8% |
| Mount Morris | 15349 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 57.7% |
| Rices Landing | 15357 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.1% |
| Waynesburg | 15370 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 65.0% |
| Huntingdon County | | | | |
| Broad Top | 16621 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 61.5% |
| Entriiken | 16638 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Huntingdon | 16652 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.5% |
| Robertsdale | 16674 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 70.5% |
| Spruce Creek | 16683 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.0% |
| Warriors Mark | 16877 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 72.4% |
| Mapleton Depot | 17052 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.9% |
| Mill Creek | 17060 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 64.2% |
| Mount Union | 17066 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.9% |
| Blairs Mills | 17213 | DSL | 80 Mbps | 96.1% |
| Indiana County | | | | |
| Saltsburg | 15681 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.4% |
| Indiana | 15701 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.3% |
| Blairsville | 15717 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.1% |
| Clarksburg | 15725 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 57.9% |
| Homer City | 15748 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 68.6% |
| Penn Run | 15765 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 62.5% |

**Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area**

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Armaugh | 15920 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.0% |
| Strongstown | 15957 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.8% |
| Jefferson County | | | | |
| Brookville | 15825 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 85.9% |
| Corsica | 15829 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 59.5% |
| Falls Creek | 15840 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.8% |
| Reynoldsville | 15851 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.6% |
| Sigel | 15860 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 59.7% |
| Summerville | 15864 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 60.0% |
| Juniata County | | | | |
| Honey Grove | 17035 | DSL | 40 Mbps | 98.9% |
| Mifflin | 17058 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 96.3% |
| Port Royal | 17082 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 96.3% |
| Lawrence County | | | | |
| New Castle | 16101 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.0% |
| New Castle | 16102 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.6% |
| Pulaski | 16143 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 76.9% |
| Lycoming County | | | | |
| Williamsport | 17701 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.2% |
| Williamsport | 17702 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.0% |
| Cogan Station | 17728 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 65.4% |
| Jersey Shore | 17740 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.8% |
| Montoursville | 17754 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 81.3% |
| Muncy | 17756 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 74.2% |
| Ralston | 17763 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.1% |
| McKean County | | | | |
| Ludlow | 16333 | Cable | 100 Mbps | 100% |
| Bradford | 16701 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.7% |
| Cyclone | 16726 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 68.8% |
| Eldred | 16731 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 60.9% |
| Kane | 16735 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.6% |
| Lewis Run | 16738 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.3% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Mount Jewett | 16740 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.1% |
| Port Allegany | 16743 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.2% |
| Smethport | 16749 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 70.3% |
| Mercer County | | | | |
| Fredonia | 16124 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 55.9% |
| Greenville | 16125 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 76.3% |
| Sharpsville | 16150 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 99.9% |
| West Middlesex | 16159 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 91.6% |
| Mifflin County | | | | |
| Burnham | 17009 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.0% |
| Lewistown | 17044 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.3% |
| Yeagertown | 17099 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Monroe County | | | | |
| East Stroudsburg | 18301 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| East Stroudsburg | 18302 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.9% |
| Bartonsville | 18321 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Canadensis | 18325 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 95.3% |
| Effort | 18330 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.6% |
| Gilbert | 18331 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.9% |
| Mount Pocono | 18344 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.9% |
| Pocono Summit | 18346 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Pocono Manor | 18349 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 93.2% |
| Reeders | 18352 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Stroudsburg | 18360 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Tannersville | 18372 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.3% |
| Northumberland County | | | | |
| Watsonstown | 17777 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 65.3% |
| Dornsife | 17823 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 97.7% |
| Leck Kill | 17836 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 64.3% |
| Milton | 17847 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 83.3% |
| Coal Township | 17866 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 74.9% |
| Rebuck | 17867 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 92.3% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Shamokin | 17872 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.9% |
| Perry County | | | | |
| Elliottsburg | 17024 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 98.7% |
| Landisburg | 17040 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 98.8% |
| Liverpool | 17045 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 90.9% |
| Loysville | 17047 | DSL | 80 Mbps | 96.4% |
| New Germantown | 17071 | DSL | 40 Mbps | 100% |
| Pike County | | | | |
| Bushkill | 18324 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.6% |
| Dingman's Ferry | 18328 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.9% |
| Milford | 18337 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.0% |
| Tamiment | 18371 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Greentown | 18426 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.3% |
| Hawley | 18428 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.3% |
| Shohola | 18458 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.6% |
| Potter County | | | | |
| Coudersport | 16915 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.7% |
| Galeton | 16922 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 73.6% |
| Schuylkill County | | | | |
| Pottsville | 17901 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.8% |
| Cumbola | 17930 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 81.7% |
| Klingerstown | 17941 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 78.8% |
| Mar Lin | 17951 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 68.0% |
| New Ringgold | 17960 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 67.4% |
| Orwigsburg | 17961 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.2% |
| Pine Grove | 17963 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.6% |
| Tuscarora | 17982 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.2% |
| Zion Grove | 17985 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 92.3% |
| Delano | 18220 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 96.2% |
| McAdoo | 18237 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.4% |
| Tamaqua | 18252 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 90.8% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Snyder County | | | | |
| Beavertown | 17813 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 54.4% |
| Middleburg | 17842 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 80.8% |
| Somerset County | | | | |
| Somerset | 15501 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 82.1% |
| Berlin | 15530 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 86.7% |
| Boswell | 15531 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 70.9% |
| Davidsville | 15928 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.1% |
| Hollsopple | 15935 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 91.4% |
| Hooversville | 15936 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 75.7% |
| Windber | 15963 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 92.5% |
| Sullivan County | | | | |
| Eagles Mere | 17731 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 96.8% |
| Muncy Valley | 17758 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 74.2% |
| Fortesville | 18616 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 95.5% |
| Hillsgrove | 18619 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 96.6.2% |
| Susquehanna County | | | | |
| Forest City | 18421 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Uniondale | 18470 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Meshoppen | 18630 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 94.1% |
| Dimock | 18816 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 60.9% |
| Friendsville | 18818 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 89.5% |
| Hop Bottom | 18824 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 96.3% |
| Jackson | 18825 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Little Meadows | 18830 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 87.5% |
| Tioga County | | | | |
| Elkland | 16920 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 100% |
| Mainesburg | 16932 | Fixed Wireless | 100 Mbps | 100% |
| Millerton | 16936 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.5% |
| Morris | 16938 | Fixed Wireless | 100 Mbps | 80.6% |
| Osceola | 16942 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 74.5% |
| Tioga | 17765 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 87.4% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Union County | | | | |
| Emlenton | 16373 | DSL | 100 Mbps | 63.1% |
| Mifflinburg | 17844 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 56.0% |
| Venango County | | | | |
| Oil City | 16301 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 87.9% |
| Franklin | 16323 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 93.7% |
| Polk | 16342 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 58.0% |
| Reno | 16343 | Cable | 940 Mbps | 99.8% |
| Seneca | 16346 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 85.3% |
| Warren County | | | | |
| Russell | 16345 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 71.2% |
| Sheffield | 16347 | Cable | 100 Mbps | 94.0% |
| Sugar Grove | 16350 | Fixed Wireless | 30 Mbps | 76.1% |
| Warren | 16365 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.7% |
| Washington County | | | | |
| Burgettstown | 15021 | Cable | 25 Mbps | 56.5% |
| Charleroi | 15022 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.7% |
| Donora | 15033 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.8% |
| Midway | 15060 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 98.4% |
| Monogahela | 15063 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.2% |
| New Eagle | 15067 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 97.4% |
| Slovan | 15078 | Cable | 25 Mbps | 98.7% |
| Washington | 15301 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.2% |
| Amity | 15311 | DSL | 50 Mbps | 55.6% |
| Bealsville | 15313 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 79.3% |
| Finleyville | 15332 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.3% |
| Fredericktown | 15333 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 88.5% |
| Houston | 15342 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 99.8% |
| Meadow Lands | 15347 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Millsboro | 15348 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.8% |
| Muse | 15350 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 100% |
| Richeyville | 15358 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 94.4% |

Table D
Communities with Multiple Fixed Broadband at Minimum Federal Speeds
By Fastest Speed Covering Largest Geographic Area

| Community | Zip Code | Type of Provider | Maximum Speed | Area Covered |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Van Voorhis | 15366 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 96.3% |
| Vestaburg | 15368 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 89.0% |
| West Alexander | 15376 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 69.8% |
| Wayne County | | | | |
| Damascus | 18415 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 81.0% |
| Honesdale | 18431 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 78.8% |
| Lake Ariel | 18436 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 98.8% |
| Newfoundland | 18445 | Cable | 1,000 Mbps | 84.4% |
| Sterling | 18463 | Cable | 150 Mbps | 100% |
| Wyoming County | | | | |
| Falls | 18615 | DSL | 90 Mbps | 96.2% |